

**Planning solar in energy-managed
cellular networks**

M. D'Amours,
A. Girard, B. Sansò

G-2018-62

August 2018

La collection *Les Cahiers du GERAD* est constituée des travaux de recherche menés par nos membres. La plupart de ces documents de travail a été soumis à des revues avec comité de révision. Lorsqu'un document est accepté et publié, le pdf original est retiré si c'est nécessaire et un lien vers l'article publié est ajouté.

Citation suggérée: M. D'Amours, A. Girard, B. Sansò (Août 2018). Planning solar in energy-managed cellular networks, Rapport technique, Les Cahiers du GERAD G-2018-62, GERAD, HEC Montréal, Canada.

Avant de citer ce rapport technique, veuillez visiter notre site Web (<https://www.gerad.ca/fr/papers/G-2018-62>) afin de mettre à jour vos données de référence, s'il a été publié dans une revue scientifique.

The series *Les Cahiers du GERAD* consists of working papers carried out by our members. Most of these pre-prints have been submitted to peer-reviewed journals. When accepted and published, if necessary, the original pdf is removed and a link to the published article is added.

Suggested citation: M. D'Amours, A. Girard, B. Sansò (August 2018). Planning solar in energy-managed cellular networks, Technical report, Les Cahiers du GERAD G-2018-62, GERAD, HEC Montréal, Canada.

Before citing this technical report, please visit our website (<https://www.gerad.ca/en/papers/G-2018-62>) to update your reference data, if it has been published in a scientific journal.

La publication de ces rapports de recherche est rendue possible grâce au soutien de HEC Montréal, Polytechnique Montréal, Université McGill, Université du Québec à Montréal, ainsi que du Fonds de recherche du Québec – Nature et technologies.

Dépôt légal – Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec, 2018
– Bibliothèque et Archives Canada, 2018

The publication of these research reports is made possible thanks to the support of HEC Montréal, Polytechnique Montréal, McGill University, Université du Québec à Montréal, as well as the Fonds de recherche du Québec – Nature et technologies.

Legal deposit – Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec, 2018
– Library and Archives Canada, 2018

GERAD HEC Montréal
3000, chemin de la Côte-Sainte-Catherine
Montréal (Québec) Canada H3T 2A7

Tél. : 514 340-6053
Télec. : 514 340-5665
info@gerad.ca
www.gerad.ca

Planning solar in energy-managed cellular networks

Mathieu D'Amours ^a

André Girard ^b

Brunilde Sansò ^a

^a GERAD & Electrical Engineering Department,
Polytechnique Montréal (Québec) Canada, H3C 3A7

^b GERAD & INRS-EMT & Electrical Engineering
Department, Polytechnique Montréal (Québec)
Canada, H3C 3A7

mathieu.damours@polymtl.ca

andre.girard@gerad.ca

brunilde.sanso@polymtl.ca

August 2018

Les Cahiers du GERAD

G-2018-62

Copyright © 2018 GERAD, D'Amours, Girard, Sansò

Les textes publiés dans la série des rapports de recherche *Les Cahiers du GERAD* n'engagent que la responsabilité de leurs auteurs. Les auteurs conservent leur droit d'auteur et leurs droits moraux sur leurs publications et les utilisateurs s'engagent à reconnaître et respecter les exigences légales associées à ces droits. Ainsi, les utilisateurs:

- Peuvent télécharger et imprimer une copie de toute publication du portail public aux fins d'étude ou de recherche privée;
- Ne peuvent pas distribuer le matériel ou l'utiliser pour une activité à but lucratif ou pour un gain commercial;
- Peuvent distribuer gratuitement l'URL identifiant la publication.

Si vous pensez que ce document enfreint le droit d'auteur, contactez-nous en fournissant des détails. Nous supprimerons immédiatement l'accès au travail et enquêterons sur votre demande.

The authors are exclusively responsible for the content of their research papers published in the series *Les Cahiers du GERAD*. Copyright and moral rights for the publications are retained by the authors and the users must commit themselves to recognize and abide the legal requirements associated with these rights. Thus, users:

- May download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research;
- May not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain;
- May freely distribute the URL identifying the publication.

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Abstract: There has been a lot of interest recently on the energy efficiency and environmental impact of wireless networks. Given that the base stations are the network elements that use most of this energy, much research has dealt with ways to reduce the energy used by the base stations by turning them off during periods of low load.

In addition to this, installing a solar harvesting system composed of solar panels, batteries, charge controllers and inverters is another way to further reduce the network environmental impact and some research has been dealing with this for individual base stations.

In this paper, we show that both techniques are tightly coupled. We propose a mathematical model that captures the synergy between solar installation over a network and the dynamic operation of energy-managed base stations. We study the interactions between the two methods for networks of hundreds of base stations and show that the order in which each method is introduced into the system does make a difference in terms of cost and performance. We also show that installing solar is not always the best solution even when the unit cost of the solar energy is smaller than the grid cost. We conclude that planning the solar installation and energy management of the base stations have to be done jointly.

Keywords: Cellular networks, energy management, sleep mode, solar power

Résumé: L'étude des réseaux de télécommunications sans-fil pour cellulaire est importante, car ceux-ci consomment beaucoup d'énergie et ont un impact non négligeable sur l'environnement. Afin de réduire leur consommation, plusieurs articles ont déjà proposé de mettre en veille les stations de base composant ces réseaux lorsque le trafic est moindre.

En installant un système de génération d'énergie solaire, on peut encore diminuer les impacts environnementaux. Certains articles ont traité du mode veille avec des panneaux solaires d'installés, mais uniquement sur une seule station.

Dans cet article, nous démontrons que d'un aspect réseau, les deux technique sont interdépendantes. Le modèle mathématique proposé permet de voir ces interactions entre le mode de veille dynamique des stations et l'utilisation du solaire. Cela est fait dans des réseaux pouvant aller jusqu'à des centaines de stations de base et il est démontré qu'il n'est pas nécessairement préférable d'installer le solaire partout, même si le coût de celui-ci est plus faible que celui de la grille. Nous concluons que les problèmes de gestion dynamique des stations doit être résolu en même temps que celui de l'installation de l'équipement solaire.

Mots clés: Réseaux cellulaires, gestion d'énergie, mode veille, énergie solaire

1 Introduction

There is a growing awareness to the fact that the communication sector uses a significant amount of energy. This is especially true for wireless, and in particular for the base stations of the cellular networks, where energy costs make up a large part of the operating expenses of service providers.

Two large trends appear in the literature to tackle the wireless energy efficiency problem. On the one hand, there is the search for more energy-efficient transmission devices and technologies [2]. This is mostly done in the physical layer and is thus outside the scope of this paper since we focus here on the network layer. On the other hand, new technology can be used to improve energy consumption in the base stations, such as *sleep mode*, where some base stations are turned off during low traffic periods and users can be re-allocated to the active ones.

More recently, the idea of using green energy sources to power base stations has been considered and in particular, the use of solar energy [1] either as a stand-alone source, where the climate permits, or as an addition to conventional grid electricity. In most of the work on green energy, however, there is a common assumption that green energy is either practically free or at least much cheaper than grid power. From this assumption follows the notion that one should install solar equipment everywhere and that one should use as much solar energy as possible. This of course neglects the importance of the capital cost of the solar equipment needed to implement energy harvesting. In fact, there is a significant cost to the purchase and installation of solar collection equipment on base stations which might lead to different solutions.

The literature has been missing not only a realistic view on solar, but, more importantly, an integrated view of the networking and solar energy issues that are important for a cost-effective green energy network planning. The objective of this paper is to challenge the fact that one can manage the network via sleep mode or provision for solar energy in an independent way. In fact, we show that there is a close relationship between solar planning and sleep mode. Moreover, we find that the *order* in which the different features are optimized produce a very different outcome. We also find that installing solar equipment everywhere is not always optimal even when the unit cost of solar energy is smaller than the grid cost. Finally, we make the case for a joint network management-solar planning optimization solution.

2 Previous work

2.1 Base station energy management

It has been known [34, 10, 30] for quite some time that turning off base stations during low traffic demand can yield significant energy savings. This is possible if there are mechanisms for reallocating users to other active base stations during that period, which is the case for current technology. Therefore, a large body of literature in energy efficiency has been devoted to modelling base station sleep mode.

