

Institut
de la statistique

Québec



LONGITUDINAL STUDY OF CHILD
DEVELOPMENT IN QUÉBEC
(ÉLDEQ 1998-2002)


COLLECTION Health and Well-Being

5-MONTH-OLD INFANTS

Concepts, Definitions and Operational
Aspects


Volume I, Number 12

9




4

0



8
7



6
5

2

For further information on the *Institut de la statistique du Québec* (ISQ) (Québec Institute of Statistics) and the statistics available in its databases, contact:

Institut de la statistique du Québec

200 chemin Sainte-Foy

Québec, Québec

Canada G1R 5T4

Tel.: (418) 691-2401 or

Tel: 1-800-463-4090 (toll-free)

Website: <http://www.stat.gouv.qc.ca>

This report was produced and published by the *Institut de la statistique du Québec*, and is distributed by *Les Publications du Québec*

Les Publications du Québec

1500-D Charest Boulevard West

Ste-Foy, Québec

G1N 2E5

National Library of Canada

Bibliothèque nationale du Québec

ISBN 2-551-19950-6

ISBN 2-551-19962-X

(*édition originale*) ISBN 2-551-19327-3

ISBN 2-551-19911-5

Copyright © 2001 Government of Québec

All rights reserved.

Reproduction in any form is prohibited except with the expressed permission of the *Institut de la statistique du Québec*.

November 2001

Foreword

Similar to what has been observed in the majority of industrialized nations over the past twenty years, Québec and Canada have seen a significant increase in the costs related to maladjustment, particularly in young people. The Longitudinal Study of Child Development in Québec (*l'Étude longitudinale du développement des enfants du Québec*) (ÉLDEQ 1998-2002) being conducted by *Santé Québec* (Health Québec),¹ a division of *l'Institut de la statistique du Québec (ISQ)*² (Québec Institute of Statistics) in collaboration with a group of university researchers, will provide an indispensable tool for action and prevention on the part of government, professionals and practitioners in the field, who every day must face maladjustment in children.

More precisely, a major purpose of this longitudinal study of a cohort of newborns is to give Québec a means of preventing extremely costly human and social problems, such as school dropout, delinquency, suicide, drug addiction, domestic violence, etc. Similar to what is being done elsewhere (in the UK, New Zealand, the US), *Santé Québec* and a group of researchers have designed and developed a longitudinal study of children 0 to 5 years of age (2,223 children in this study and 600 twins in a related one). It will help gain a better understanding of the factors influencing child development and psychosocial adjustment.

The general goal of ÉLDEQ 1998-2002 is to learn the PRECURSORS, PATHS and EFFECTS, over the medium and long terms, of children's adjustment to school. ÉLDEQ is the logical extension of the National

Longitudinal Study of Children and Youth (NLSCY, Canada). These Québec and Canada-wide longitudinal studies are both comparable and complementary. They employ distinct survey methods, and use different techniques to obtain the initial samples. Though many of the instruments are practically identical, about a third of those being used in ÉLDEQ are not the same.

This first report casts light on the enormous potential of the data generated by this study. From the descriptive analyses of the results of the first year of the study to the longitudinal analyses of subsequent years, there will be an enormous wealth of data. With updated knowledge on the development of the cohort of young children, the annual longitudinal follow-up will respond to the needs which the *ministère de la Santé et des Services Sociaux du Québec - MSSS* (Ministry of Health and Social Services), who financed the data collection, expressed in both the Report of the Working Group on Youth (*Rapport Bouchard, 1991, Un Québec fou de ses enfants* - the Bouchard Report, 1991, A Québec in Love with its Children) and the policy papers entitled *Politique de la santé et du bien-être, 1992* (Health and Well-Being) and *les Priorités nationales de santé publique 1997-2002* (Public Health Priorities 1997-2002).

Director General

Yvon Fortin

-
1. Certain French appellations in italics in the text do not have official English translations. The first time one of these appears, the unofficial English translation is shown immediately after it. Following this, for ease in reading, only the official French name appears in the text in italics, and it is suggested the reader refer to the Glossary for the English translation.
 2. *Santé Québec* officially became a division of the *ISQ* on April 1, 1999.

