

2023-2028 Tactical Integrated Forest Management Plan

Nord-du-Québec Region

Management Unit 085-51

Management Unit Mont-Plamondon (105)

MINISTÈRE DES RESSOURCES NATURELLES ET DES FORÊTS



Produced by

Ministère des Ressources naturelles et des Forêts
Direction de la gestion des forêts du Nord-du-Québec
645, 1^{re} Rue Est
La Sarre (Québec) J9Z 3P3
Téléphone : 819 339-7623
Email: nord-du-quebec.foret@mffp.gouv.qc.ca

Cover page photographs:

Background: Robin Lefrançois
From left to right: Marie-Claude Boudreault, Cathy Baron, Édith Lachance and Sylvie Cyr

© Gouvernement du Québec
Ministère des Ressources naturelles et des Forêts
Legal deposit - Bibliothèque et Archives nationales du Québec, 2023

ISBN (PDF): 978-2-550-92579-8

Table of Contents

1. Sustainable Forest Management Objectives.....	1
1.1 Summary of Forest Management Issues and Objectives	1
1.2 Synergies Among the Issues Depending on the Solutions Adopted.....	6
1.2.1 Exclusion.....	7
1.2.2 Adapted Silvicultural Treatments	8
1.2.3 Spatial and Temporal Distribution of Interventions.....	9
2. Integrated Forest Development Strategy.....	10
2.1 Harvest Distribution	10
2.2 Silvicultural Strategy	11
2.2.1 Economic Profitability Analyses.....	13
2.2.2 Risk Assessment	16
2.2.3 Silvicultural Scenarios and Forest Management Levels.....	21
2.2.4 Areas of Increased Timber Production	27
2.3 Infrastructures and Main Roads to Develop and Maintain	28
2.4 Allowable Cuts.....	31
2.5 Monitoring.....	35
3. Professional and Administrative Signatures.....	38
4. Appendix.....	40
Appendix A – List of silvicultural treatments used in the silvicultural strategy	41
Appendix B – Local Issues and Objectives Raised during the TLGIRTs (R12)	43
Appendix C – Concerns Raised by the First Nations (Regional Objectives)	45
Appendix D – Derogation for Balsam Fir Stand TRUs 085-51, 086-52, 087-51	48
Appendix E – Issues-Solutions Sheet for the Nord-du-Québec Region	71
5. References.....	102

1. Sustainable Forest Management Objectives

Sustainable forest management seeks a balance between a quality of life for present and future generations, healthy forest ecosystems and a dynamic and prosperous economic sector. This complex environment brings its share of challenges for which directions, objectives and actions have been defined in the [Sustainable Forest Management Strategy](#) (SFMS). The [Regulation respecting the sustainable development of forests in the domain of the State](#) (RSDF) establishes the minimum standards with which compliance is mandatory in forests in the domain of the State. Other mechanisms, including ecosystem management, the regional timber production strategies, the local integrated land and resource management panels (TLGIRT) and separate consultation of the Indigenous communities, have been deployed to capture the issues raised at the regional or local level or for which improvements to the conditions in place are necessary.

According to section 40 of the Sustainable Forest Development Act (SFDA) (chapter A-18.1), the Minister may also impose different forest development standards than those ordered by regulation. The nature of the derogations applicable to the PAFIT are defined in Appendix.

1.1 SUMMARY OF FOREST MANAGEMENT ISSUES AND OBJECTIVES

The issues are assessed and documented in the companion document “Analysis of Issues”. When selected, they are translated into sustainable forest management objectives to be taken into account in forest planning. The forest management objectives are tracked in the form of targets¹ associated with a given indicator or actions to perform. Table below presents all of the issues selected for the Management Unit and the objectives to be achieved.

	To learn more, consult: PAFIT
--	--

¹ The term “target” refers to the desired future situation or condition for a variable related to this issue. It may be an intention, such as the intention to reduce or increase a value, relative to an initial state, with the aim of tending toward that value, or thresholds to be respected.

