

Tired Men

Are wonderfully helped by the use of Ayer's Sarsaparilla. There is no better tonic and nerve. It eradicates all impurity from the blood, and imparts strength and stamina to body and mind. The best alternative medicine for either old or young men. Be sure you get Ayer's Sarsaparilla and no other. It is the standard blood-purifier.

"I had been troubled for years with nervousness and debility. Ayer's Sarsaparilla cured me." - T. McCarthy, 26 Winter st., Lowell, Mass.

"I have used Ayer's Sarsaparilla in my family for the past twenty years, and can truly say that it has accomplished the desired result in every instance. I consider it the best blood-purifier in the market." - Capt. G. W. Chase, Gardiner, Me.

Henry Lamb, Somerville, Mass., after suffering for some time from debility, was cured by using Ayer's Sarsaparilla. He urges others, similarly afflicted, to try the same remedy.

William P. Stearns, 9 Free st., Portland, Me., testifies: "I suffered for years from a low condition of the blood and general debility, with severe pains in my back and shoulders. I have been greatly helped by a few bottles of Ayer's Sarsaparilla, and take every opportunity to praise it as my cure to those who are afflicted as I was."

"About two years ago I was prostrated with nervous debility, so that I was hardly able to do anything. No doctoring did me any good. Finally, I tried Ayer's Sarsaparilla, and three bottles restored me." - J. B. Mohr, Buffalo, N. Y.

Price \$1; six bottles, \$5. Worth \$5 a bottle.

CARPETS.

Established 1859.

ALBANY	YELLY	WOOL
WILTON	BRUSSELS	UNION
MOQUETTE	PARIS	SHAMP

New and latest patterns at lowest prices.

WILCOX, BROS. AND LENOIR.

Reliable.

LACE AND MADRAS COUNTERS, in new effects.

ANTIQUE WOODS, BRASS AND PLUSH FOLIES.

Furniture Coverings, Table and Tass Covers, Shades.

CARPET SQUARES, RIGGS AND MATS.

This is a new department.

HAMMOCKS, 20 styles, 75c to \$3.50. Ropes, Spreaders, etc.

CARPET SWEEPERS, Improved 4-wheelers, \$2.75.

CHIFFONNIERS, and RUGS, plain and fancy.

REVERSIBLE DUNDIE CARPETS, 10c yd. up.

This is a new department.

Enclose the amount you wish to pay for any desired article and we will guarantee to supply the best value to be had at the price, or return the money if not perfectly satisfactory. All correspondence promptly answered.

JAMES BATES & SON, 1837 Notre Dame st.

SCURVY HEADACHE

Positively cured by
CARTER'S
LITTLE
LIVER
PILLS.

They also relieve Distress from Dyspepsia, Indigestion and Two Hearty Coughs, and are a perfect remedy for Malaria, Nausea, Drowsiness, Bad Taste in the Mouth, Coated Tongue, Pain in the Side, TORPID LIVER, regulate the Bowels. Purely Vegetable.

SMALL PILL. SMALL DOSE. SMALL PRICE.

DR. KLINE'S

GREAT NERVE RESTORER!

A marvelous medicine for all diseases of the BRAIN and NERVOUS SYSTEM.

Price 82 per bottle, or 3 bottles for 85.

Country orders promptly filled.

Agent, J. A. HART, Chemist, Montreal.

NATURE'S REMEDIES!

Nature is full of remedies for the diseases of man and animals; but man has sought out many inventions which, being based on good principle, either do not cure, or curing one disease leave behind another equally bad. But by going directly to Nature's storehouse of remedies, and taking a few of the wonderful efficacy is obtained from the bark of wild cherry together with certain gum resins of a fragrant character such as exude from the white pine and fir. This combination of Nature's choicest remedies is known as Dr. Wilson's Pulmonary Cherry Balsam, and has worked marvellous cures. In fact it has never been known to fail when tried under circumstances at all favorable. Do not then neglect your slight cough until it becomes a chronic cough! Buy this medicine and be cured.

When the liver becomes diseased, it is accompanied with shooting pains in the back and fullness in the back of the head, the bowels are morbid, the stomach dyspeptic and the urine thick colored. Treatment: Take Dr. Wilson's Anti-Bilious and Promoting Fluid.

DICK'S LINIMENT FOR MAN AND BEAST.

Is recommended by the most competent authority for the reduction of swellings, Bruises, Contusions, Scalds, Old Sores, Frost Bites, Cracked Heels, Chapped Hands, and all other ailments of the skin. It is made by Dr. R. H. MATTISON, Montreal. There is no Gargaline Oil or Liniment in the market that can compare with it.

For sale by Druggists and Dealers. Price 25c.

ASK FOR IT, and take no other.

DICK & CO.,
P. O. Box 482,
MONTREAL.

HOOD'S "TRIUMPH"

is the Soap of the age for PERTV, general cleansing and price and is sold in boxes containing 40 pressed pound bars (full weight) in Blue Wrappers, and in cartons, made by Dr. J. A. HART, M. F. C.

Ask your Grocer for it, or send to the factory, 57 and 59 West Street, for a reduced price.

NAME AND TRADE MARK is stamped on Soap and Wrapper

NERVOUS DEBILITY,

Relations, Neuralgia, Catarrh, Indigestion, Rheumatism and all Nervous Diseases are immediately relieved and permanently cured by NORMAN'S ELECTRO-CUTANEOUS BELTS, which are warranted to be the best in the world. Consultation and catalogue free. Blisters, Suppositories, Shoulder Braces and Crutches kept in stock.

A. NORMAN, 4 Queen st. E., Toronto.

PRINTING PRESSES, &c.,

AT VERY LOW PRICES
FOR CASH.

No. 1 is a Double Cylinder TAYLOR PRESSES, which will give from 3,500 to 4,000 impressions per hour, and will print a type form 44 x 34. Price, \$600.

No. 2 Press is only suitable for printing newspapers, and No. 3 will print both books and newspapers.

A competent mechanic will be sent to put them up, and to show that they work all right for \$50 additional for each press.

Two of SPENCER'S ADDRESSING MACHINES, suitable for country newspapers, will be sold at less than half their original cost, and they are as good as new ones.

A GALLEY PRESS will also be sold at less than half price.

SECOND-HAND FANCY TYPE for sale, cheap. Can be seen at the "Witness" Office. No specimens sent.