The authors of Volume 1 Number 12 of ÉLDEQ 1998-2002 are:

Part I: Design of Phase I of ÉLDEQ, Instruments and Procedures

Josette Thibault, Mireille Jetté and Hélène Desrosiers, *Direction Santé Québec, Institut de la statistique du Québec (ISQ)* (Health Québec Division, Québec Institute of Statistics)

Part II: Data and Variables

Hélène Desrosiers and Martin Boivin, *Santé Québec Division, Institut de la statistique du Québec (ISQ)* (Health Québec Division, Québec Institute of Statistics)

Lyne Des Groseilliers, *Direction de la méthodologie et des enquêtes spéciales, Institut de la statistique du Québec (ISQ)* (Methodology and Special Surveys Division, Québec Institute of Statistics)

With the technical assistance of:

Lucie Desroches and Nadia Tremblay, publications layout, *Direction Santé Québec, ISQ*

Volume 1 of ÉLDEQ 1998-2002 was produced by:

Direction Santé Québec of the Institut de la statistique du Québec

Volume 1 Number 12 of ÉLDEQ 1998-2002 was translated by:

Joan Irving

ÉLDEQ 1998-2002 is funded by the:

Ministère de la Santé et des Services sociaux du Québec (MSSS) (Ministry of Health and Social Services of Québec)

National Health Research and Development Program of Canada (NHRDP)

Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC)

Conseil québécois de la recherche sociale (CQRS) (Social Research Council of Québec)

Fonds pour la formation de chercheurs et l'aide à la recherche (FCAR) Researcher Education and Research Assistance Fund)

Fonds de la recherche en santé du Québec (FRSQ) (Health Research Fund of Québec)

ÉLDEQ 1998-2002 is supervised by:

Mireille Jetté, Coordinator

Hélène Desrosiers, Research Agent

Richard E. Tremblay, Director of ÉLDEQ 1998-2002

Josette Thibault, Research Agent

For further information on the contents of this publication, contact

Direction Santé Québec
Institut de la statistique du Québec
1200 McGill College Avenue, Suite 1620
Montréal, Québec
Canada H3B 4J8
Telephone: (514) 873-4749 or
Telephone: 1 877 677-2087 (toll-free)
Fax: (514) 864-9919
Website: <http://www.stat.gouv.qc.ca>.

Suggested Citations:

THIBAULT, J., M. JETTÉ and H. DESROSIERS (2001). "Concepts, Definitions and Operational Aspects, Part I – Design of Phase I of ÉLDEQ, Instruments and Procedures" in Longitudinal Study of Child Development in Québec (ÉLDEQ 1998-2002), Québec, Institut de la statistique du Québec, Vol. 1, No. 12.

DESROSIERS, H., M. BOIVIN and L. DES GROSEILLIERS (2001). "Concepts, Definitions and Operational Aspects, Part II – Data and Variables" in Longitudinal Study of Child Development in Québec (ÉLDEQ 1998-2002), Québec, Institut de la statistique du Québec, Vol. 1, No. 12.

This analytical paper is also available in French. Ce numéro est aussi disponible en version française sous le titre :

THIBAULT, J., M. JETTÉ et H. DESROSIERS (2001). « Aspects conceptuels et opérationnels, section I – Conception de la phase I de l'ÉLDEQ, instruments et déroulement » dans *Étude longitudinale du développement des enfants du Québec (ÉLDEQ 1998-2002)*, Québec, Institut de la statistique du Québec, vol. 1, n° 12.

DESROSIERS, H., M. BOIVIN et L. DES GROSEILLIERS (2001). « Aspects conceptuels et opérationnels, section II – Les données et les variables dérivées » dans *Étude longitudinale du développement des enfants du Québec (ÉLDEQ 1998-2002)*, Québec, Institut de la statistique du Québec, vol. 1, n° 12.

Note: We would like to thank Lucie Gingras and Ghyslaine Neill of *Santé Québec, Institut de la statistique du Québec* (Health Québec Division, Québec Institute of Statistics) for their careful reading of and comments on an early draft of this document.

Caution

Unless indicated otherwise, "n" in the tables represents data weighted to the size of the initial sample.

Because the data were rounded off, totals do not necessarily correspond to the sum of the parts.

To facilitate readability, proportions higher than 5% were rounded off to the nearest whole unit in the text, and to the nearest decimal in tables and figures.

Symbols:

... Not applicable (N/A)
.. Data not available
– Nil or zero
p < Refers to the threshold of significance

Abbreviations

CV Coefficient of variation
Not avail. Not available
Not signif. Not significant

Acknowledgments

Santé Québec recognizes that the development and implementation of the Longitudinal Study of Child Development in Québec (ÉLDEQ 1998-2002) flows directly from the synergy of effort and professionalism of many people throughout the whole process of mounting a survey of this size. Since 1995, individuals, various groups and organizations, a survey firm and the staff of *Santé Québec* have become indispensable links in making this ambitious project a reality - the first annual longitudinal survey of Québec infants.