Some work [7, 6, 8, 9, 22] uses game theory to compute the base station sleep schedule such that the total power used is minimized subject to constraints on the quality of service received by the users. A similar problem is studied in [11] in the context of a heterogeneous network made up of macro and pico cells and is extended in [17] to the case of femtocells. For 3G networks, the minimum network energy use is computed in [35] where a base station is turned off whenever *all* of its users can be served by another active base station. This is based on a time-independent Poisson distribution of users where only the location of users enters into the calculation but where the daily variation in demand is not taken into account. The authors then use these results to study the impact of the coverage radius and the cell size on energy use.

Another energy-management technique is discussed in [23, 12, 21] where base stations can set their transmission power at different values. This is also examined in [13, 20] where coordinated multipoint transmission is used to reach isolated users instead of increasing the base station power. Another cooperative solution is studied in [29] using game theory.

Concerning the network dynamics and savings, there has been a number of models to optimize the operation of base stations in 3G networks [34]. Most of these are real-time algorithms where a quasi-optimal

policy is computed given an already existing network. Although these can provide substantial energy savings, they are constrained by the structure of the network in which they operate. A similar model is discussed in [14] where the objective of reducing energy use is to minimize two objective functions: the number of installed base stations and the number of users not served by any base station.

The idea that sleep mode management must be integrated at the planning stages was formalized in [4, 3, 5] where it was shown that the joint optimization can bring savings of up to 30% with respect to individual optimization.

2.2 Solar-powered base stations

The issue of using solar energy to power base stations has received some attention over the last few years. This has been examined first for base stations in isolated areas where grid power is either not available or it is very expensive. An example of a simple case is [26] that studies whether a base station can be powered exclusively with solar energy taking into account the traffic load and sleep mode. A statement is made to the effect that capital and operating costs are such that a purely solar power base station is competitive with diesel generation.

The most recent work on using solar power for 3G has been investigated in [1]. The objective is to choose the solar equipment of an UMTS Node B to minimize their net present cost. The hourly load is available as well as the average monthly solar power. The solution method is to compute the power available at each hour for all possible equipment configuration and choose the best one. The energy needed to serve traffic is not taken into account. The only requirement is that the total power available from the batteries and panels should equal the power needed by the base station plus losses. The main conclusion is that solar energy is a realistic option even for 3G technology.

Another aspect of solar energy optimization is to take into account the random variations in solar energy. Stochastic programming was used in [28] to optimize the expected cost of purchasing energy from the grid under uncertainty due to solar energy availability, variable traffic load and on-demand grid prices. The decision variables were the amounts of electricity to buy from the grid during the day.

The work of [31] models the on/off switching strategy for a base station operating only on solar energy. It considers the case of two base stations and proposes a solution based on a robust Bayesian technique assuming perfect information on the traffic.

Network effects can also arise due to the presence of a power distribution smart grid. The energy management for a given base station connected to a smart grid is studied in [24, 25, 15]. A critical upper bound on the batteries' capacity where no more energy savings can be obtained is investigated in [24]. The charge of the batteries is also studied in [27], where the charge needs to stay within a given range. They model the charge and discharge to optimize the life expectancy of the batteries. Note that in the present paper, we do not optimize battery capacity which is sized through preliminary tests.

A hybrid solar-grid system for a single base station that is less costly than pure solar is proposed in [38]. An important feature of this work is that the objective is to minimize the total cost made up of the capital cost of panels and batteries plus the operating cost of using grid power. The number of batteries and the size of the solar panels are optimized as well as the energy management. This seems to be one of the rare cases where planning is done for an horizon of many years but not in a network context. This is solved in a two-step process. First, a model is set up for the optimization of the base station in a single year. The multi-year problem is solved by a sequence of single-year optimizations.

2.3 Solar and sleep mode

The decision to use solar energy or not can be made for each base station separately, based on cost, performance, etc. Such decision has then no impact on other base stations. Things get much more complicated when *both* solar power and sleep mode are used. The reason is that the decision to put a base station in sleep mode means that the users have to be reallocated to other base stations, thus increasing their load.

This in turn may impact the decision in these other base stations to use solar energy during that time, for instance because the solar panels cannot provide enough energy to serve all the users. In other words, the two problems become tightly coupled and must be solved together. Because of this difficulty, there has not been much work done on this topic.

We have found only one reference [33] where both solar and sleep mode are used together. The objective is to minimize the total energy cost, either solar or grid, where decision variables are used to determine the assignment of users to base stations and the use of grid or solar power. There is the usual assumption that “the unit cost of green energy is cheaper than that of the on-grid energy” and the authors also assume batteries with infinite capacity. The solution technique based on a long-term demand forecast is used to compute a target sleep schedule. The main differences with our approach is that the model has no limit on battery storage, the costs are not based on real estimates since only the ratio of solar to grid energy is used and all base stations are assumed to have solar energy available.

2.4 Costs

Much of the work on solar energy assumes that its cost is very small compared with the grid cost and it is very seldom taken into consideration. One exception is the work of [19] that optimizes the sizing of the solar panels installed on macro base stations and the battery banks capacity to minimize the capital cost. The trade-off is between installing the solar systems on macro base stations on the one hand and off-loading traffic on small base stations on the other. The fraction of the total energy requirement that is served by the green sources is given a priori and is not a result of the optimization, which is an important difference with what we do. Also, there is no actual value for the costs and the results are presented as a function of the cost ratio between the two types of energy.

It is also worth mentioning that there is little work trying to estimate realistic costs for the whole solar energy harvesting system. Most papers consider solar panels and batteries but leave out charge controllers and inverters which are an important part of the equipment. In fact, inverters and charge controllers not only increase the capital cost of the system but also decrease its efficiency.

In the literature we reviewed, no work considered inverters and charge controllers, with the exception of [1] that takes into account the cost, lifetime and efficiency of the inverters, but not the charge controllers.

Summarizing, we are not aware of any research that integrates solar panels, batteries, inverters and charge controllers with the sleep-mode of the equipment into a long-range planning model.

3 System model

The most important feature that sets our model apart from the previous work is that it is a *planning* model where we choose if and where to install solar equipment. This equipment will be used for a long time, typically many years, so that the model has to be based on a long-term view of the network, typically 10 years or more.

The actual network operation, on the other hand, depends on some time-varying quantities, like user demand or solar illumination, that are generally random and vary on a much shorter time scale, typically hour or even shorter. In general, it is not possible to model these short-term features in detail over the whole planning horizon because of memory or computation time limitations. In this paper, they are replaced by a long-term estimate of the performance of the short-term operation, generally measured from historical data, that corresponds to some important time, say the busiest day of the year. Typical performance values could be for instance the average hourly solar illumination averaged over the horizon, or the average traffic demand over that period. The estimation of these long-term approximations is a difficult problem by itself and is outside the scope of this paper, where we simply assume that they are known.

In addition, our model integrates some features of a number of previous work into a coherent mathematical formulation. It also has the following features that are different from the state of the art. First, as mentioned above, this is a long-term planning model over a horizon of many years, used to decide if and where solar

equipment should be installed. This is traded off against the operating cost of using grid power. In order to get realistic results, we explicitly take into account the capital cost needed to implement solar power using cost values currently available. As we mentioned before, adding inverters and charge controllers can change significantly the cost of the solar energy. We also model the batteries costs and limitations, something that is not always done in some models.

The second important difference is that we model a whole network, where it is possible to off-load traffic from one base station to another. Also, we introduce the notion of an equivalent day used to model to some extent the impact of the daily traffic and light variation on the long-term planning decisions.

Finally, we formulate the model as a linear integer program so that it can be computed by standard solvers for small enough networks. This allows us to gain insight into the more important factors that affect the decision to implement solar energy or not.

Our model is based on [3] where the network is made up of a given set of base stations that can turn their transmitter on or off during the day in order to save energy. Traffic is generated by so-called *traffic test points*, devices that aggregate traffic from users. The day is divided into a number of time periods that don't have to have the same length, e.g., day or night, or hourly during the day and a single night period. At the beginning of each time period, a decision has to be made for each base station to switch it on or off and how to allocate all test points in such a way that they all fall within the coverage region of at least one base station that is turned on.