Apply or address
JOHN DUFFELL & SON,
"WITNESS" OFFICE, MONTREAL.

of us as an example of the policy of live and let live. These little peculiarities were among other matters commented upon by the delegation was congratulated for its success. At length the thirty-two gentlemen were in the Hotel Reading room, and Doctor Davidson, Q. C., of Montreal, was elected chairman, and Mr. Armour, of Toronto, secretary. A good deal of plain speaking was done, many gentlemen taking the ground that His Excellency's remarks were

not only uncalculated for but impertinent when he spoke of the "live and let live" policy, and that he should be told that these remarks were to be applied to the Jesuits; that the delegation was exactly justified in its position in this policy and for the protection of the Province in its majority as well as for the interests of the Dominion. One gentleman said it was a long way to come for an annexation speech, and a strange place to hear it.

The following gentlemen were appointed a committee to draft a resolution embodying the sentiments of the delegation: Dr. Davidson, Dr. Armour, Rev. Dr. Springer, Rev. Mr. Langtry, Ald. Henderson, Rev. Dr. Moore and Mr. T. Small.

The delegates then adjourned for a short time and on their return received the committee's report and unanimously passed the following:

Moved by Mr. P. Langtry, seconded by Mr. W. M. Langtry, the committee on the part of the delegation from Ontario and Quebec, in meeting assembled after the interview with His Excellency, express their regret that any remarks leading to argument and discussion should be avoided by the advice of the Minister of the Interior, and they resolved that the Minister of the Interior should be advised by the Minister of the Interior that the delegation had already been there.

Further, that the Delegation learned with unfeigned satisfaction that His Excellency had been invited to attend the meeting of the Dominion Council, and that no case could be submitted by the Government to the Supreme Court there in respect of the opinion of the Minister of the Interior, and that the delegation had already been there.

There was a disposition shown to pass a much stronger resolution, but though one or two seemed to think that this should be done, it was decided to allow the resolution to stand as it was.

The spirit shown by the delegates on the return journey was one of great good humor, and determination to make the Equal Rights' movement stronger and more successful.

It was by some thought that His Excellency should have been answered on the spot by some indignant but respectful remonstrance, but this would have been a breach of decorum and of the respect which the loyal men of Ontario and Quebec owe to His Majesty.

Principal Caven was compelled to leave before His Excellency replied. He was obliged to do so in order to catch the 1.30 train. He therefore did not get anything but a hastily dictated note by a messenger delivered to him.

AN ASTOUNDING SECRETARY.

Mr. J. M. Armour, the indefatigable secretary of the Equal Rights' Association, was simply astounded. He had been prepared to be told the Act could not be disallowed, but was not prepared to be told that it could be disallowed. Mr. John Thompson's utterances reiterated by His Excellency with the addition of the "live and let live" manifesto. He believed that the speech would set the Ontario people on their feet, and would make matters worse instead of better.

FRENCH IN THE DRILL.

One of the Ontario delegates, a military man, while passing a squad of drillmen, heard an order given in French and drew the attention of the Captain to the fact. The Captain explained that there were some French Canadian men in the force, and perhaps the order was given in that way. The Captain did not appear to be much disconcerted by it, but the Ontario man who heard it, but not the first time in his life, thought it strange. The troops all over the world are taught in the English tongue. Even in India they command in English. "If you know, in English, you know," said one delegate.

DETERMINED TO WORK.

"This will only make us work harder than ever," "It will convert Ontario into an Orange Lodge from end to end." But His Excellency had the logic with him when he said, "No, he cannot point to a single constituency that has supported his member in voting with the 188; several tried to get whitewashed and have failed." "We could have had a hundred more petitioners if we had not been told 'No'." "Not so," said His Excellency, "but why does His Excellency say that it is only Ontario and Quebec that are excited?" These expressions were uttered with more or less force and vehemence.

AN ILLUSION.

His Excellency talked about "live and let live" in the United States and said one delegate that they were doing it. The Orangemen were going to walk in New York a few years ago, and they were opposed by the Catholics. The State militia were ordered out, they surrounded the Orangemen, and they killed and wounded the soldiers also, the Orangemen walked in the procession. How is it here, where the Governor preaches to us? It is one-sided. The Orangemen in Montreal say that they are the only ones who wanted to walk, and were told they could not. The comparison was a dangerous one for His Excellency to make. It is entirely against him and in favor of our prayer for equal rights.

"But I think we can keep Canada for ourselves and have equal rights too."

This latter sentiment received general approbation from the group of speakers. They said that the time of the Orangemen and the Catholics was long ago, and they were opposed by the Catholics. The State militia were ordered out, they surrounded the Orangemen, and they killed and wounded the soldiers also, the Orangemen walked in the procession. How is it here, where the Governor preaches to us? It is one-sided. The Orangemen in Montreal say that they are the only ones who wanted to walk, and were told they could not. The comparison was a dangerous one for His Excellency to make. It is entirely against him and in favor of our prayer for equal rights.

TORONTO VIEWS.

TORONTO, August 3.—Referring to the Governor-General's answer to the petition of the Equal Rights' Association, the Globe this morning says:—Assuming that Sir John Macdonald's statement that the Act is not to be disallowed by process of law, the question arises, is there any way at all by which the preamble of the Act, which contains nearly all that is objectionable in it, may be wiped out? The response is that it cannot be. The Act is a whole, and it would be the removal of the preamble without the consent of the Quebec Government and Legislature. Now Mr. Mercer in introducing the resolution on which the Act is founded stated that he would not insist on the preamble, but that he would insist on the substance of the Act if the Protestant members of the Legislature made objection. We see to reason why he should not display to thousands of Protestants the same consideration that he has shown to the Catholics. If it would be a very reasonable, graceful and magnanimous proceeding for him to repeal the Act, wipe out the preamble and pass the money granting clause again. But as the Act is now, it would remove some of the objections against the measure, but it would satisfy the strongest and soundest of those objections, viz., the objection that the preamble is a matter which is derogatory from the supremacy of the civil authorities. Mr. Mercer has now fully vindicated and more than vindicated the provincial rights of his province. He has dragged Sir John Macdonald at his chariot wheel, and now remains to be seen whether Mr. Mercer can be magnanimous in victory as well as determined in the struggle of politics. Wiping out that objectionable preamble he can save the general interest well and conciliate many who have felt it a duty to call for the disallowance of his measure.