A major characteristic of this project is that a pretest and survey are conducted every year. To accomplish this, we must annually: 1) make two sets of instruments (pretest and survey), 2) conduct two data collections, 3) analyze two sets of data, and 4) produce two types of communications materials. The results of each pretest means fine-tuning and developing instruments for the survey, which follows 17 months later. The results are sent to the parents (highlights), published in reports, and communicated to the scientific community and the public at large. The professionals and staff involved in collecting the data, as well as those involved before and after, must put their nose to the grindstone every year. We cannot over-emphasize our profound recognition of the incredible, concerted effort they are putting into this project over an 8-YEAR period, from the first pretest in 1996 to the final report to be published in 2004!

First, it must be said that without Daniel Tremblay, Director of *Santé Québec* (now part of the *ISQ*) since 1994, Christine Colin, Assistant Deputy Minister responsible for Public Health 1993-1998, Aline Émond, Director of *Santé Québec* 1986-1993, Richard E. Tremblay, Director of the ÉLDEQ research project, and Marc Renaud, President of *le Conseil québécois de la recherche sociale - CQRS* 1991-1997. ÉLDEQ 1998-2002, also known as "In 2002...I'll Be 5 Years Old!," would have never seen the light of day. In turn and together, they developed, defended and obtained the financing for this study. Thank you for your indefatigable tenacity.

A warm thanks to all the researchers and the support staff of their respective research groups, whose determination over the years has never wavered. Putting

their research grants together every year has contributed to the development of the instruments, analysis of the data and publication of the copious results.

I would like to thank Lyne Des Groseilliers, ÉLDEQ's statistician since 1996, Robert Courtemanche, statistical advisor, and France Lapointe, ÉLDEQ's statistician 1995-1996. These three colleagues in the *Direction de la méthodologie et des enquêtes spéciales* (Methodology and Special Surveys Division) (*ISQ*) managed, with great skill, to set the signposts and navigate the somewhat winding course of this large-scale survey first.

A very special thanks to all the master designers of the National Longitudinal Study of Children and Youth (NLSCY, Canada). Without their expertise, advice and generosity, our survey would never have been accomplished. In many senses of the word "modeling," ÉLDEQ has learnt a lot from the NLSCY.

We would also like to extend out gratitude to the staff of the *Groupe de recherche sur l'inadaptation psychosociale chez l'enfant - GRIP* (Research Unit on Children's Psychosocial Maladjustment) at the University of Montréal. Without their expertise, some of our survey instruments would have never been computerized to such a high level of quality.

We would like to thank the personnel in the *Service de support aux opérations de la Régie de l'assurance-maladie du Québec - RAMQ* (Operations Support Section of the Québec Health Insurance Board). Without their efficiency, fewer letters of introduction would have found their way to the correct addresses of respondents.

Our sincerest thanks go to our survey firm, *Bureau d'interviewers professionnels (BIP)*. Since 1996, this polling company has been responsible for data collection in the pretests and surveys, and follow-up of families both inside and outside of Québec. Lucie Leclerc, President of *BIP*, has set the standard of quality for our numerous and complex data collections. Assisted by Véronique Dorison, she has instilled in her interviewers a great sense of respect for the respondent families, as well as a rigorous regard for all the norms governing this first-of-a-kind survey in Québec.

A big thank-you to the directors-general, directors of professional services, and staff of the medical records departments of some 80 hospitals in the province who accepted to collaborate in our study at a time when resources were rare and time was at a premium, and when the medical records departments in many hospitals were merging or in the process of doing so. Their support was exceptional. Birthing centres also graciously accepted to participate in this first Québec longitudinal study of children. A special thanks to Julie Martineau, medical records specialist, who contributed to the analysis of indispensable medical information by ensuring very rigorous coding of the data, which often lay concealed in the medical files of the infants and their mothers.

It goes without saying that the staff of *Santé Québec* Division directly attached to ÉLDEQ 1998-2002 are the cornerstone of its success from practically every point of view. Special thanks for their ongoing contribution and constant hard work go to Hélène Desrosiers and Josette Thibault, responsible respectively for analysis of the data and creation of the measurement instruments; Martin Boivin, Rolland Gaudet and Gérald Benoît, who constantly pushed the limits of what computer software can do in terms of programming and data processing; Suzanne Bernier-Messier and Diane Lord, who give meaning to the word versatility, who must organize, code and manage incredible quantities of data to ensure the progress of the study. Not directly attached to the team but who made extremely important contributions are: France Lacoursière, France Lozeau and Thérèse Cloutier, who put the finishing touches to the *Santé Québec* “look” in the survey instruments, reports and conference publications; Lise Ménard-Godin, who conducted fruitful literature searches and advised on many aspects of the collection instruments. The hard work, constant availability, ability to adapt, and finely-honed skills of the people working on this project match the enthusiasm that all our partners have demonstrated in making this study a resounding success.