We assume that the base stations, traffic and connection test points are given. The model of [3] is extended by having the possibility of installing solar cells and batteries to power the base stations. The solar cells feed into a battery pack which can then be used to feed the base station instead of using grid power. The decision to install solar cells is taken once for each base station at the beginning of the study period.

The base stations can be in two states, idle or active. In the idle state, the antennas are turned off and the base station uses minimum power. In that state, the base station cannot serve any user. In the active state, the antennas require an extra amount of power to serve the users. At the beginning of each time period, each base station must choose the state, idle or active, and whether the corresponding energy comes from the grid or the batteries.

4 Mathematical formulation

4.1 Sets

First we define the following sets:

S Installed base stations

I Test points

T Time periods.

The time periods are indexed by $t = 1 \dots T$. This is called the *time base* in the following and each value indicates when the period starts, e.g., a time base $T = \{0, 8, 16\}$ is made up of 3 periods starting at 0:00, 08:00 and 16:00 hours.

In general, j refers to a base station, i to a test point and t to a time period. These indices are always assumed to run over their whole set S , I or T unless otherwise noted.

4.2 Parameters

These are known network parameters. In some cases, they readily available and if not, they can be calculated with realistic data as explained in Section 5.

The objective function is the sum of capital costs and the total value of the operating costs over all days of the study period with

- ϕ The number of days over the time horizon for the planning, e.g., for a planning horizon of M years,
 $\phi = 365 M$
- C_j^S Installation cost for solar panels, batteries, inverters and charge controllers on base station j . The cost includes capital as well as replacement cost and can depend on the particular base station and type of solar module.
- C_j^E Grid energy cost. We assume that this is constant over the length of the study.
- $E_{j,t}^S$ The amount of electrical energy produced by the solar panels at base station j during interval Δ_t .
- E_j^0 Energy needed by the base station in the idle state
- E_j^1 Energy needed by the base station in the active state.
- $E_{i,t}^T$ Energy required by test point i in period t .
- N_{bs}^{tp} The number of test points per base stations
- B_j^+ Maximum battery capacity. This is the total energy that can be stored by *all* batteries installed in a base station.
- B_j^- Minimum battery capacity. This is some fraction of B_j^+ .
- $k_{i,j}$ Indicator function set to 1 if traffic test point $i \in I$ is covered by the base station installed in j and 0 otherwise.

Based on these parameters, we describe the linear model: parameters, variables and constraints corresponding to the base stations and test points.

4.3 Variables and constraints

These are the optimization variables that correspond directly to the operation model. They are set to 1 if

- z_j Solar equipment is installed at base station j
- $x_{j,t}^o$ The base station is in the idle state in interval t
- $x_{j,t}^b$ The base station uses battery power during interval t
- $h_{i,j,t}$ Test point i is assigned to base station j in period t

and 0 otherwise. We also need some intermediate variables to simplify the presentation.

- $D_{j,t}$ Energy required by the users assigned to j in t
- $E_{j,t}^P$ Energy used by the antennas of j in t , whether it is in the idle or active state
- $L_{j,t}$ Energy lost because of the limited capacity of the batteries in base station j in period t
- $E_{j,t}^B$ The amount of energy available in batteries at base station j at the beginning of interval t .

These variables are subject to constraints. First we cannot use battery power unless solar equipment has been installed:

$$x_{j,t}^b \leq z_j \quad \forall j \in S, \forall t \in T. \quad (1)$$

Next, the user demands are computed from the assignment variables

$$D_{j,t} = \sum_{i \in I} E_{i,t}^T h_{i,j,t} \quad \forall j \in S, \forall t \in T \quad (2)$$

where the assignment variables are subject to the constraints

$$\sum_{j \in S} h_{i,j,t} = 1 \quad \forall i \in I, \forall t \in T. \quad (3)$$

We also assign the test points only to base stations that are not in idle state:

$$x_{j,t}^o \leq 1 - h_{i,j,t} \quad \forall i \in I, \forall j \in S, \forall t \in T. \quad (4)$$

Note that there are no explicit coverage constraints in the model. These can be taken into account in a number of ways. The easiest one would be to add constraints of the form $h_{i,j,t} \leq k_{i,j}$ which would prevent the allocation of test points to base stations when they are not within the coverage. Most modern solvers would recognize this condition in the pre-solve phase and automatically remove the variables. Another way would be to define the h variables only for those cases when the test point is within the coverage radius. Finally, one can simply fix the $h_{i,j,t} = 0$ in the AMPL script whenever $k_{i,j} = 0$. This is the solution we take here.

The next set of constraints describes the energy production and management. First, we can compute the energy used by the antennas as

$$E_{j,t}^P = E_{j,t}^0 x_{j,t}^o + E_{j,t}^1 (1 - x_{j,t}^o) \quad \forall j \in S, \forall t \in T. \quad (5)$$

The demand must not exceed the energy available to the antennas in the idle or active state, which yields

$$D_{j,t} \leq E_{j,t}^P - E_{j,t}^0 \quad \forall j \in S, \forall t \in T. \quad (6)$$

Replacing (2) and (5) in (6), we get

$$\sum_{i \in I} E_{i,t}^T h_{i,j,t} \leq (E_{j,t}^1 - E_{j,t}^0)(1 - x_{j,t}^o) \quad \forall j \in S, \forall t \in T. \quad (7)$$

Next, we must model the assumption that we can use only one of the two energy sources, solar or grid, during a given period and that the decision whether to use solar or not is made at the beginning of each period only. This means that we can use solar energy only if the amount of energy stored in the battery at the beginning of the period plus that produced by the solar panels is at least as large as the required energy during that period without depleting the batteries beyond their minimal value.

In order to simplify the notation, we define $\bar{E}_{j,t}^P$, the antenna power used in battery mode, as

$$\bar{E}_{j,t}^P = x_{j,t}^b E_{j,t}^P \quad \forall j \in S, \forall t \in T. \quad (8)$$

The constraint on energy use can then be written

$$E_{j,t}^B + E_{j,t}^S - \bar{E}_{j,t}^P \geq B^- \quad \forall j \in S, \forall t \in T. \quad (9)$$

We also have to express the fact that the excess energy remaining at the end of a period is stored in the battery and is available at the beginning of the next one. If we impose the condition that all the energy must be stored, we would have a constraint of the form

$$E_{j,t}^B = E_{j,t-1}^B + E_{j,t-1}^S - \bar{E}_{j,t-1}^P.$$

If the value on the right-hand side turns out to be larger than B^+ , the constraint can be satisfied only by not using solar during that period, which is not a realistic solution. Instead, we write the condition as an inequality constraint

$$E_{j,t}^B \leq E_{j,t-1}^B + E_{j,t-1}^S - \bar{E}_{j,t-1}^P$$

so that the excess energy can be used up to the value of B^+ but no more. We also define the slack variables L_{jt} explicitly since they represent the lost energy in that period. We then have the amount of energy stored in the batteries at the beginning of time t as

$$E_{j,t}^B = E_{j,t-1}^B + E_{j,t-1}^S - L_{j,t-1} - \bar{E}_{j,t-1}^P, \quad (10)$$

$$B_j^- \leq E_{j,t}^B \leq B_j^+ \quad \forall j \in S, t \in T, \quad (11)$$

$$0 \leq L_{j,t} \leq E_{j,t}^S \quad \forall j \in S, \forall t \in T. \quad (12)$$

Constraint (12) is due to the fact that the maximum amount of energy we can lose is that produced by the solar panels.