The Mail says:—The next step, and in fact the only logical step, for the Equal Rights' Association to pursue is to demand a revision of the Constitution. We can conceive of no other mode of curing Quebec now that the theory of every provincial law which is strictly infra vires must be sustained has been recognized.

Says the Express:—From the first day when this question of petition was mooted the Empire has steadily pointed out the faultiness and foolishness of such a course, but after all it may be as well that it has been persisted in as it has been from the highest authority in the Dominion. It is an authority the impartiality of which no one can doubt, a calm and convincing statement like this which must go far to allay the feelings that have so indifferently been fanned.

The question of disallowance of the Act. The Mail says:—The Equal Rights' Association for the present with the Chief Magistrate will in all probability look for other heads to hit. They will, we imagine, now turn toward Mr. Mercer and Mr. Mercer's subsequent legislation

and next to the members of the House of Commons who voted not to disallow the Jesuits' Estates Act. We do not believe that either the Conservative or the Liberal party will run in Ontario on both sides ten of the men who voted with the majority on that question. These men stood by their party, but they signed their death warrants with their own hands. Mr. Mercer's government and the members at Ottawa will have to settle with the people.

FRENCH PRESS OPINIONS.

"LA MINERVE."

"The authors of the anti-Jesuit movement have just had a rebuke which, we trust, will serve them as a lesson for a long time. As is well known, a delegation composed in great part of Ontario people was sent yesterday to the Governor-General in Quebec to ask His Excellency to disallow the Jesuits' Bill. The following His Excellency's answer was sent by telegraph Quebec last night. After giving the text of the Governor-General's reply, the Government organ continues: 'If His Excellency's reply does not completely put an end to the anti-Jesuit agitation, it will at least have characterized it in such a manner that the Cavens, Douglasses and Davisons will have difficulty in getting adhesion among our English fellow-citizens, whose patriotism is equal to their mental culture.'"

Under the heading "A Lesson in Constitutional Law," La Minerve has also the following article: "Did the good people who went yesterday to the Citadel at Quebec to pray the Governor-General to disallow the Jesuits' Act know well what they were doing? This is doubtful, and everything tends to show, on the contrary, that they were acting blindly under the impulse of the hypocritical blunders of the *Mout*, the *Globe*, the *Witness*, and the other members of the liberal *bona fide* press. Now, this impulse was simply stupid. It carried us back half a century to the period preceding the union of the Canadian, not to say to the time of the military regime. The step taken by three poor dupes signifies that the Governor-General has the power to disallow, of his own accord, a provincial law which has been ratified by the Federal Government and by the Dominion Parliament. They represent but a very trifling minority, since there were only thirteen members out of over two hundred, who recommended the disallowance, and they put it or rather allowed it to be put in their hands that the Queen's representative would follow their advice and opinion rather than the advice of the Cabinet Ministers and members of the House. According to their Government theory His Excellency should, on account of this matter, have dismissed Sir John Macdonald and entrusted Mr. Charlton with the formation of a Ministry. But then, where would Mr. Charlton have found his majority? His friends and himself are exactly thirteen in number, which is the number of the Federal Ministers. Where would they have scraped up their partisans?"

The article then goes on to say that the only way in which the Governor-General can disallow the Act is by the aid of the majority of his Ministers, and that the act referred to was ratified in Council six months ago. Continuing, it says:—"Lord Stanley could not then resist and take sides with the Liberal party, without stepping backwards and openly giving the regular channels, and that to please a band of sectarians who represent nothing but themselves, and a good number of whom are not even French. Moreover, he could not have refused his sanction without disobeying the instructions he has received. This is what Lord Stanley perfectly understood, and he has not failed to tell the gentlemen of the *Witness* the reply as published."

"L'ETENDARD."

"Yesterday the Dominion Anti-Jesuit Association presented to the Governor-General in Quebec its petition signed with 51,000 names, asking for disallowance. Several clergymen delivered addresses in support of the petition, and the following is Lord Stanley's reply. It is needless to add the least comment to these words, which were calm but full of strength and reason. We believe that one good speech will do more for the cause of the French Canadian than a hundred of the heavy blows given them by Her Majesty's representative."

"Will they submit? We will see where the true loyalists are." "The *Blou* organ, when they speak of the Anti-Jesuit agitation, refer only to Mr. Charlton who is a Liberal and a Jesuit agent, and have not a word to say about Mr. Dalton McCarthy, who is a Conservative and a French Canadian. They think they will make the French-Canadian population believe that the Tory party of Upper Canada is for the Jesuits and the Grit party against."

"LE MONTE."

Sir Hector Langevin's mouthpiece says:—"The delegation has got its answer and has got it well. It after the firm and logic language of Lord Stanley of Preston our good subjects do not calm down, we may at least expect that in future they will not make dupes so easily. For in Ontario and in the Protestant counties of Quebec, while English, the people are none the less intelligent and patriotic, and any man endowed with the two qualities has only to read the Governor-General's reply to be convinced on what side stand justice and right. Messrs. Davidson, Caven and others, blind with fanaticism, perhaps did not understand that their agitation implies a step backwards of half a century. This little shift for men who have the French-Canadians of having preserved the customs of the medieval ages, and who boast of their progress and their enlightenment, is a little too much for them. They want to understand, in civil terms and in a manner not to be mistaken, that it would be an insult to him to believe that he would act contrary to the advice of his official advisers."

"LA PATRIE."

La Patrie tries to ridicule the whole proceedings. In a long article, the Mercier organ says, among other things, that the proceedings are absurdity of this adventure is for us a finer vengeance and a greater victory than that which we would have had over the bayonets of Dalton McCarthy. After giving the Governor-General's reply, it continues as follows:—"A clapping on the head would not have bewildered these poor people more. Surely they could not expect to see their fanatical views and their intentions to wipe out race and religion approved by the Governor-General, but they certainly did not expect to receive such a masterly blow. This reply, after that received from the Colonial Office, does away with any further explanation. The Jesuits' Estates Act is not to be disallowed. It is an undeniable victory for the Hon. Mr. Mercer. Now, what will these gentlemen do? Will they adopt Mr. Mercer's plan—Bayonets?"