Table 1 : Summary Table of Forest Management Objectives by Issue

Issues	Objectives	Indicator/Action	Target	Scale	Periodicity
Ecological issues					
Age Structure	Ensure that the age structure of the managed forests is similar to the one that existed in the natural forest.	Area occupied by TAUs showing a low or moderate degree of alteration.	> 80% in 2023-2028	MU	Quinquennial
Spatial organization	Maintain or restore the key attributes related to the spatial organization of the natural forests. For more details about the means to achieve the objective, see issue-solution sheet 1.06.1	Number of years to complete a first or second passage.	< 10 years	SOC	Quinquennial
		Area occupied by SOC's in the forest state.	> 20%	MU	Quinquennial
		Are covered by an area of influence of 10 km around SOC's in the forest state.	> 90%	MU	Quinquennial
		Productive area in stands 7 m or taller.	> 30%	SOC	Annual
		Productive area in stands 7 m or taller organized in residual forest blocks	> 20%	SOC	Annual
		Reference area located within 600 m of the limit of a residual forest parcel or block	> 80%	SOC	Annual
		Reference area located within 900 m of the limit of a residual forest parcel or block	> 98%	SOC	Annual
		Productive area after harvesting in stands 7 m or taller of each major type of forest cover	> 20%	SOC	Annual
		Width of strip of woodland with at least 70% of the stands 3 m or taller to be maintained for juxtaposition with the aggregated cut blocks.	> 1 km	SOC	Annual
Vegetation Composition	Ensure that the vegetation composition of the managed forests approaches that of the natural forest.	Rate of alteration of the area occupied by type of cover. For more details on the means to achieve the objective, see the attached issue-solution sheets 1.01.1 (action to constrain leaf thinning).	Maintain or gradually attain a low or moderate degree of alteration ($\pm 60\%$ of the baseline level)	MU	Quinquennial

Issues	Objectives	Indicator/Action	Target	Scale	Periodicity
Internal Structure	Ensure that the internal structure of the managed forests is similar to that of the natural forest and maintain attributes of complexity.	Rate of alteration of the area occupied by irregular stands. For more details about the means to achieve the objective, see attached issue-solution sheet 1.02.1	Maintain or gradually attain a low or moderate degree of alteration (> 30% of the baseline level)	MU	Quinquennial
		Area treated by retention harvesting of at least 5% of the merchantable volume. For more details about the means to achieve the objective, see attached issue-solution sheet 1.03.1	> 40% of the clearcuts (CT)	MU	Annual
		10-to-25-year area that has received training treatment in the past five years without special conditions. For more details about the means to achieve the objective, see attached issue-solution sheet 1.04.1.	< 50%	Unit of analysis (hexagon)	Annual
Riparian Environments	Present the rare types of natural communities and a representative portion of riparian diversity	Area of the completely protected riparian environment.	> 15%	MU	Quinquennial
Wetlands	See to the maintenance of the ecological function of high-value wetlands and isolated wetlands.	Area of the wetlands included in a protected area, administrative protection or an area proposed as wetlands of interest (WLI).	1%	MU	Quinquennial
Timber Production Issues					
Accessibility	Maintain or develop accessible roads allowing to increase the areas dedicated to development	Regional allowable cuts/volumes with permits for plants in the region.	Status (monitoring) indicator	Region	Quinquennial
		Areas of silvicultural work of the Forest Development Strategy.	Ha per treatment	MU	Quinquennial
Mortality	Reduce the risk of mortality associated to natural disturbances and climate changes.	Regional allowable cuts/volumes with permits for plants in the region.	None	Region	Quinquennial
		Percentage of annual monitoring operations on the schedule of the tactical plans.	100%	MU	Quinquennial
		Percentage of managed areas according to an intensive silviculture gradient.	3%	Management Unit (UG)	Quinquennial

Issues	Objectives	Indicator/Action	Target	Scale	Periodicity
		Areas of silvicultural work of the Forest Development Strategy.	Ha per treatment	MU	Quinquennial
Productivity in desired species	Maintain or increase forest yields Achievement of the targeted prodution objectives	Regional allowable cuts/volumes with permits for plants in the region.	None	Region	Quinquennial
		Percentage of annual monitoring operations on the schedule of the tactical plans.	100%	MU	Quinquennial
		Percentage of managed areas according to an intensive silviculture gradient.	3%	Management Unit (UG)	Quinquennial
		Areas of silvicultural work of the Forest Development Strategy.	Ha per treatment	MU	Quinquennial
Characteristic quality sought	Maintain or increase the composition and quality of the stands	Consumption of plants in the region/Regional allowable cut	None	Region	Quinquennial
		Percentage of annual monitoring operations on the schedule of the tactical plans.	100%	MU	Quinquennial
		Percentage of managed areas according to an intensive silviculture gradient.	3%	Management Unit (UG)	Quinquennial
		Areas of silvicultural work of the Forest Development Strategy.	Ha per treatment	MU	Quinquennial
Financial Profitability	Increase the value of harvested wood and control dispersal costs	Consumption of plants in the region/Regional allowable cut	Status (monitoring) indicator	Region	Quinquennial
		Percentage of managed areas according to an intensive silviculture gradient.	3%	Management Unit (UG)	Quinquennial
		Areas of silvicultural work of the Forest Development Strategy.	Ha per treatment	MU	Quinquennial
Regional of Local Issues					
Paludification	Maintain the productivity of the forest ecosystems susceptible to paludification.	Ratio of harvested paludified (or susceptible) stands that underwent a forestry operation adapted to the paludification issue according to the regional strategy. For more details about the means to achieve the objective, see attached issue-solution 2.01.2.	60%	MU	Quinquennial