Finally, I would like to extend a very special thank-you to the 2,223 families who responded to our survey. Thank you for the trust you have shown in *Santé Québec*, our partners and collaborators. Thanks to your participation, your children have become the veritable stars of ÉLDEQ 1998-2002, and are making it possible, in the short term, to gain a better understanding of psychosocial adjustment in children. In the medium and long terms, they will likely be in large part responsible for the establishment of early detection programs, better designed prevention programs, and more effective interventions for such an important clientele - all of Québec's children.



Mireille Jetté
Project Coordinator
Santé Québec Division, ISQ

Introduction of ÉLDEQ 1998-2002

Preventing Social Maladjustment

It suffices to consider the costs engendered by behavioural problems in children - school dropout, delinquency, alcoholism, drug addiction, family violence, mental disorders and suicide - to conclude that they largely surpass what a modern society can accept, morally and economically. Faced with the enormity of these problems, the first reflex is to provide services to these people which will, ideally, make the problems disappear, or at the very least, lessen their severity. For many years we have tried to offer quality services to children and adults who suffer from antisocial disorders, alcoholism, drug addiction, depression, and physical or sexual abuse. However, in spite of enormous investment, these curative services are far from being able to respond to the demand.

Although the idea of early intervention as a preventive measure can be traced at least as far back as ancient Greece, the second half of the 20th century will certainly be recognized as the dawn of the field of social maladjustment prevention (Coie *et al*, 1993; Mrazek & Haggerty, 1994). Numerous programs have been developed for adolescents and teenagers to prevent school dropout, delinquency, drug addiction and suicide. Scientific evaluations of these programs have been far too few in number, but they tend to demonstrate that it is extremely difficult to help those most at risk in this age group (Rosenbaum & Hanson, 1998; Rutter, Giller & Hagell, 1998; Tremblay & Craig, 1995). It is becoming increasingly clear that the factors which lead to serious adaptation problems are in place long before adolescence. Hence the idea that the prevention of social adaptation problems should start at least during childhood, and preferably right from pregnancy (Olds *et al*, 1998; Tremblay, LeMarquand & Vitaro, 1999). These principles are clearly outlined in the objectives of the *Politique de la santé et du bien-être* (Policy on Health and Well-Being) and *les Priorités nationales de santé publique* (Priorities for Public Health) set by the government of Québec (ministère de la Santé et des Services sociaux, 1992; 1997).

The Need to Understand Early Childhood Development

If the field of maladjustment prevention appeared at the end of the 20th century, it has certainly come on the heels of child development. "*Émile*," by Jean-Jacques Rousseau, needs to be re-read in light of recent studies to realize just to what degree it is impossible to understand the complexity of child development, and therefore the means of preventing deviant paths, simply by reflection or introspection. Although considerable knowledge has been acquired in the neurological, motor, cognitive, affective and social development of children, what really hits home is that Jean-Jacques Rousseau and his followers in education seemed to have had more certainty about the ways of educating children than we do today.

Progress in child development research has made us realize that things are not as simple as we can or would like to imagine. We have obviously all been children, and most of us have become parents, indeed, relatively well-adjusted ones. But we still do not clearly understand when, how and why adjustment problems appear, and above all, how to prevent and correct them.

Our ignorance is obvious when we examine the debates among specialists on the role of parents in the development of maladjustment problems in children. Some suggest that social maladjustment in children is largely determined by genetic factors (Bock & Goode, 1996; Rowe, 1994). Some accentuate economic factors (Duncan & Brooks-Gunn, 1997). Other researchers attribute a determining role to peer influence (Harris, 1998; Harris, 1995; Vitaro *et al*, 1997). These larger questions lead to narrower ones which focus on particular aspects - the role of fathers in childhood maladjustment, the impact of alcohol and cigarette consumption during pregnancy, the effect of prenatal and birthing problems, the importance of breast feeding and diet; the role of sleep, cognitive development, temperament, and so on.