TWO JESUIT OPINIONS.

QUEBEC, Aug. 3.—Referring to the Governor-General's answer to the petition of the Equal Rights' Association, the *Globe* this morning says:—Assuming that Sir John Macdonald's statement that the Act is not to be disallowed by process of law, the question arises, is there any way at all by which the preamble of the Act, which contains nearly all that is objectionable in it, may be wiped out? The response is that it cannot be. The Act is a whole, and it would be the removal of the preamble without the consent of the Quebec Government and Legislature. Now Mr. Mercer in introducing the resolution on which the Act is founded stated that he would not insist on the preamble, but that he would insist on the substance of the Act if the Protestant members of the Legislature made objection. We see to reason why he should not display to thousands of Protestants the same consideration that he has shown to the Catholics. If it would be a very reasonable, graceful and magnanimous proceeding for him to repeal the Act, wipe out the preamble and pass the money granting clause again. But as the Act is now, it would remove some of the objections against the measure, but it would satisfy the strongest and soundest of those objections, viz., the objection that the preamble is a matter which is derogatory from the supremacy of the civil authorities. Mr. Mercer has now fully vindicated and more than vindicated the provincial rights of his province. He has dragged Sir John Macdonald at his chariot wheel, and now remains to be seen whether Mr. Mercer can be magnanimous in victory as well as determined in the struggle of politics. Wiping out that objectionable preamble he can save the general interest well and conciliate many who have felt it a duty to call for the disallowance of his measure.

The Mail says:—The next step, and in fact the only logical step, for the Equal Rights' Association to pursue is to demand a revision of the Constitution. We can conceive of no other mode of curing Quebec now that the theory of every provincial law which is strictly infra vires must be sustained has been recognized.

Says the Express:—From the first day when this question of petition was mooted the Empire has steadily pointed out the faultiness and foolishness of such a course, but after all it may be as well that it has been persisted in as it has been from the highest authority in the Dominion. It is an authority the impartiality of which no one can doubt, a calm and convincing statement like this which must go far to allay the feelings that have so indifferently been fanned.

The question of disallowance of the Act. The Mail says:—The Equal Rights' Association for the present with the Chief Magistrate will in all probability look for other heads to hit. They will, we imagine, now turn toward Mr. Mercer and Mr. Mercer's subsequent legislation

and next to the members of the House of Commons who voted not to disallow the Jesuits' Estates Act. We do not believe that either the Conservative or the Liberal party will run in Ontario on both sides ten of the men who voted with the majority on that question. These men stood by their party, but they signed their death warrants with their own hands. Mr. Mercer's government and the members at Ottawa will have to settle with the people.

FRENCH PRESS OPINIONS.

"LA MINERVE."

"The authors of the anti-Jesuit movement have just had a rebuke which, we trust, will serve them as a lesson for a long time. As is well known, a delegation composed in great part of Ontario people was sent yesterday to the Governor-General in Quebec to ask His Excellency to disallow the Jesuits' Bill. The following His Excellency's answer was sent by telegraph Quebec last night. After giving the text of the Governor-General's reply, the Government organ continues: 'If His Excellency's reply does not completely put an end to the anti-Jesuit agitation, it will at least have characterized it in such a manner that the Cavens, Douglasses and Davisons will have difficulty in getting adhesion among our English fellow-citizens, whose patriotism is equal to their mental culture.'"

Under the heading "A Lesson in Constitutional Law," La Minerve has also the following article: "Did the good people who went yesterday to the Citadel at Quebec to pray the Governor-General to disallow the Jesuits' Act know well what they were doing? This is doubtful, and everything tends to show, on the contrary, that they were acting blindly under the impulse of the hypocritical blunders of the *Mout*, the *Globe*, the *Witness*, and the other members of the liberal *bona fide* press. Now, this impulse was simply stupid. It carried us back half a century to the period preceding the union of the Canadian, not to say to the time of the military regime. The step taken by three poor dupes signifies that the Governor-General has the power to disallow, of his own accord, a provincial law which has been ratified by the Federal Government and by the Dominion Parliament. They represent but a very trifling minority, since there were only thirteen members out of over two hundred, who recommended the disallowance, and they put it or rather allowed it to be put in their hands that the Queen's representative would follow their advice and opinion rather than the advice of the Cabinet Ministers and members of the House. According to their Government theory His Excellency should, on account of this matter, have dismissed Sir John Macdonald and entrusted Mr. Charlton with the formation of a Ministry. But then, where would Mr. Charlton have found his majority? His friends and himself are exactly thirteen in number, which is the number of the Federal Ministers. Where would they have scraped up their partisans?"

The article then goes on to say that the only way in which the Governor-General can disallow the Act is by the aid of the majority of his Ministers, and that the act referred to was ratified in Council six months ago. Continuing, it says:—"Lord Stanley could not then resist and take sides with the Liberal party, without stepping backwards and openly giving the regular channels, and that to please a band of sectarians who represent nothing but themselves, and a good number of whom are not even French. Moreover, he could not have refused his sanction without disobeying the instructions he has received. This is what Lord Stanley perfectly understood, and he has not failed to tell the gentlemen of the *Witness* the reply as published."

"L'ETENDARD."

"Yesterday the Dominion Anti-Jesuit Association presented to the Governor-General in Quebec its petition signed with 51,000 names, asking for disallowance. Several clergymen delivered addresses in support of the petition, and the following is Lord Stanley's reply. It is needless to add the least comment to these words, which were calm but full of strength and reason. We believe that one good speech will do more for the cause of the French Canadian than a hundred of the heavy blows given them by Her Majesty's representative."

"Will they submit? We will see where the true loyalists are." "The *Blou* organ, when they speak of the Anti-Jesuit agitation, refer only to Mr. Charlton who is a Liberal and a Jesuit agent, and have not a word to say about Mr. Dalton McCarthy, who is a Conservative and a French Canadian. They think they will make the French-Canadian population believe that the Tory party of Upper Canada is for the Jesuits and the Grit party against."

"LE MONTE."