Finally, we need to impose a condition related to the assumption that the energy use during a single day is in a sense representative of the operation of the network over the time horizon. This means that this pattern will repeat itself every day. In that case, the excess energy at the end of the last period is the available energy at the beginning of the next day. For consistency, we impose the condition that

$$E_{j,1}^B = E_{j,T}^B + E_{j,T}^S - L_{j,T} - \bar{E}_{j,T}^P \quad \forall j \in S \quad (13)$$

The objective function is the sum of the capital cost and the grid cost

$$C = \sum_{j \in S} C_j^S z_j + \phi \sum_{\substack{j \in S \\ t \in T}} C_j^E E_{j,t}^P (1 - x_{j,t}^b). \quad (14)$$

As written, the problem is not linear since it contains quadratic terms of the form $x_{j,t}^o x_{j,t}^b$ coming from (5) and (8). We can linearize it by defining supplementary variables and constraints

$$w_{j,t} = x_{j,t}^b x_{j,t}^o \quad \forall j \in S, \forall t \in T, \quad (15)$$

$$w_{j,t} \leq x_{j,t}^b \quad \forall j \in S, \forall t \in T, \quad (16)$$

$$w_{j,t} \leq x_{j,t}^o \quad \forall j \in S, \forall t \in T, \quad (17)$$

$$w_{j,t} \geq x_{j,t}^b + x_{j,t}^o - 1 \quad \forall j \in S, \forall t \in T. \quad (18)$$

The quadratic term $E_{j,t}^P x_{j,t}^b$, which represents the antenna power used in battery mode, appears in equations (9), (10) and (14). It can be written in terms of w as

$$x_{j,t}^b E_{j,t}^P = E_{j,t}^0 w_{j,t} + E_{j,t}^1 (x_{j,t}^b - w_{j,t})$$

which is now a linear term.

4.4 Linear optimization model

We now summarize the complete linear model where the equation labels refer to the original definitions. Recall that unless otherwise noted, the indices run over the complete sets $i \in I$, $t \in T$ and $j \in S$. In the following, we denote this as *problem PL*.

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{z,x,h,w} C = & \sum_{j \in S} C_j^S z_j + \\ & \sum_{\substack{j \in S \\ t \in T}} C_j^E [E_{j,t}^0 x_{j,t}^o + E_{j,t}^1 (1 - x_{j,t}^o) \\ & - E_{j,t}^1 x_{j,t}^b + w_{j,t} (E_{j,t}^1 - E_{j,t}^0)] \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$x_{j,t}^b \leq z_j \quad (1)$$

$$\sum_{j \in S} h_{i,j,t} = 1 \quad (3)$$

$$x_{j,t}^o \leq 1 - h_{i,j,t}, \quad (4)$$

$$E_{j,t}^B + E_{j,t}^S - E_{j,t}^0 w_{j,t} - E_{j,t}^1 (x_{j,t}^b - w_{j,t}) \geq B^- \quad (9)$$

$$E_{j,1}^B = E_{j,T}^B + E_{j,T}^S - L_{j,T} - E_{j,T}^0 w_{j,T} - E_{j,T}^1 (x_{j,T}^b - w_{j,T}) \quad (13)$$

$$E_{j,t}^B = E_{j,t-1}^B + E_{j,t-1}^S - L_{j,t-1} - E_{j,t-1}^0 w_{j,t-1} - E_{j,t-1}^1 (x_{j,t-1}^b - w_{j,t-1}) \quad (10)$$

$$\sum_{i \in I} E_{i,t}^T h_{i,j,t} \leq (E_{j,t}^1 - E_{j,t}^0) (1 - x_{j,t}^o) \quad (7)$$

$$B_j^- \leq E_{j,t}^B \leq B_j^+ \quad (11)$$

$$0 \leq L_{j,t} \leq E_{j,t}^S \quad (12)$$

$$w_{j,t} \leq x_{j,t}^b \quad (16)$$

$$w_{j,t} \leq x_{j,t}^o \quad (17)$$

$$w_{j,t} \geq x_{j,t}^b + x_{j,t}^o - 1 \quad (18)$$

5 Sizing the parameters

In this section we discuss how to size the parameters of Section 4: the grid and solar equipment costs, the number of solar panels, the batteries that have to be installed to power a micro base station and the time intervals used to approximate the solar and usage profiles. We also show in Figure 1 the small network that will be used later on for explaining some of our results.




Figure 1: Small network

5.1 Equipment cost

We model four types of solar equipment: the solar panels, denoted by S , charge controllers, by C , inverters, by I and batteries, by B . These should not be confused with the set notation used elsewhere in the paper. Each equipment type $K \in \{S, C, I, B\}$ has a number of specific parameters and the following common parameters:

N^K The number of equipment units installed in the base station

L^K The lifetime of each unit

C^K Cost of one unit

R^K The number of times the equipment has to be replaced during the study period

The number of replacements is given by

$$R^K = \left\lceil \frac{\phi}{L^K} \right\rceil, \quad (19)$$

where ϕ is the length of the planning horizon. The cost of solar equipment is then given by

$$C_j^S = \sum_K C_j^K N_j^K R_j^K. \quad (20)$$

The number of solar panels and batteries N^S and N^B is fixed by the user. N^I and N^C are then calculated so that the inverter power and the charge controller current match the solar panels power and batteries voltage.

To obtain a realistic cost, the equipment parameters have to be computed from real data. The ones used in this paper are all in the low price range. Also, power cables and possibly other devices are not taken into consideration which is one of the reasons why this model is somewhat biased in favor of solar energy.

Table 1 shows the different parameters with the values that have been used in this paper. Note that the batteries *DoD* is the *Depth of Discharge*, which is the limit the batteries charge can be depleted. For more information about these equipment, the interested reader can find this information in [36, 32, 37, 16].

Table 1: Solar equipment parameters

Solar Panel						
Cost (USD)	Area (m ²)	Efficiency (%)	Power (W)		Degradation rate per year (%)	Lifetime (years)
112	1.62	18.03	280		0.5	20
Battery						
Cost (USD)	DoD (%)	Efficiency (%)	Voltage (V)	Capacity (VAh)	Degradation rate per year (%)	Lifetime (years)
345	50	90	6	428	3	7
Inverter						
Cost (USD)		Efficiency (%)	Power (W)			Lifetime (years)
140		90	2000			10
Charge Controller						
Cost (USD)		Efficiency (%)	Current (A)			Lifetime (years)
26		95	60			10

5.2 Solar energy

We compute $E_{j,t}^S$ from the electrical output power \overline{W}^S of a solar module that, at some instant t , is directly proportional to the solar radiation $G(t)$ at that time [26]. In particular, for the maximum value \overline{G} , which depends on the region where the module is installed, and a given module surface area A , we get

$$\overline{W}^S = \overline{G}A\eta^S. \quad (21)$$

The electrical power W_t^S produced in a given period t is given by

$$W_t^S = \overline{W}^S \pi_t^S, \quad (22)$$

with π_t^S as the fraction of maximal solar radiance during the period of the day t (See Section 5.4 for a description of π_t^S).

The total energy produced by a module during that period is

$$E_{j,t}^M = W_t^S \Delta_t. \quad (23)$$

if we install N_j^S solar modules, the total solar energy they produce can be computed using (21), (22) and (23) and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{j,t}^S &= N_j^S E_{j,t}^M \\ &= N_j^S \overline{G}A\pi_t^S \Delta_t \prod_k^K \eta^k \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where η^k is the efficiency of each solar equipment $K \in \{S, C, I, B\}$.

5.3 Test point energy

We assume that in the busiest time of the day with test points at their maximum load, there is enough base stations to feed the test points. That means that, at that time, all of the energy available in the set of base

stations is used. The difference between the base stations energy in the active and idle states is the energy needed to power the test points.

$$E_{i,t}^T = \frac{1}{N_{bs}^{tp}} (E_j^1 - E_j^0) \pi_t^U f_i \quad (25)$$

where π_t^U is the fraction of maximum traffic of an user for a period t . We randomize each test point traffic with a Gaussian distribution f_i with a mean of 1 and a scale of 0.2 truncated to the interval $[0, 2]$.

5.4 Network parameters

The small network shown in Figure 1 is made up of 4 micro base stations [2] and 12 test points. These base stations need 94 and 39 watts of power in the active and idle states. We can see that the coverage areas of the base stations need to overlap to some extent to be able to reassign some of the test points to different base stations when some base stations are in sleep mode.

The variation of solar illumination and traffic demand over the day is modeled by the parameters π_t^S and π_t^U . They represent the fraction of the peak value that is present in period t . They have been taken from [26].