The majority of these questions are at the heart of the daily concerns of parents, grandparents, educators, family service providers, and legislators. What can we do to maximize the development of our children, to prevent severe psychosocial maladjustment? What should we do when problems begin to appear, when pregnant mothers, or fathers themselves have a long history of disorders? The answers to these questions obviously have an effect on the policies put forth by Québec government Ministries such as *ministères de la Famille et de l'Enfance* (Family and Child Welfare), *de l'Éducation* (Education), *de la Santé et des Services sociaux*, *de la Solidarité sociale* (Social Solidarity - formerly Income Security (Welfare)), *de la Sécurité publique* (Public Security), *de la Justice* (Justice), and *le ministère de la Recherche, Science et Technologie* (Research, Science and Technology).

The Contribution of ÉLDEQ 1998-2002

The Longitudinal Study of Child Development in Québec (ÉLDEQ 1998-2002) was conceived in order to contribute to our knowledge of the development of children in their first 5 years of life. The main goal is to gain a better understanding of the factors, in the years of rapid growth, which lead to success or failure upon entry into the school system. The goal of the second phase (if approved) is to better understand development in elementary school, in light of development in early childhood.

We know that this survey cannot be a definitive one on child development in Québec, but it is the first representative study of a provincial cohort of children who will be measured annually from birth to entry into the school system. It specifically aims at understanding the development of basic skills needed for educational success.

Although the effort to set up this study began in 1989, the first data collection coincided with the Québec government's implementation of its *Politique Familiale* (Policy on Families). The policy has virtually the same objectives as our study:

"These services for children 5 years and under should give all Québec children, whatever the socioeconomic status of their parents, the chance to acquire and develop the skills that will allow them to succeed in school (1997, p. 10)."

On March 3 1999, in the speech opening the 36th session of the Québec legislature, Premier Lucien Bouchard confirmed that early childhood development was a priority for the government:

"The theme that will dominate our actions this year, next year, and throughout our mandate, is youth... The priority...with regards to youth in Québec, begins with the family and childhood... This massive investment in early childhood... will give our children the best chance of success in the short, medium and long terms. It is our best asset against alienation and despair. It is our best preparation for personal, social and economic success."

Because of this historic coincidence, ÉLDEQ has the potential of becoming an invaluable tool for monitoring the effects of Québec's massive investment in early childhood which began in 1997. Thanks to the data collected by the federal government's National Longitudinal Study of Children and Youth (NLSCY, Canada), we will be able to compare child development in Québec with that elsewhere in Canada, before and after the implementation of Québec's new policy on the family.

However, our initial objectives are more modest. The 12 or 13 papers in this series present the results of our first annual data collection. They describe the characteristics of the families and children when the latter were 5 months old³ They cover sociodemographic characteristics, nature of the birthing process, health and social adaptation of the parents, family and couple relations, parent-infant relations, and characteristics of the 5-month-old, such as sleep, diet, oral hygiene,

3. To simplify the text in this report, the phrase "5-month-old infants" will be used to refer to infants whose mean age was 5 months during data collection in 1998. In section 3.1.3 (Volume 1, Number 1), we explain why the infants were not all exactly the same age. As indicated in no. 2 of this series, 52% of the infants were less than 5 months, and 3.4% were 6 months of age or over.


temperament, and motor, cognitive and social development. These data will eventually be compared to those on children the same age collected by the NLSCY in 1994 and 1996.

An Interdisciplinary, Multi-University Team of Researchers

This study saw the light of day because of the collaboration of many people. In the preceding pages, Mireille Jetté thanked a number of them. I would like to take advantage of this introduction to emphasize that the survey was set up and continues forward because of the dedication and hard work of a group of researchers from a variety of disciplines and universities. I would particularly like to thank Michel Boivin, School of Psychology at *Université Laval*, and Mark Zoccolillo, Department of Psychiatry at McGill University, who have been actively involved in this project since 1992. It was in that year that we prepared our first grant application for the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada. A second group of researchers joined the team in 1993 and 1994: Ronald G. Barr, pediatrician, Montréal Children's Hospital Research Institute, McGill University; Lise Dubois, dietitian and sociologist, *Université Laval*; Nicole Marcil-Gratton, demographer, University of Montréal and Daniel Pérusse, anthropologist, University of Montréal. Jacques Montplaisir, Department of Psychiatry, University of Montréal, joined the team in 1995. Louise Séguin, Department of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Montréal and Ginette Veilleux, *Direction de la santé publique de la Régie régionale de la santé et des services sociaux de Montréal-Centre* (Public Health Department, Montréal-Centre Regional Health Board), joined in 1998. Three post-doctoral researchers have also made an important contribution. Raymond Baillargeon developed the task for measuring cognitive development. Christa Japel is the assistant to the scientific director for planning, analysis and presentation of the results. Heather Juby collaborates in the analysis of the data on couple and family history.