Sir Hector Langevin's mouthpiece says:—"The delegation has got its answer and has got it well. It after the firm and logic language of Lord Stanley of Preston our good subjects do not calm down, we may at least expect that in future they will not make dupes so easily. For in Ontario and in the Protestant counties of Quebec, while English, the people are none the less intelligent and patriotic, and any man endowed with the two qualities has only to read the Governor-General's reply to be convinced on what side stand justice and right. Messrs. Davidson, Caven and others, blind with fanaticism, perhaps did not understand that their agitation implies a step backwards of half a century. This little shift for men who have the French-Canadians of having preserved the customs of the medieval ages, and who boast of their progress and their enlightenment, is a little too much for them. They want to understand, in civil terms and in a manner not to be mistaken, that it would be an insult to him to believe that he would act contrary to the advice of his official advisers."

"LA PATRIE."

La Patrie tries to ridicule the whole proceedings. In a long article, the Mercier organ says, among other things, that the proceedings are absurdity of this adventure is for us a finer vengeance and a greater victory than that which we would have had over the bayonets of Dalton McCarthy. After giving the Governor-General's reply, it continues as follows:—"A clapping on the head would not have bewildered these poor people more. Surely they could not expect to see their fanatical views and their intentions to wipe out race and religion approved by the Governor-General, but they certainly did not expect to receive such a masterly blow. This reply, after that received from the Colonial Office, does away with any further explanation. The Jesuits' Estates Act is not to be disallowed. It is an undeniable victory for the Hon. Mr. Mercer. Now, what will these gentlemen do? Will they adopt Mr. Mercer's plan—Bayonets?"

TWO JESUIT OPINIONS.

QUEBEC, Aug. 3.—Referring to the Governor-General's answer to the petition of the Equal Rights' Association, the *Globe* this morning says:—Assuming that Sir John Macdonald's statement that the Act is not to be disallowed by process of law, the question arises, is there any way at all by which the preamble of the Act, which contains nearly all that is objectionable in it, may be wiped out? The response is that it cannot be. The Act is a whole, and it would be the removal of the preamble without the consent of the Quebec Government and Legislature. Now Mr. Mercer in introducing the resolution on which the Act is founded stated that he would not insist on the preamble, but that he would insist on the substance of the Act if the Protestant members of the Legislature made objection. We see to reason why he should not display to thousands of Protestants the same consideration that he has shown to the Catholics. If it would be a very reasonable, graceful and magnanimous proceeding for him to repeal the Act, wipe out the preamble and pass the money granting clause again. But as the Act is now, it would remove some of the objections against the measure, but it would satisfy the strongest and soundest of those objections, viz., the objection that the preamble is a matter which is derogatory from the supremacy of the civil authorities. Mr. Mercer has now fully vindicated and more than vindicated the provincial rights of his province. He has dragged Sir John Macdonald at his chariot wheel, and now remains to be seen whether Mr. Mercer can be magnanimous in victory as well as determined in the struggle of politics. Wiping out that objectionable preamble he can save the general interest well and conciliate many who have felt it a duty to call for the disallowance of his measure.

The Mail says:—The next step, and in fact the only logical step, for the Equal Rights' Association to pursue is to demand a revision of the Constitution. We can conceive of no other mode of curing Quebec now that the theory of every provincial law which is strictly infra vires must be sustained has been recognized.

Says the Express:—From the first day when this question of petition was mooted the Empire has steadily pointed out the faultiness and foolishness of such a course, but after all it may be as well that it has been persisted in as it has been from the highest authority in the Dominion. It is an authority the impartiality of which no one can doubt, a calm and convincing statement like this which must go far to allay the feelings that have so indifferently been fanned.

The question of disallowance of the Act. The Mail says:—The Equal Rights' Association for the present with the Chief Magistrate will in all probability look for other heads to hit. They will, we imagine, now turn toward Mr. Mercer and Mr. Mercer's subsequent legislation

and next to the members of the House of Commons who voted not to disallow the Jesuits' Estates Act. We do not believe that either the Conservative or the Liberal party will run in Ontario on both sides ten of the men who voted with the majority on that question. These men stood by their party, but they signed their death warrants with their own hands. Mr. Mercer's government and the members at Ottawa will have to settle with the people.

FRENCH PRESS OPINIONS.

"LA MINERVE."

"The authors of the anti-Jesuit movement have just had a rebuke which, we trust, will serve them as a lesson for a long time. As is well known, a delegation composed in great part of Ontario people was sent yesterday to the Governor-General in Quebec to ask His Excellency to disallow the Jesuits' Bill. The following His Excellency's answer was sent by telegraph Quebec last night. After giving the text of the Governor-General's reply, the Government organ continues: 'If His Excellency's reply does not completely put an end to the anti-Jesuit agitation, it will at least have characterized it in such a manner that the Cavens, Douglasses and Davisons will have difficulty in getting adhesion among our English fellow-citizens, whose patriotism is equal to their mental culture.'"

Under the heading "A Lesson in Constitutional Law," La Minerve has also the following article: "Did the good people who went yesterday to the Citadel at Quebec to pray the Governor-General to disallow the Jesuits' Act know well what they were doing? This is doubtful, and everything tends to show, on the contrary, that they were acting blindly under the impulse of the hypocritical blunders of the *Mout*, the *Globe*, the *Witness*, and the other members of the liberal *bona fide* press. Now, this impulse was simply stupid. It carried us back half a century to the period preceding the union of the Canadian, not to say to the time of the military regime. The step taken by three poor dupes signifies that the Governor-General has the power to disallow, of his own accord, a provincial law which has been ratified by the Federal Government and by the Dominion Parliament. They represent but a very trifling minority, since there were only thirteen members out of over two hundred, who recommended the disallowance, and they put it or rather allowed it to be put in their hands that the Queen's representative would follow their advice and opinion rather than the advice of the Cabinet Ministers and members of the House. According to their Government theory His Excellency should, on account of this matter, have dismissed Sir John Macdonald and entrusted Mr. Charlton with the formation of a Ministry. But then, where would Mr. Charlton have found his majority? His friends and himself are exactly thirteen in number, which is the number of the Federal Ministers. Where would they have scraped up their partisans?"

The article then goes on to say that the only way in which the Governor-General can disallow the Act is by the aid of the majority of his Ministers, and that the act referred to was ratified in Council six months ago. Continuing, it says:—"Lord Stanley could not then resist and take sides with the Liberal party, without stepping backwards and openly giving the regular channels, and that to please a band of sectarians who represent nothing but themselves, and a good number of whom are not even French. Moreover, he could not have refused his sanction without disobeying the instructions he has received. This is what Lord Stanley perfectly understood, and he has not failed to tell the gentlemen of the *Witness* the reply as published."