The illumination profile used to model the harvested solar energy is that of the city of Palermo, Italy. The industrial grid cost is set at 0.22\$/kWh which is representative of this country's real electricity pricing [18]. The total cost of a solar system calculated with (20) is \$2197 for the micro base station. This is an under-estimation because the cable and labor costs of the installation are not considered. Note also that we can compute the total energy produced by the panels based on the solar profile and the other panel parameters. In the present case, this yields a value of 14 MWh over a 20-year horizon. This yields an equivalent cost of \$0.16/Kwh, which is lower than the grid cost. Based on these values, one might conclude that installing solar panels everywhere should be the most economical solution. As we will see later, it turns out that this is *not* the best solution due to the use of sleep mode.

5.5 Solar equipment sizing

Our model does not optimize the number of solar panels and batteries that are to be installed on the base stations. Instead, these are chosen at the outset based on the following considerations. First we try to estimate a good value for the number of solar panels that should be installed on a base station. We vary the number of panels and compute an optimal solution of problem PL in each case. These results are computed for a time base of 24 slots which yields the more accurate results as discussed in Section 5.6. We present in Table 2 both the solar and grid costs of the network over 20 years. In that period, the base stations will need 57.04 MWh of electricity.

Table 2: Solar panels sizing

Nb PVs	TPs	Total BSs	Solar BSs	Cost (k\$)	Solar (k\$)	Grid (k\$)	Solar used (MWh)	Installed solar (MWh)
3	12	4	0	12.55	0	12.5	0	0
4	12	4	4	12.21	7.68	4.53	36.46	37.41
5	12	4	4	10.43	8.13	2.3	46.58	46.76
6	12	4	4	9.995	8.79	1.21	51.55	56.11
7	12	4	3	10.12	7.08	3.04	43.23	49.1
8	12	4	3	11.44	8.42	3.03	43.28	56.11
9	12	4	3	11.75	8.75	3	43.40	63.12

When the base stations are equipped with only 3 solar panels, the best solution is not to install any because they produce too little energy as compared with their cost. With 4 and 5 panels per base station, the solution is to install solar equipment everywhere and most of the harvested energy is stored in the batteries without losses. This is because the effective solar energy that is used to power the base stations, shown in column *Solar Used* of Table 2, is close to the total solar energy that could be generated, as shown in the last column *Installed*. We see that the minimum cost is achieved with 6 solar panels. If the base stations are equipped

with more panels, the optimal solution is to deploy solar equipment only in 3 of the 4 base stations. If we install more than this, the total energy produced by the panels will be larger than what is actually needed and some of it will be lost. The solar energy actually used becomes stable at a value close to 43 MWh because the losses just keep accumulating with the increase of available energy.

The same procedure has been applied for the batteries and we concluded that, for a set of batteries at different capacity and price, a single 2568 VAh battery is enough to store the solar energy without being too expensive.

Therefore, in all the following, we assume that base stations have 6 solar panels and a single 2568 VAh battery. The number of inverters and charge controllers is set so that their nominal power is greater or equal than the power of the solar panels and batteries.

5.6 Time quantization

We now define a good time base to use in our model. The number of slots of the time base has three important effects. First, having a larger number of slots means that the network can adapt more accurately to the changes in demand or illumination, which will lead to less costly solutions. On the other hand, switching the base stations on and off can reduce the lifetime of the equipment so that the smaller the number of transitions the better. Also, from the point of view of calculating a solution, a larger time base increases the number of variables and constraints and will increase the solution time.

In order to estimate the effect of the time base, we first consider two cases with uniform interval sizes, one with one-hour and the other with three-hour intervals. We then solve problem PL for a medium sized network of 27 test points and 9 base stations with these two time bases. The results are presented in the first two rows of Table 3. From this, we can see that having smaller time slots does improve the quality of the solution as expected but takes longer to solve. The first time base has 8 time slots and takes 0.28 second to be solved to optimality with a total cost of 24.7 k\$. With 24 time slots, the optimization takes 0.66 second but has a lower cost of 22.97 k\$.

Table 3: Quantization of the time base

Time Base	Tps	BSs	Time (sec)	Cost (k\$)
0, 3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21	27	9	0.28	24.70
0, 1, 2, ..., 21, 22, 23	27	9	0.66	22.97
0, 9, 10, 13, 15, 18, 19, 20	27	9	0.1	22.97

We can strike a compromise between the computation time and the quality of the solution if we choose a time base better suited to the actual traffic and solar radiation profiles. We can then choose the number of time slots desired and do a best fit of the slot sizes with respect to the actual profiles. We can see in Figures 2 and 3 the traffic and illumination profiles along with the fitted time base shown in (26).

$$T = \{0, 9, 10, 13, 15, 18, 19, 20\}. \quad (26)$$

This time base is relatively small and we can see from the third line of Table 3 that the cpu time of 0.1 seconds is much smaller than that of the 24-slot base with a total cost of 22.97 k\$, which is the same cost as with 24 time slots.

Based on these results, we will be using 8 time slots for the results of Section 6.3. For the results of 6.5, we use 24 time slots to have a clearer view of the changes in the solution for the different optimizations.




Figure 2: Traffic profile




Figure 3: Illumination profile

6 Network results

We now present more detailed results for six networks of increasing size. In each case, we consider a number of scenarios to look at the interaction between the solar installation and the use of sleep mode. Each scenario requires the solution of a variation of problem PL where some variables are fixed to some value and the optimization is on the set of remaining variables.

6.1 Scenarios

First we consider the *base* case where neither solar power nor sleep mode is used. This scenario corresponds to most present networks and can be used as a comparison point. The next two scenarios correspond to the use of either sleep mode or solar power but not both. We call these *single technology* scenarios. Then we consider two scenarios for introducing both technologies in a network, one after the other. We call these *sequential* scenarios. In both cases, the end result is a network using both solar power and sleep mode. The difference is in the way we evolve the current network to its final configuration. The final scenario is when we plan the network with both technologies available from the start. We call this the *joint* scenario.

6.1.1 Problem P1: Base network

In this scenario, there is no solar equipment or sleep mode available and the base stations are always on. We design the network by solving a restricted version of problem PL where the variable z_j and $x_{j,t}^o$ are fixed to 0 so that the only optimization is on the assignment variables $h_{i,j,t}$.

6.1.2 Problems P2 and P3: Single technology

Problem P2 is the case when solar is not available so that we fix the $z_j = 0$ and optimize over the other variables $x_{j,t}^o$ and $h_{i,j,t}$. In case P3, when solar is available but not sleep mode, we fix the $x_{j,t}^o = 0$ and solve again for the remaining variables.

6.1.3 Problem P4: Sequential scenario: Sleep mode first

In this first sequential scenario, we design the network in two steps by solving a different special case of problem PL each time. At first, we don't use solar energy so that we fix the $z_j = 0$ and optimize the network over the scheduling variables $x_{j,t}^o$ and the test point assignment $h_{i,j,t}$. Next, to model the introduction of solar equipment in the network, we solve a new special case of PL based on the results of the first step where sleep mode has already been planned. For this, the variables $x_{j,t}^o$ and $h_{i,j,t}$ are fixed at their current values and the optimal values of the z_j variables are recomputed by solving this restricted version of PL.

6.1.4 Problem P5: Sequential scenario: Solar first

In this scenario, the sequence is inverted: solar power is introduced first so that we fix the $x_{j,t}^o = 0$ and optimize the placement of solar equipment via the z_j variables. These variables and the test point assignments $h_{i,j,t}$ are then fixed at their current value and the sleep mode schedule x^o is optimized.

6.1.5 Problem PL: Joint optimization

Finally, we get to the scenario when both technologies are optimized at the same time by solving the full problem PL described in Section 4.4. This is obviously the best option and we can compare the benefit of optimizing the two options *at the same time* to the previous cases.

6.2 Solution algorithm

In this paper, problem PL and its variants are solved using Gurobi with the default options and the Ampl pre-processor. Once reduced by Ampl, the largest network we have solved has 42408 rows, 32959 columns and 125082 binary variables.