A Unique Confluence of Circumstances

A study such as this requires the coordination of many researchers over many years, enormous financial resources, and a long period of preparation. Though in the early 1990s the research team was convinced of the need for the survey, those responsible for the public purse had also to be convinced. We must therefore acknowledge the happy confluence of circumstances that allowed the players to take advantage of the opportunity at hand. When a number of civil servants in the *ministère de la Santé et des Services sociaux* understood the essential role of prevention, the creation of a committee on children and youth in 1991 led to an increased awareness of the importance of early childhood. At the same time, the president of the *CQRS*, Marc Renaud, had come to the same realization with his colleagues in the Population Health Program at the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research (CIAR). Aline Émond, the Director of *Santé Québec*, was ready to apply her formidable determination to work for the cause. For their part, Health Minister Jean Rochon and his Assistant Deputy Minister for Public Health, Christine Colin, aware of the importance and benefit of longitudinal studies on early childhood development, authorized the investment of large sums of money during a period of draconian budget cuts. This occurred at the same time as the federal government decided to create its own longitudinal study of children and youth (NLSCY). It is in this context that *ÉLDEQ 1998-2002* materialized. Our survey also came to fruition because Mireille Jetté did everything in her power to make the researchers' dreams a reality, and Daniel Tremblay gave her all the support she needed by making various resources available for the project.



Richard E. Tremblay, Ph.D., M.S.R.C.
Chair of Child Development
University of Montréal

Table of Contents

| | |
|---------------------|----|
| Abbreviations | 19 |
|---------------------|----|

PART I • DESIGN OF PHASE 1 OF ÉLDEQ, INSTRUMENTS AND PROCEDURES

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. Background to the Longitudinal Study of Child Development in Québec, ÉLDEQ 1998-2002 | 25 |
| 1.1 Brief History of the Study | 25 |
| 1.2 ÉLDEQ and Other Longitudinal Studies Worldwide | 25 |
| 1.3 Design of ÉLDEQ..... | 25 |
| 2. ÉLDEQ, a Study in Partnership | 27 |
| 2.1 From ÉLDEQ 1998-2002 to “In 2002... I’ll be 5 Years Old!” | 27 |
| 2.2 The Terms of Reference and Collection Parameters of the Pretest and Survey | 28 |
| 2.3 Data Management | 29 |
| 3. Sources and Justifications of Questions, Scales, Forms and Tests | 31 |
| 3.1 Computerized Questionnaire Completed by the Interviewer (CQCI)..... | 31 |
| 3.1.1 Sociodemographic Questionnaire..... | 31 |
| 3.1.1.1 Household – CONT et DEM..... | 32 |
| 3.1.1.2 Relationships – REL | 32 |
| 3.1.1.3 Housing Conditions – HHLD | 32 |
| 3.1.2 Parents’ Questionnaire | 33 |
| 3.1.2.1 Education Level – EDA | 33 |
| 3.1.2.2 Employment Activity – LFS | 33 |
| 3.1.2.3 Income – INC | 34 |
| 3.1.2.4 Parents’ Health – HLA | 34 |
| 3.1.2.5 Family Functioning – FNC..... | 35 |
| 3.1.2.6 Neighbourhood – SAF | 35 |
| 3.1.2.7 Sociodemographic Characteristics – SOC | 36 |
| 3.1.3 Child’s Questionnaire..... | 37 |
| 3.1.3.1 Health – HLT..... | 37 |
| 3.1.3.2 Medical and Biological (Perinatal) Information – MED | 37 |
| 3.1.3.3 Temperament – TMP | 38 |
| 3.1.3.4 Literacy – LIT | 38 |
| 3.1.3.5 Activities – ACT..... | 38 |
| 3.1.3.6 Motor and Social Development – MSD | 38 |
| 3.1.3.7 Parental Roles – PAR | 39 |
| 3.1.3.8 Family and Custody History – CUS..... | 39 |
| 3.1.3.9 Child Care – CAR | 40 |
| 3.1.3.10 Sociodemographic Information – SOC – Child..... | 40 |
| 3.1.4 Absent Biological Parent’s Questionnaire..... | 40 |
| 3.2 Paper Questionnaire Completed by the Interviewer (PQCI)..... | 40 |
| Section 1 – The Grandparents | 40 |
| Section 2 – Perception of the Socioeconomic Situation..... | 41 |
| Section 3 – Diet..... | 41 |
| Section 4 – Oral and Dental Health..... | 43 |