"L'ETENDARD."

"Yesterday the Dominion Anti-Jesuit Association presented to the Governor-General in Quebec its petition signed with 51,000 names, asking for disallowance. Several clergymen delivered addresses in support of the petition, and the following is Lord Stanley's reply. It is needless to add the least comment to these words, which were calm but full of strength and reason. We believe that one good speech will do more for the cause of the French Canadian than a hundred of the heavy blows given them by Her Majesty's representative."

"Will they submit? We will see where the true loyalists are." "The *Blou* organ, when they speak of the Anti-Jesuit agitation, refer only to Mr. Charlton who is a Liberal and a Jesuit agent, and have not a word to say about Mr. Dalton McCarthy, who is a Conservative and a French Canadian. They think they will make the French-Canadian population believe that the Tory party of Upper Canada is for the Jesuits and the Grit party against."

"LE MONTE."

Sir Hector Langevin's mouthpiece says:—"The delegation has got its answer and has got it well. It after the firm and logic language of Lord Stanley of Preston our good subjects do not calm down, we may at least expect that in future they will not make dupes so easily. For in Ontario and in the Protestant counties of Quebec, while English, the people are none the less intelligent and patriotic, and any man endowed with the two qualities has only to read the Governor-General's reply to be convinced on what side stand justice and right. Messrs. Davidson, Caven and others, blind with fanaticism, perhaps did not understand that their agitation implies a step backwards of half a century. This little shift for men who have the French-Canadians of having preserved the customs of the medieval ages, and who boast of their progress and their enlightenment, is a little too much for them. They want to understand, in civil terms and in a manner not to be mistaken, that it would be an insult to him to believe that he would act contrary to the advice of his official advisers."

"LA PATRIE."

La Patrie tries to ridicule the whole proceedings. In a long article, the Mercier organ says, among other things, that the proceedings are absurdity of this adventure is for us a finer vengeance and a greater victory than that which we would have had over the bayonets of Dalton McCarthy. After giving the Governor-General's reply, it continues as follows:—"A clapping on the head would not have bewildered these poor people more. Surely they could not expect to see their fanatical views and their intentions to wipe out race and religion approved by the Governor-General, but they certainly did not expect to receive such a masterly blow. This reply, after that received from the Colonial Office, does away with any further explanation. The Jesuits' Estates Act is not to be disallowed. It is an undeniable victory for the Hon. Mr. Mercer. Now, what will these gentlemen do? Will they adopt Mr. Mercer's plan—Bayonets?"

TWO JESUIT OPINIONS.

QUEBEC, Aug. 3.—Referring to the Governor-General's answer to the petition of the Equal Rights' Association, the *Globe* this morning says:—Assuming that Sir John Macdonald's statement that the Act is not to be disallowed by process of law, the question arises, is there any way at all by which the preamble of the Act, which contains nearly all that is objectionable in it, may be wiped out? The response is that it cannot be. The Act is a whole, and it would be the removal of the preamble without the consent of the Quebec Government and Legislature. Now Mr. Mercer in introducing the resolution on which the Act is founded stated that he would not insist on the preamble, but that he would insist on the substance of the Act if the Protestant members of the Legislature made objection. We see to reason why he should not display to thousands of Protestants the same consideration that he has shown to the Catholics. If it would be a very reasonable, graceful and magnanimous proceeding for him to repeal the Act, wipe out the preamble and pass the money granting clause again. But as the Act is now, it would remove some of the objections against the measure, but it would satisfy the strongest and soundest of those objections, viz., the objection that the preamble is a matter which is derogatory from the supremacy of the civil authorities. Mr. Mercer has now fully vindicated and more than vindicated the provincial rights of his province. He has dragged Sir John Macdonald at his chariot wheel, and now remains to be seen whether Mr. Mercer can be magnanimous in victory as well as determined in the struggle of politics. Wiping out that objectionable preamble he can save the general interest well and conciliate many who have felt it a duty to call for the disallowance of his measure.

The Mail says:—The next step, and in fact the only logical step, for the Equal Rights' Association to pursue is to demand a revision of the Constitution. We can conceive of no other mode of curing Quebec now that the theory of every provincial law which is strictly infra vires must be sustained has been recognized.

Says the Express:—From the first day when this question of petition was mooted the Empire has steadily pointed out the faultiness and foolishness of such a course, but after all it may be as well that it has been persisted in as it has been from the highest authority in the Dominion. It is an authority the impartiality of which no one can doubt, a calm and convincing statement like this which must go far to allay the feelings that have so indifferently been fanned.

The question of disallowance of the Act. The Mail says:—The Equal Rights' Association for the present with the Chief Magistrate will in all probability look for other heads to hit. They will, we imagine, now turn toward Mr. Mercer and Mr. Mercer's subsequent legislation

and next to the members of the House of Commons who voted not to disallow the Jesuits' Estates Act. We do not believe that either the Conservative or the Liberal party will run in Ontario on both sides ten of the men who voted with the majority on that question. These men stood by their party, but they signed their death warrants with their own hands. Mr. Mercer's government and the members at Ottawa will have to settle with the people.

FRENCH PRESS OPINIONS.

"LA MINERVE."

"The authors of the anti-Jesuit movement have just had a rebuke which, we trust, will serve them as a lesson for a long time. As is well known, a delegation composed in great part of Ontario people was sent yesterday to the Governor-General in Quebec to ask His Excellency to disallow the Jesuits' Bill. The following His Excellency's answer was sent by telegraph Quebec last night. After giving the text of the Governor-General's reply, the Government organ continues: 'If His Excellency's reply does not completely put an end to the anti-Jesuit agitation, it will at least have characterized it in such a manner that the Cavens, Douglasses and Davisons will have difficulty in getting adhesion among our English fellow-citizens, whose patriotism is equal to their mental culture.'"