For large cases, we were unable to solve the joint PL problem from a cold start. We found that Gurobi would generate a large search tree trying to find a feasible solution and would run out of memory even on a machine with a large memory. Incidentally, the same thing happened with the Cplex solver. Nevertheless, it is possible to solve these cases to a reasonable accuracy if one starts the optimization with a known feasible solution. This could be the solution of any one of the P1 to P5 problems and in all the following, the solution of PL is always computed with one of these solutions as the starting point.

The cpu time is the total time used by all of the processors. Some values for the three larger networks are shown in Table 4 along with the optimality gap at the final solution. The first column shows which of the restricted problems is being solved. The *Solar* and *Sleep* headings indicate whether whether a technology, solar or sleep mode, is available (yes) or not (no). For the sequential scenarios of Sections 6.1.3 and 6.1.4, a *starred* entry means that this was the first technology introduced. Finally, the remaining columns show the total cpu time needed and the relative optimality gap. It is quite clear from these results that using Gurobi is a realistic option for off-line dimensioning of networks of up to 300 base stations. Larger networks will require heuristic techniques specifically tailored to the problem.

6.3 Sequential scenarios

We now examine in Table 5 the effect of sequential scenarios where we optimize one technology after the other. As before, the results for each network are grouped in blocks of five lines. The first boxed line shows the number of test points and base stations and the next four one, the total cost for each scenario. The first of these lines is the cost of the base configuration obtained by solving P1 without sleep mode or solar power. The next two lines represent the two scenarios for sequential optimization P4 and P5. The column marked *Sleep First* is for the case where the sleep mode is optimized first. In that case, *Step 1* is to optimize the sleep mode and *Step 2*, the solar installation. For column, labelled *Solar First*, solar installation is optimized first, which is *Step 1*, and then sleep mode, in *Step 2*. Finally, the last line of the block shows the total cost when both options are optimized together by solving PL.

An interesting point is that order *does* matter when doing sequential optimization. For all cases tested, using solar equipment before the sleep schedule yields better results than doing it in the opposite order.

Another conclusion is that the results of problem P5, where solar equipment is optimized first, are very close to those of the joint optimization PL, which is the truly optimal solution. A simple and accurate heuristic could then be to optimize first the solar installation and then add the dynamic operation of base stations without having to do the joint scenario PL.

Finally, starting from row 1 to 4, we can see from the results that the savings offered by the two technologies are clearly additive irrespective of the order in which they are planned.

Table 4: CPU time (sec). * indicates option available first

Problem Name	Solar Available	Sleep Available	Cpu	Gap %
123 TP, 41 BS				
P1	no	no	0.0183	0
P2	no	yes	41.2	0
P3	yes	no	0.0665	0
P4	yes	yes*	0.0564	0
P5	yes*	yes	1.28	0
PL	yes	yes	232	2
216 TP, 72 BS				
P1	no	no	0.0773	0
P2	no	yes	307	0.6
P3	yes	no	0.124	0
P4	yes	yes*	0.089	0
P5	yes*	yes	26.8	0
PL	yes	yes	187	3
486 TP, 162 BS				
P1	no	no	7.39	0
P2	no	yes	27454	0.3
P3	yes	no	0.411	0
P4	yes	yes*	0.271	0
P5	yes*	yes	310	0
PL	yes	yes	14067	3
864 TP, 288 BS				
P1	no	no	132	0
P2	no	yes	109058	0.5
P3	yes	no	0.815	0
P4	yes	yes*	0.442	0
P5	yes*	yes	5560	0.01
PL	yes	yes	54352	4

6.4 Solar energy

Here, we discuss the results regarding the use of solar energy in the different networks. The installed and used solar energy is examined for each of the optimization problems. In Section 6.4.1, it is done for the small network and Section 6.4.2 presents a summary of the results for the bigger networks.

6.4.1 Detailed solar use for the small network

To demonstrate how the network makes use of solar energy, we present in Table 6 more results for the small network of Figure 1 with 12 test points and 4 base stations, a 24-slot time base and where all the solutions are optimal. For this small network, the results from P5 and PL are identical. It is not common to have an optimal solution when the solar installation is solved first but it might happen in small networks.

In that table, the last column labeled *Ant energy* is the total energy needed by all the antennas over the study period. It depends on the total energy required by the users and how many base stations are in sleep mode. The *Inst solar* column is the total amount of energy that the installed solar equipment can produce while the column *Solar used* is the amount of solar energy that was effectively used by the network. The difference between the *Inst solar* and *Solar used* columns is the amount of lost energy due to battery overflow (13).

In that table, problems P1 and P3 correspond to the two cases where there is no sleep mode. As expected, they have a larger energy requirement than the other four cases where sleep mode is used. Out of these four cases, P3 is the one that uses the largest amount of solar energy. Here, the antennas need the most energy

Table 5: Optimal network cost (k\$) for sequential algorithms: Effect of order

		Sleep First P4	Solar First P5
12 TP, 4 BS			
P1	Base		14.5
	Step 1	12.4	11.2
	Step 2	10.1	10.0
PL	Joint		9.7
54 TP, 18 BS			
P1	Base		65.2
	Step 1	54.4	50.4
	Step 2	45.0	43.2
PL	Joint		42.8
123 TP, 41 BS			
P1	Base		149
	Step 1	118	115
	Step 2	99.8	98.5
PL	Joint		95.3
216 TP, 72 BS			
P1	Base		261
	Step 1	205	202
	Step 2	175	166
PL	Joint		164
486 TP, 162 BS			
P1	Base		587
	Step 1	454	454
	Step 2	390	367
PL	Joint		362
864 TP, 288 BS			
P1	Base		1044
	Step 1	791	807
	Step 2	683	646
PL	Joint		646

Table 6: Solar use, small network, energy in MWh

Pb No	Solar Avail	Sleep Avail	Cost (k\$)	Total Solar cost (k\$)	Grid (k\$)	Unit Solar cost (\$/kWh)	Solar used	Inst solar	Ant energy
P1	no	no	14.49	0	14.49	NA	0	0	65.9
P2	no	yes	12.55	0	12.55	NA	0	0	57
P3	yes	no	11.2	8.79	2.42	0.16	54.9	56.1	65.9
P4	yes	yes*	10.02	6.59	3.43	0.159	41.5	42.1	57
P5	yes*	yes	9.995	8.79	1.21	0.169	51.6	56.1	57
PL	yes	yes	9.995	8.79	1.21	0.169	51.6	56.1	57

and sleep mode is not available so that the solution is to install as much solar equipment as possible, leading to a large solar usage (col Solar used) with a correspondingly large capital cost (col Total solar cost).

Conversely, the smallest solar usage is that of P4, when sleep mode is planned first, without solar, and then the solar equipment is installed. Using sleep mode reduces considerably the amount of energy needed by the antennas (col Ant energy) but the amount of solar energy effectively used (col Solar used) also decreases significantly, with a corresponding decrease in the capital cost (col Total solar cost). The downside is that because there is less solar equipment available, the grid cost increases (col Grid) so that the total cost (col Cost) is still relatively large.

The important point to note is that *neither* of these two solution is optimal and the solution that uses the most solar energy is definitely *not* the best one. The solutions of problems P5 and PL strike a balance between

the reduction of grid energy on the one hand and the ensuing capital cost and yield a value for the amount of solar energy used that is between the ones of P3 and P4. This shows that any solar optimization technique must take into account the capital cost of the solar equipment before deciding to deploy this technology and that one cannot assume that it comes for free.

In column *Unit Solar Cost*, the solar price in dollars per kilowatt hour is simply the total cost of the solar equipment installed divided by the amount of solar energy that was used. This turns out to be 0.169\$/kWh for the best solutions of P5 and PL, which is smaller than the 0.22\$/kWh grid cost so that solar is an economically viable option.

Similar results hold for the other networks. A summary of the results for the larger networks is shown in Section 6.4.2.

6.4.2 Optimization and solar installation

We now present other results regarding the installation of solar equipment on the base stations. The goal here is to show that installing solar everywhere need not be the best solution even when solar energy is cheaper than grid energy. For this purpose, we use a model where solar is installed everywhere. This is called problem PFS, a variant of PL where the variable z_j is set to 1.