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 3.3 | Questionnaire on the Ice Storm of January 1998..... | 44 |
| 3.4 | Self-Administered Questionnaire for the Mother (SAQM) | 44 |
| | Section 1 – Experiences During Pregnancy..... | 44 |
| | Section 2 – Sleep..... | 45 |
| | Section 3 – The Mother-Child Relationship (ÉCOPAN/PPBS)..... | 46 |
| | Section 4 – Support Provided by the Current Spouse/Partner | 47 |
| | Section 5 – Overview of the Childhood, Adolescence and Adult Life of the Mother..... | 47 |
| | Section 6 – Overview of Childhood, Adolescence and Adulte Life of the Absent Biological Father | 48 |
| | Section 7 – Leisure Time | 50 |
| 3.5 | Self-Administered Questionnaire for the Father (SAQF)..... | 50 |
| | Section 1 – Temperament of the Infant..... | 50 |
| | Section 2 – Father-Child Relationship (ÉCOPAN/PPBS)..... | 51 |
| | Section 3 – Well-Being of the Father | 51 |
| | Section 4 – Overview of the Childhood, Adolescence and Adult Life of the Father..... | 52 |
| | Section 5 – Leisure Time | 53 |
| 3.6 | Observations of Family Life (OFL) | 53 |
| 3.7 | Imitation Sorting Task (IST) 1, 2, 3 Hands Game | 54 |
| 3.8 | Baby Diary | 54 |
| 3.9 | Authorization Form to Access Mother’s and Infant’s Medical Records | 55 |
| | Annex – Part I..... | 57 |
| | References | 59 |

PART II • DATA AND VARIABLES

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| 1. | Survey Instruments and Respondents: A Brief Review | 65 |
| 2. | Data Validation: An Essential Step | 67 |
| | 2.1 The Computerized Questionnaire Completed by the Interviewer..... | 67 |
| | 2.2 The Paper Questionnaires | 68 |
| | 2.3 Correcting Errors..... | 68 |
| | 2.4 Updating the Database | 74 |
| 3. | Composition of the Database of ÉLDEQ 1998 | 75 |
| | 3.1 Description of the Files in the Database of ÉLDEQ 1998 | 75 |
| | 3.2 Convention for Designating the Variables | 79 |
| | 3.3 Content of Files..... | 83 |
| 4. | Comments and Cautions on Some Variables and Scales..... | 85 |
| 5. | Data Analysis for ÉLDEQ 1998: Methodological Considerations..... | 87 |
| | 5.1 Use of Weighting and Sample Design in the Analysis | 87 |
| | 5.2 Partial Non-Response and Imputation | 90 |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| 6. Distribution | 91 |
| 7. Derivative Variables..... | 93 |
| Annex – Part II..... | 153 |
| References | 171 |
| Glossary | 173 |

List of Tables

Tables

Part II

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 2.1 | Examples of Validations by Instrument, ÉLDEQ 1998 | 70 |
| 2.2 | Examples of inter-instruments Validation, ÉLDEQ 1998 | 72 |
| 3.1 | Composition of the 9 Files in the Database of ÉLDEQ 1998, 5-Month Old Infants..... | 76 |
| 3.2 | Profile of the Respondents to ÉLDEQ 1998 by Instrument, Questionnaire or Section of the Questionnaire..... | 78 |
| 3.3 | Sections of the CQCI and the Paper Questionnaires | 81 |
| 5.1 | Sample Weight for Each Instrument, Questionnaire or Section of Questionnaire, ÉLDEQ 1998..... | 89 |
| A.1 | Low-Income Cut-Off (1992 Baseline) as Defined by Statistics Canada for the Reference year 1997 by Size of Family Unit and Size of Area Inhabited | 154 |
| A.2 | Summary of Derivative Variables for ÉLDEQ Included in the General Release Data File (INDI101), 1998 | 155 |