Under the heading "A Lesson in Constitutional Law," La Minerve has also the following article: "Did the good people who went yesterday to the Citadel at Quebec to pray the Governor-General to disallow the Jesuits' Act know well what they were doing? This is doubtful, and everything tends to show, on the contrary, that they were acting blindly under the impulse of the hypocritical blunders of the *Mout*, the *Globe*, the *Witness*, and the other members of the liberal *bona fide* press. Now, this impulse was simply stupid. It carried us back half a century to the period preceding the union of the Canadian, not to say to the time of the military regime. The step taken by three poor dupes signifies that the Governor-General has the power to disallow, of his own accord, a provincial law which has been ratified by the Federal Government and by the Dominion Parliament. They represent but a very trifling minority, since there were only thirteen members out of over two hundred, who recommended the disallowance, and they put it or rather allowed it to be put in their hands that the Queen's representative would follow their advice and opinion rather than the advice of the Cabinet Ministers and members of the House. According to their Government theory His Excellency should, on account of this matter, have dismissed Sir John Macdonald and entrusted Mr. Charlton with the formation of a Ministry. But then, where would Mr. Charlton have found his majority? His friends and himself are exactly thirteen in number, which is the number of the Federal Ministers. Where would they have scraped up their partisans?"

The article then goes on to say that the only way in which the Governor-General can disallow the Act is by the aid of the majority of his Ministers, and that the act referred to was ratified in Council six months ago. Continuing, it says:—"Lord Stanley could not then resist and take sides with the Liberal party, without stepping backwards and openly giving the regular channels, and that to please a band of sectarians who represent nothing but themselves, and a good number of whom are not even French. Moreover, he could not have refused his sanction without disobeying the instructions he has received. This is what Lord Stanley perfectly understood, and he has not failed to tell the gentlemen of the *Witness* the reply as published."

"L'ETENDARD."

"Yesterday the Dominion Anti-Jesuit Association presented to the Governor-General in Quebec its petition signed with 51,000 names, asking for disallowance. Several clergymen delivered addresses in support of the petition, and the following is Lord Stanley's reply. It is needless to add the least comment to these words, which were calm but full of strength and reason. We believe that one good speech will do more for the cause of the French Canadian than a hundred of the heavy blows given them by Her Majesty's representative."

"Will they submit? We will see where the true loyalists are." "The *Blou* organ, when they speak of the Anti-Jesuit agitation, refer only to Mr. Charlton who is a Liberal and a Jesuit agent, and have not a word to say about Mr. Dalton McCarthy, who is a Conservative and a French Canadian. They think they will make the French-Canadian population believe that the Tory party of Upper Canada is for the Jesuits and the Grit party against."

"LE MONTE."

Sir Hector Langevin's mouthpiece says:—"The delegation has got its answer and has got it well. It after the firm and logic language of Lord Stanley of Preston our good subjects do not calm down, we may at least expect that in future they will not make dupes so easily. For in Ontario and in the Protestant counties of Quebec, while English, the people are none the less intelligent and patriotic, and any man endowed with the two qualities has only to read the Governor-General's reply to be convinced on what side stand justice and right. Messrs. Davidson, Caven and others, blind with fanaticism, perhaps did not understand that their agitation implies a step backwards of half a century. This little shift for men who have the French-Canadians of having preserved the customs of the medieval ages, and who boast of their progress and their enlightenment, is a little too much for them. They want to understand, in civil terms and in a manner not to be mistaken, that it would be an insult to him to believe that he would act contrary to the advice of his official advisers."

"LA PATRIE."

La Patrie tries to ridicule the whole proceedings. In a long article, the Mercier organ says, among other things, that the proceedings are absurdity of this adventure is for us a finer vengeance and a greater victory than that which we would have had over the bayonets of Dalton McCarthy. After giving the Governor-General's reply, it continues as follows:—"A clapping on the head would not have bewildered these poor people more. Surely they could not expect to see their fanatical views and their intentions to wipe out race and religion approved by the Governor-General, but they certainly did not expect to receive such a masterly blow. This reply, after that received from the Colonial Office, does away with any further explanation. The Jesuits' Estates Act is not to be disallowed. It is an undeniable victory for the Hon. Mr. Mercer. Now, what will these gentlemen do? Will they adopt Mr. Mercer's plan—Bayonets?"

TWO JESUIT OPINIONS.

QUEBEC, Aug. 3.—Referring to the Governor-General's answer to the petition of the Equal Rights' Association, the *Globe* this morning says:—Assuming that Sir John Macdonald's statement that the Act is not to be disallowed by process of law, the question arises, is there any way at all by which the preamble of the Act, which contains nearly all that is objectionable in it, may be wiped out? The response is that it cannot be. The Act is a whole, and it would be the removal of the preamble without the consent of the Quebec Government and Legislature. Now Mr. Mercer in introducing the resolution on which the Act is founded stated that he would not insist on the preamble, but that he would insist on the substance of the Act if the Protestant members of the Legislature made objection. We see to reason why he should not display to thousands of Protestants the same consideration that he has shown to the Catholics. If it would be a very reasonable, graceful and magnanimous proceeding for him to repeal the Act, wipe out the preamble and pass the money granting clause again. But as the Act is now, it would remove some of the objections against the measure, but it would satisfy the strongest and soundest of those objections, viz., the objection that the preamble is a matter which is derogatory from the supremacy of the civil authorities. Mr. Mercer has now fully vindicated and more than vindicated the provincial rights of his province. He has dragged Sir John Macdonald at his chariot wheel, and now remains to be seen whether Mr. Mercer can be magnanimous in victory as well as determined in the struggle of politics. Wiping out that objectionable preamble he can save the general interest well and conciliate many who have felt it a duty to call for the disallowance of his measure.

The Mail says:—The next step, and in fact the only logical step, for the Equal Rights' Association to pursue is to demand a revision of the Constitution. We can conceive of no other mode of curing Quebec now that the theory of every provincial law which is strictly infra vires must be sustained has been recognized.

Says the Express:—From the first day when this question of petition was mooted the Empire has steadily pointed out the faultiness and foolishness of such a course, but after all it may be as well that it has been persisted in as it has been from the highest authority in the Dominion. It is an authority the impartiality of which no one can doubt, a calm and convincing statement like this which must go far to allay the feelings that have so indifferently been fanned.

The question of disallowance of the Act. The Mail says:—The Equal Rights' Association for the present with the Chief Magistrate will in all probability look for other heads to hit. They will, we imagine, now turn toward Mr. Mercer and Mr. Mercer's subsequent legislation

and next to the members of the House of Commons who voted not to disallow the Jesuits' Estates Act. We do not believe that either the Conservative or the Liberal party will run in Ontario on both sides ten of the men who voted with the majority on that question. These men stood by their party, but they signed their death warrants with their own hands. Mr. Mercer's government and the members at Ottawa will have to settle with the people.