Issues	Objectives	Indicator/Action	Target	Scale	Periodicity
Wildlife Habitats	Consider the habitat needs of the species sensitive to forest management.	For more details about the means to achieve the objective, see attached issue-solution sheets 1.07.1, 1.05.1 and 3.02.2.			
Woodland Caribou	Contribute to the recovery of woodland caribou by applying forest planning conditions that favour maintenance of appropriate habitats.	Rate of compliance with the conditions provided for the woodland caribou habitat. For more details about the means to achieve the objective, see attached issue-solution sheet 1.07.2. The Woodland Caribou Habitat Stewardship Plan for the Détour population may be consulted at our offices.	100%	MU	Annual
Landscape	Conserve an acceptable landscape quality for recreational tourism activities and maintain a predominance of forest cover in the landscape of sites of interest.	Rate of compliance with the conditions provided according to the sites of interest sensitivity clause. For more details about the means to achieve the objective, see attached issue-solution sheet 5.02.1	100%	MU	Quinquennial

1.2 SYNERGIES AMONG THE ISSUES DEPENDING ON THE SOLUTIONS ADOPTED

Different means may be used to favour the achievement of forest management objectives. During their management choices, the forest managers must pay attention to the opportunities for synergy, allowing them to respond to several issues simultaneously and maximize the benefits of this action. In the manner of a multicriteria analysis, this exercise allows them to orient their efforts by considering the advantages and disadvantages in their entirety. The conditions applicable to each issue for the solution envisioned are presented to capture their potential contribution to the forest management strategy.

Table 2 : Synergies between the issues according to the selected solutions

Issues	Exclusion	Spatial and temporal distribution			Adapted silvicultural treatments					Operation	Roads	Forest monitoring:
		Spatial distribution (TAU)	Spatial distribution (SOC)	Revolution lengthening	Partial cut	Retention harvesting	Artificial regeneration	Land preparation	Training treatment			
	Ecological issues											
Age structure	X	X		X	X				X			
Spatial organization	X	X	X		X							
Vegetation composition	X			X	X	X	X	X	X			
Internal structure	X	X	X		X	X			X			
Riparian environments	X		X		X							
Wetlands	X		X		X							
	Timber Production Issues											
Accessibility											X	
Mortality				X	X		X	X	X			X
Productivity				X	X	X	X	X	X			X
Timber quality				X	X	X	X		X			X
Financial profitability			X	X	X		X	X	X		X	
	Regional or Local Issues (Assumption)											
Woodland caribou	X	X									X	
WSI	X		X		X					X	X	
Water quality (erosion)										X	X	
Water quantity		X	X									

Issues	Exclusion	Spatial and temporal distribution			Adapted silvicultural treatments					Operation	Roads	Forest monitoring:
		Spatial distribution (TAU)	Spatial distribution (SOC)	Revolution lengthening	Partial cut	Retention harvesting	Artificial regeneration	Land preparation	Training treatment			
Loss of production area										X	X	
Rutting										X		
Long-term productivity									X	X		
SBW		X			X	X			X			
Climate change							X				X	
Certification (exotic species, distribution limit, HCVF)	X				X		X		X			
Landscape			X		X	X						
Coexistence (ambiance, road safety)										X		
Structured wildlife area limit			X								X	
Access to the territory											X	
Moose	X		X	X		X						

1.2.1 EXCLUSION

The preservation of forests allows the ecological processes to proceed freely and the natural attributes to perpetuate or recreate themselves over time. Territories listed in the Register of Protected Areas, inaccessible sectors or sites subject to regulatory provisions constitute the provincial reference. Additional areas may be granted administrative protection due to their special interest or their sensitivity to certain issues. Table 3 presents sites for which complete protection is granted.