Abbreviations

| | | | |
|--------|---|----------------|---|
| ALSPAC | Avon Longitudinal Study of Pregnancy and Childhood (Bristol, United Kingdom) | GRIP | Groupe de recherche sur l'inadaptation psychosociale, University of Montréal / Research Unit on Children's Psychological Maladjustment |
| CLSC | Centre local de services communautaires / Community Health Centre | GSS | General Social Survey (Canada) |
| CNCCS | Canadian National Child Care Study | ICQ | Infant Characteristic Questionnaire |
| ÉBSD | Étude des besoins en santé dentaire (Montréal, Canada) | LFS | Labour Force Survey |
| EDPS | Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale | LMAS | Labour Market Activity Survey (Canada) |
| ÉÉNFE | Évaluation de l'état nutritionnel en fer (Charlevoix Region, Québec, Canada) / Iron Nutritional Status | NCDS | National Child Development Study (Great Britain) |
| ÉJNQ | Étude des jumeaux nouveau-nés du Québec (Québec Study of Newborn Twins) | NHANES III-USA | The Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (United States) |
| ÉLEM | Étude longitudinale et expérimentale de Montréal (Longitudinal and Experimental Study of Low SES Boys in Montréal) | NIMH | National Institute of Mental Health |
| ÉLEMQ | Étude longitudinale des enfants de maternelle au Québec (Longitudinal Study of Québec Kindergarten Children) | NIMH-DIS | National Institute of Mental Health-Diagnostic Interview Schedule (United States) |
| ÉPAN | Étude provinciale sur l'alimentation du nourrisson (Québec, Canada) | NLSCY | National Longitudinal Survey of Children and Youth |
| ESS-SQ | Enquête sociale et de santé - <i>Santé Québec</i> (Québec, Canada) / Health and Social Survey - Santé Québec (Québec, Canada) | NLSY | National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (United States) |
| | | NPHS | National Population Health Survey |
| | | OCHS | Ontario Child Health Study (Canada) |
| | | PDDAM | Prétest sur les déterminants du début et de la durée de l'allaitement maternel (Québec, Canada) / Pretest on the determinants of initiation and duration of breast feeding (Québec, Canada) |

| | |
|------|--|
| PMK | Person Most Knowledgeable |
| PSCB | Projet de surveillance de la carie du biberon (Québec, Canada) |
| SLID | Survey of Labour and Income Dynamics (Canada) |

Review of the Methodology

This analytical paper is one of a series presenting cross-sectional data collected on a large sample of 5-month-old infants surveyed in 1998. It reports on the first of 5 annual data collections on 2,120 children in Québec who will be studied until they are 5 years old. In the first year of data collection, the results on 2,223 infants were retained.⁴

The target population of the survey is Québec babies, singleton births only,⁵ who were 59 or 60 weeks of gestational age⁶ at the beginning of each data collection period, born to mothers residing in Québec, excluding those living in the Northern Québec, Cree, and Inuit regions, and on Indian reserves, and those for whom the duration of pregnancy was unknown. Due to variations in the duration of pregnancy and the 4 or 5 weeks allotted for each data collection wave, the infants were not all exactly the same age (gestational or chronological) at the time of the survey. Therefore, the children in Year 1 (1998) of the survey had a mean gestational age of 61 weeks - about 5 chronological months.

The survey had a stratified, three-stage sampling design, with a mean design effect for the proportions estimated at 1.3. To infer the sample data to the target population, each respondent was given a weight corresponding to the number of people he/she "represented" in the

4. Though the results for 2,223 children were retained for the first year of data collection, 2,120 will be retained for the rest of the longitudinal study; the extra 103 were part of an over-sample used to measure the effects of the January 1998 ice storm.

5. Twins (twins births) and other multiple births were not targeted by the survey.

6. Gestational age is defined as the sum of the duration of gestation (pregnancy) and the age of the baby.

population. ÉLDEQ 1998 comprised eight main collection instruments which obtained data from the person who was closest to the baby (called the Person Most Knowledgeable - PMK), the spouse (married or common-law), the infant and the absent biological parent, if applicable. Given variation in the response rates to each instrument, three series of weights had to be calculated to ensure inferences to the population were accurate. Except for the Self-Administered Questionnaire for the Absent Father (SAQFABS) and a series of questions in the Computerized Questionnaire Completed by the Interviewer (CQCI) on absent fathers - the overall or partial response rates of which were too high - the results of all the instruments could be weighted. Therefore, the data presented here have all weighted to reduce the biases.

All data that had coefficients of variation (CV) 15% or higher are shown with one or two asterisks to clearly indicate the variability of the estimate concerned. In addition, if the partial non-response rate was higher than 5%, there is a note specifying for which sub-group of the population the estimate is less accurate.

Similar to any cross-sectional population study, the Year 1 part (5-month-old infants) of ÉLDEQ 1998-2002 has certain limits. However, the vast majority of the results are valid and accurate, and provide a particularly detailed portrait, for the first time, of 5-month-old infants in Québec.

Note to the reader: For more details information on the methodology, see Volume 1, Number 1, of this collection.