FRENCH PRESS OPINIONS.

"LA MINERVE."

"The authors of the anti-Jesuit movement have just had a rebuke which, we trust, will serve them as a lesson for a long time. As is well known, a delegation composed in great part of Ontario people was sent yesterday to the Governor-General in Quebec to ask His Excellency to disallow the Jesuits' Bill. The following His Excellency's answer was sent by telegraph Quebec last night. After giving the text of the Governor-General's reply, the Government organ continues: 'If His Excellency's reply does not completely put an end to the anti-Jesuit agitation, it will at least have characterized it in such a manner that the Cavens, Douglasses and Davisons will have difficulty in getting adhesion among our English fellow-citizens, whose patriotism is equal to their mental culture.'"

Under the heading "A Lesson in Constitutional Law," La Minerve has also the following article: "Did the good people who went yesterday to the Citadel at Quebec to pray the Governor-General to disallow the Jesuits' Act know well what they were doing? This is doubtful, and everything tends to show, on the contrary, that they were acting blindly under the impulse of the hypocritical blunders of the *Mout*, the *Globe*, the *Witness*, and the other members of the liberal *bona fide* press. Now, this impulse was simply stupid. It carried us back half a century to the period preceding the union of the Canadian, not to say to the time of the military regime. The step taken by three poor dupes signifies that the Governor-General has the power to disallow, of his own accord, a provincial law which has been ratified by the Federal Government and by the Dominion Parliament. They represent but a very trifling minority, since there were only thirteen members out of over two hundred, who recommended the disallowance, and they put it or rather allowed it to be put in their hands that the Queen's representative would follow their advice and opinion rather than the advice of the Cabinet Ministers and members of the House. According to their Government theory His Excellency should, on account of this matter, have dismissed Sir John Macdonald and entrusted Mr. Charlton with the formation of a Ministry. But then, where would Mr. Charlton have found his majority? His friends and himself are exactly thirteen in number, which is the number of the Federal Ministers. Where would they have scraped up their partisans?"

The article then goes on to say that the only way in which the Governor-General can disallow the Act is by the aid of the majority of his Ministers, and that the act referred to was ratified in Council six months ago. Continuing, it says:—"Lord Stanley could not then resist and take sides with the Liberal party, without stepping backwards and openly giving the regular channels, and that to please a band of sectarians who represent nothing but themselves, and a good number of whom are not even French. Moreover, he could not have refused his sanction without disobeying the instructions he has received. This is what Lord Stanley perfectly understood, and he has not failed to tell the gentlemen of the *Witness* the reply as published."

"L'ETENDARD."

"Yesterday the Dominion Anti-Jesuit Association presented to the Governor-General in Quebec its petition signed with 51,000 names, asking for disallowance. Several clergymen delivered addresses in support of the petition, and the following is Lord Stanley's reply. It is needless to add the least comment to these words, which were calm but full of strength and reason. We believe that one good speech will do more for the cause of the French Canadian than a hundred of the heavy blows given them by Her Majesty's representative."

"Will they submit? We will see where the true loyalists are." "The *Blou* organ, when they speak of the Anti-Jesuit agitation, refer only to Mr. Charlton who is a Liberal and a Jesuit agent, and have not a word to say about Mr. Dalton McCarthy, who is a Conservative and a French Canadian. They think they will make the French-Canadian population believe that the Tory party of Upper Canada is for the Jesuits and the Grit party against."

"LE MONTE."

Sir Hector Langevin's mouthpiece says:—"The delegation has got its answer and has got it well. It after the firm and logic language of Lord Stanley of Preston our good subjects do not calm down, we may at least expect that in future they will not make dupes so easily. For in Ontario and in the Protestant counties of Quebec, while English, the people are none the less intelligent and patriotic, and any man endowed with the two qualities has only to read the Governor-General's reply to be convinced on what side stand justice and right. Messrs. Davidson, Caven and others, blind with fanaticism, perhaps did not understand that their agitation implies a step backwards of half a century. This little shift for men who have the French-Canadians of having preserved the customs of the medieval ages, and who boast of their progress and their enlightenment, is a little too much for them. They want to understand, in civil terms and in a manner not to be mistaken, that it would be an insult to him to believe that he would act contrary to the advice of his official advisers."

"LA PATRIE."

La Patrie tries to ridicule the whole proceedings. In a long article, the Mercier organ says, among other things, that the proceedings are absurdity of this adventure is for us a finer vengeance and a greater victory than that which we would have had over the bayonets of Dalton McCarthy. After giving the Governor-General's reply, it continues as follows:—"A clapping on the head would not have bewildered these poor people more. Surely they could not expect to see their fanatical views and their intentions to wipe out race and religion approved by the Governor-General, but they certainly did not expect to receive such a masterly blow. This reply, after that received from the Colonial Office, does away with any further explanation. The Jesuits' Estates Act is not to be disallowed. It is an undeniable victory for the Hon. Mr. Mercer. Now, what will these gentlemen do? Will they adopt Mr. Mercer's plan—Bayonets?"

TWO JESUIT OPINIONS.

QUEBEC, Aug. 3.—Referring to the Governor-General's answer to the petition of the Equal Rights' Association, the *Globe* this morning says:—Assuming that Sir John Macdonald's statement that the Act is not to be disallowed by process of law, the question arises, is there any way at all by which the preamble of the Act, which contains nearly all that is objectionable in it, may be wiped out? The response is that it cannot be. The Act is a whole, and it would be the removal of the preamble without the consent of the Quebec Government and Legislature. Now Mr. Mercer in introducing the resolution on which the Act is founded stated that he would not insist on the preamble, but that he would insist on the substance of the Act if the Protestant members of the Legislature made objection. We see to reason why he should not display to thousands of Protestants the same consideration that he has shown to the Catholics. If it would be a very reasonable, graceful and magnanimous proceeding for him to repeal the Act, wipe out the preamble and pass the money granting clause again. But as the Act is now, it would remove some of the objections against the measure, but it would satisfy the strongest and soundest of those objections, viz., the objection that the preamble is a matter which is derogatory from the supremacy of