Table 7 shows the number of base stations that have solar installed in the column *Solar base stations* where problem PFS has been solved to optimality. We see that solar is installed on most, but not all base stations even though solar energy is cheaper than the grid. This is still true for bigger networks where the solar energy rates are between 0.16\$/kWh and 0.17\$/kWh depending on the network.

Table 7: Installed solar base stations

Pb No	Solar BSs	Total Cost (k\$)	Solar Energy Losses (%)
54 TP, 18 BS			
PL	17	42.8	4.2
PFS	18	43.1	4.3
123 TP, 41 BS			
PL	34	95.9	3.7
PFS	41	98.5	4.7
216 TP, 72 BS			
PL	65	164	4.9
PFS	72	166	5.9
486 TP, 162 BS			
PL	158	362	5.2
PFS	162	367	6.4
864 TP, 288 BS			
PL	288	646	6.8
PFS	288	646	6.8

Further information is provided in column *Total Cost* where the objective function value is shown. For the first three networks, the total cost is smaller when some base stations do not use solar energy. For the two larger networks, the results are not conclusive because the solutions are not optimal, with gaps of 3% and 4%.

At last, column *Solar Energy Losses* presents the energy that is lost due to the limited capacity of the batteries. It is a ratio of the energy that is effectively used to power the base stations over the total installed solar energy. This result shows that having too much solar equipment in the network can lead to more losses.

6.5 Network dynamics

We now examine in detail how the presence or absence of either solar or sleep mode can affect the operation of the network both from the point of view of the activation of the base stations and of the use of solar energy. For this, we consider the two scenarios of Sections 6.1.3 and 6.1.4 where the small network is optimized sequentially.

6.5.1 Sleep optimization first

First we examine the case where we optimize sleep mode first and then solar. We can see in Figure 4 the activation of the base stations during the day.




Figure 4: Base stations activation, sleep optimization first

Base stations No 2 and 3 are always on because they need to serve some test points that are only covered by them. Base station 0 is almost always in the sleep mode except during the two traffic peaks from 10:00 to 12:00 and 16:00 to 18:00, as shown in Figure 2. Base station 1 is almost always on except during the peak period at 16:00 where the traffic is taken up by base station 0.

This behavior is to be compared with the use of solar energy shown in Figure 5. We see that base station 0 never uses solar while 1, 2 and 3 use solar almost all of the time. This is a direct consequence of the optimization procedure. Because we optimize the sleep schedule first without solar power, the network has fewer opportunities to turn off the base stations and tries to compensate by using as much solar power as possible. This is consistent with the results of Table 6.




Figure 5: Batteries activation, sleep optimization first




Figure 6: Base stations activation, solar optimization first

6.5.2 Solar optimization first

Next, we consider the case where the network is optimized first for solar equipment and then for the sleep schedule. In the present case, this solution is also the optimal solution where both technologies are optimized together.

We can see in Figure 6 the base station activation schedule. This is strikingly different from Figure 4. Base stations 2 and 3 are still on all the time but 0 and 1 use sleep mode much more often. Use of solar energy is also different. Base stations 2 and 3 use grid energy more often while base stations 0 and 1 can now use solar power all the time for added savings as shown in Figure 7.




Figure 7: Batteries activation, solar optimization first

6.6 User assignments

In this section, we study the assignment of users to the base stations for the small network composed 12 test points and 4 base stations. The goal is to see how balanced in average is that assignation for each one of the six different problems. We are focus on certain periods of the day where the network dynamics are more obvious.

6.6.1 Measurements

In addition to the visual representation of the assignments from Figure 8, we compute two measures to evaluate the assignation of the test points for every time slots: the dispersion and the standard deviation of the assignment vector. With this measurement, it is easier to see how balanced the network is throughout the day for the every optimization problem.

The dispersion is simply the difference between the maximum and minimum numbers of test points assigned to a single base station. Define the number of test points assigned to a given base station j at time t as the degree

$$\Delta_{j,t} = \sum_{i \in I} h_{i,j,t} \quad \forall j \in S, \forall t \in T. \quad (27)$$

At time t , the dispersion D_t is

$$D_t = \max_{j \in S} \Delta_{j,t} - \min_{j \in S} \Delta_{j,t} \quad \forall t \in T. \quad (28)$$

Next, we compute the standard deviation σ_t

$$\sigma_t = \frac{1}{|S|} \sqrt{\sum_{j \in S} (\Delta_{j,t} - N_{bs}^{tp})^2} \quad \forall t \in T, \quad (29)$$

where $|S|$ is the total number of base stations and N_{bs}^{tp} the number of average test points connected per base station. This average value is equivalent to the ratio of test points over installed base stations, which is an input parameter of our model described in Section 4.2.




Figure 8: Test points assignments, solar optimization first

6.6.2 Assignment results

Figure 8 shows the map of the network the links represent the assignment of a test point to a base station for the joint problem (PL). Four time periods have been chosen to give an idea of the network dynamics: 10:00, 16:00, 17:00 and 18:00. Figure 8a shows the network when traffic is at its peak. Next, we can better see the interaction between base stations 0 and 1 in Figures 8b, 8c and 8d where a few test points are served alternatively by both base stations in order to switch them off more often.

We also present the dispersion in Figure 9 where it is shown the different profiles for each of the six problems. Note that problems P2, P3 and P4 and problems P5 and PL have the same measure of dispersion. The same goes for the σ represented in Figure 10. Both of these figures are very similar and the same conclusions can be made with either one of these. In the peak period shown in 8a, all of the base stations need to be activated. This peak period is translated into a smaller dispersion and standard deviation as shown at times 10:00 and 11:00 in Figures 9 and 10. This is also true, yet less striking, in the second peak starting at 15:00 and ending at 17:00. On the other hand, the network is less balanced with a higher dispersion and σ during night time because of the sleep mode of the base stations. In other words, with a lower traffic, some base stations are put to idle mode and will not have any test points connected which results in a greater dispersion and σ .





Figure 9: Dispersion profiles

Figure 10: σ profiles

At last, we focus on the different test point assignments for the six problems. For the basic problem P1, since the network is not large, the assignment is therefore more randomized with a higher dispersion and σ obtained in off-peak periods. For the other five problems, the maximum value of the two measurements is lower. The minimum stays the same because, in high traffic, the network is well balanced for every problem. P2-P3-P4 and P5-PL only differ during the off-peak before 9:00 and after 20:00. In these hours, the optimal solution of P5-PL has a slightly greater dispersion and σ .

Altogether, we see that the networks with both sleep mode and solar energy are much more flexible and can change the base stations much more often than the other cases. This is of course the root of the better efficiency of these networks as expected.

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we investigated the relationship between installing a solar harvesting system to power base station of a cellular network and the energy management under varying demand. For this, we presented a solar installation planning model that takes into account the hourly dynamics of the cellular network. We challenged the belief that solar energy can be considered free and that should always be installed everywhere in the network. This was done by explicitly modelling solar panels, batteries, inverters and charge controllers, as well as the cellular network demand and energy-management. We found that the solar installation and the energy-management of the base stations are so tightly interrelated that even the order in which the technologies are introduced can have an important impact on network cost and network performance. Finally, we show that installing solar equipment everywhere need not be the best solution even when the unit cost of solar power is smaller than that of the grid.

References

- [1] Mohammed H. Alsharif. A solar energy solution for sustainable third generation mobile networks. *Energies*, 10(8), March 2017.
- [2] Gunther Auer, Oliver Blume, Vito Giannini, Istvan Godor, Muhammad Ali Imran, Ylva Jading, Efstathios Katranaras, Magnus Olsson, Dario Sabella, Per Skillermark, and Wieslawa Wajda. Energy efficiency analysis of the reference systems, areas of improvements and target breakdown. Technical Report INFOS-ICT- 247733 EARTH, Cordis, 2012.
- [3] Silvia Boiardi, Antonio Capone, and Brunilde Sansò. Radio planning of energy-aware cellular networks. *Computer Networks*, 57:2564–2577, 2013.
- [4] Silvia Boiardi, Antonio Capone, and B. Sansò. Joint design and management of energy-aware mesh networks. *Ad Hoc Networks*, 10:1482–1496, 2012.
- [5] Silvia Boiardi, Antonio Capone, and Brunilde Sansò. Planning for energy-aware wireless networks. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 52(2):156–162, February 2014.

- [6] Alexandra Bousia, Angelos Antonopoulos, Luis Alonso, and Christos Verikoukis. “Green” distance-aware base station sleeping algorithm in LTE-advanced. In *IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, pages 1347–1351, June 2012.
- [7] Alexandra Bousia, Elli Kartsakli, Luis Alonso, and Christos Verikoukis. Energy efficient base station maximization switch off scheme for LTE-advanced. In *IEEE 17th International Workshop on Computer Aided Modeling and Design of Communication Links and Networks (CAMAD)*, pages 256–260, September 2012.
- [8] Alexandra Bousia, Elli Kartsakli, Angelos Antonopoulos, Luis Alonso, and Christos Verikoukis. Game-theoretic infrastructure sharing in multioperator cellular networks. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 65(5):3326–3341, May 2016.
- [9] Alexandra Bousia, Elli Kartsakli, Angelos Antonopoulos, Luis Alonso, and Christos Verikoukis. Multiobjective auction-based switching-off scheme in heterogeneous networks: To bid or not to bid? *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 65(11):9168–9180, November 2016.
- [10] Lukasz Budzisz, Fatemeh Ganji, Gianluca Rizzo, Marco Ajmone Marsan, Michela Meo, Yi Zhang, George Koutittas, Leandros Tassioulas, Sofie Lambert, Bart Lannoo, Mario Pickavet, Alberto Conte, Ivaylo Haratcherev, and Adam Wolisz. Dynamic resource provisioning for energy efficiency in wireless access networks: A survey and an outlook. *IEEE Communication Surveys & Tutorials*, 16(4):2259–2285, 2014.
- [11] Shijie Cai, Limin Xiao, Haibin Yang, Jing Wang, and Shidong Zhou. A cross-layer optimization of the joint macro- and picocell deployment with sleep mode for green communications. In *22nd Wireless and Optical Communication Conference*, pages 225–230, May 2013.
- [12] Yao-Liang Chung. An energy-saving small-cell zooming scheme for two-tier hybrid cellular networks. In *International Conference on Information Networking (ICOIN)*, pages 148–152, January 2015.
- [13] Gencer Cili, Halim Yanikomeroglu, and F. Richard Yu. Cell switch off technique combined with coordinated multi-point (CoMP) transmission for energy efficiency in beyond-LTE cellular networks. In *IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*, pages 5931–5935, June 2012.
- [14] Grit Claßen, Arie M.C.A. Koster, and Anke Schmeink. A robust optimisation model and cutting planes for the planning of energy-efficient wireless networks. *Computers and Operations Research*, 40(1):80–90, January 2013.
- [15] Muhammad Junaid Farooq, Hakim Ghazzai, Abdullah Kadri, Hesham ElSawy, and Mohamed-Slim Alouini. A hybrid energy sharing framework for green cellular networks. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 65(2):918–934, February 2017.
- [16] Focus Technology Co., Ltd. Solar Energy Product 60A PWM Solar Charge Controller with High Efficiency (ST1-60), 2018.
- [17] Hakim Ghazzai, Muhammad Junaid Farooq, Ahmad Alsharoa, Elias Yaacoub, Abdullah Kadri, and Mohamed-Slim Alouini. Green networking in cellular hetnets: A unified radio resource management framework with base station ON/OFF switching. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 66(7):5879–5893, July 2017.
- [18] Katharina Grave, Barbara Breitschopf, Jose Ordonez, Jakob Wachsmuth, Sil Boeve, Matthew Smith, Torben Schubert, Nele Friedrichsen, Andrea Herbst, Katharina Eckartz, Martin Pudlik, Marian Bons, Mario Ragwitz, and Joachim Schleich. Prices and costs of EU energy: Final report. Technical report, Ecofys, 2016.
- [19] Tao Han and Nirwan Ansari. Provisioning green energy for base stations in heterogeneous networks. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 65(7):5439–5448, July 2016.
- [20] Anqi He, Dantong Liu, Yue Chen, and Tiankui Zhang. Stochastic geometry analysis of energy efficiency in HetNets with combined CoMP and BS sleeping. In *IEEE 25th Annual International Symposium on Personal, Indoor, and Mobile Radio Communication (PIMRC)*, pages 1798–1802, September 2014.
- [21] Khaled Al Haj Ismail, Bachir Assaf, Milad Ghantous, and Michel Nahas. Reducing power consumption of cellular networks by using various cell types and cell zooming. In *The Third International Conference on e-Technologies and Networks for Development*, pages 33–38, April 2014.
- [22] Chenlong Jia and Teng Joon Lim. Resource partitioning and user association with sleep-mode base stations in heterogeneous cellular networks. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 14(7):3780–3793, July 2015.
- [23] Long Bao Le. QoS-aware BS switching and cell zooming design for OFDMA green cellular networks. In *IEEE Global Communications Conference (GLOBECOM)*, pages 1544–1549, December 2012.
- [24] Johann Leithon, Teng Joon Lim, and Sumei Sun. Energy exchange among base stations in a cellular network through the smart grid. In *Proc. International Conference on Communications*, June 2014.
- [25] Johann Leithon, Sumei Sun, and T.J. Lim. Energy management strategies for base stations in a smart grid environment. *Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications Technologies*, August 2014.
- [26] Marco Ajmone Marsan, Giuseppina Bucalo, Alfonso Di Caro, Michela Meo, and Yi Zhang. Towards zero grid electricity networking: Powering BSs with renewable energy sources. In *IEEE International Conference on Communications Workshops*, pages 596–601, June 2013.

- [27] Mouhcine Mendil, Antonio De Domenico, Vincent Heiries, Raphaël Caire, and Nouredine Hadj-said. Fuzzy Q-learning based energy management of small cells powered by the smart grid. In *International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications: Mobile and Wireless Networks*. IEEE, 2016.
- [28] Dusit Niyato, Xiao Lu, and Ping Wang. Adaptive power management for wireless base stations in a smart grid environment. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 19(6):44–51, December 2012.
- [29] Maria Oikonomakou, Angelos Antonopoulos, Luis Alonso, and Christos Verikoukis. Evaluating cost allocation imposed by cooperative switching off in multioperator shared hetnets. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 66(12):11352–11365, December 2017.
- [30] Nicola Piovesan, Angel Fernandez Gambin, Marco Miozzo, Michele Rossi, and Paolo Dini. Energy sustainable paradigms and methods for future mobile networks: A survey. *Computer Communications (in press)*, September 2018.
- [31] Javier Rubio, Antonio Pascual-Iserte, Jaume del Olmo Alòs, and Josep Vidal. Dynamic base station switch on/off strategies for sustainable wireless networks. In *International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications*. IEEE, 2014.
- [32] Wholesale Solar. Surrette / rolls s-550 flooded battery, 2018.
- [33] Bang Wang, Qiang Yang, Laurence T. Yang, and Chunsheng Zhu. On minimizing energy consumption cost in green heterogeneous wireless networks. *Computer Networks*, 129:522–535, December 2017.
- [34] Jingjin Wu, Yujing Zhang, Moshe Zukerman, and Edward Kai-Ning Yung. Energy-efficient base-stations sleep-mode techniques in green cellular networks: A survey. *Communication Surveys & Tutorials*, 17(2):803–826, 2015.
- [35] Yiqun Wu, Gaoning He, Shunqing Zhang, and Shugong Chen, Yanand Xu. Energy efficient coverage planning in cellular networks with sleep mode. In *Proc. IEEE 24th International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications*, pages 2586–2590, 2013.
- [36] Yangtze Solar Power Co. Ltd. 250W 260W 270W 280W High Efficiency Super Power Mono PV Photovoltaic Solar Panel, 2018.
- [37] Yueqing JYins Electric Technology Co., Ltd. 2000W DC to AC Pure Sine Wave Solar Power Inverter, 2018.
- [38] Yi Zhang, Michela Meo, Raffaella Gerboni, and Marco Ajmone Marsan. Minimum cost solar power systems for LTE macro base stations. *Computer Networks*, 112:12–23, October 2017.