Table 3 : Exclusion Types

Issues	Provincial exclusion	Additional exclusion
Age structure	Protected areas Regulatory provision (RSDF) TVLS protective measure Inaccessible sectors	
Spatial organization		
Composition		Cedar stand on ecological type RC38.
Internal structure		
Wetlands		Prioritized wetlands of interest

Issues	Provincial exclusion	Additional exclusion
Riparian environments		Strip of woodland 20 m wide on the edge of an open bog with a pond, a marsh, a riparian shrubby swamp, a lake or a permanent watercourse. Rare riparian type beyond the first 20 m.
Fish		Prioritized wildlife sites of interest
Paludification		Paludified sectors without profitable operational solutions.

1.2.2 ADAPTED SILVICULTURAL TREATMENTS

The silvicultural actions make it possible to act on the composition, structure and quality of stands and see to the maintenance of key attributes (dead wood, seed producers, fruit trees)¹. Silviculture contributes to maintenance of a continuous flow of timber and meet multiple objectives, whether economic, social or ecological.

The following tables specify the conditions that may influence the choice of treatment during the silvicultural diagnosis.

Table 4: Types of cuts

Issues	Partial cut	Clearcut (with or without variable retention)
Age structure	Maintenance of old-growth forests over time - Maximum 50 % of old-growth forests / TAU. Preserve certain key attributes of old-growth forests or favour their faster recruitment (e.g. heavy timber, stumps).	
Spatial organization	Maintenance of closed-canopy forest - spruce stand: managed perennial forest massif, prohibited in residual forests as a block or parcel.	
Composition	Maintenance of increasingly rare species (White Spruces and Eastern White Cedar). Reduction of abundance of intolerant hardwoods.	Reestablishment of increasingly rare species (White Spruces and Eastern White Cedar).
Internal structure	Maintenance or creation of irregularly structured. Preserve certain key attributes such as large diameter living trees	Preserve certain key attributes where biological legacies are absent or unrepresentative: - live trees of large diameter Maintenance or creation of irregularly structured softwood stands (cutting with protection of small merchantable stems (CPPTM)).
Timber quality	Cultivate high-quality hardwood and mixedwood	Restore depleted or degraded forests
Forest productivity	Use partial cutting in softwood forests	
Natural disturbance	Avoid stands vulnerable to SBW and windfall (epidemic period)	Adapt retention according to vulnerability to SBW and windfall

¹ For more information on the treatments adopted in the silvicultural strategy, see the definitions in the appendix.

Table 5: Site and Artificial Regeneration Treatments

Issues	Land preparation	Uniform planting	Fill planting
Composition	Introduction or reestablishment of increasingly rare species (White Spruces and Eastern White Cedar) Reduction of abundance of intolerant hardwoods and Balsam Fir.	Reconstitution or full introduction of increasingly rare species (White Spruce)	Reconstitution or partial introduction of increasingly rare species (White Spruce)
Timber quality		Potential for intensive silviculture (smaller branches, less tapering, higher wood density).	
Forest productivity		Increase forest area. Optimize the station's production capacity for the desired species (full afforestation).	Manage the lack of regeneration. Optimizes the production capacity of the station (full afforestation).

Table 6: Stand Tending Treatments

Issues	Clearing/Cleaning	Pre-commercial thinning	Commercial thinning
Age Structure	Maintenance of long-lived species (potential recruitment of old-growth forest)		
Composition	Survival and growth of increasingly rare species (Spruces, Eastern Hemlock/Tamarack, Eastern White Cedar, Yellow Birch and Northern Red Oak). Reduction of the abundance of invasive species (intolerant hardwoods and Balsam Fir).		
Internal structure	Locally change the density of stems and the distribution of some floristic species. Remove only competing species within a specific radius of the desired species. Priority according to the intensity gradient of the silvicultural scenario and the tolerance of species during a period of oppression.	Locally change the density of stems and the distribution of some floristic species. Skylight variant removes only stems within a defined radius of selected trees. Specific guidelines for the retention of fruit trees or the preservation of patches of unprocessed productive areas.	
Timber quality		Potential for intensive silviculture. Concentrate production on a predetermined number of higher quality trees forming a predominant part of the stand or its entirety.	Potential for intensive silviculture. Concentrate production on a predetermined number of higher quality trees (vigour and diameter).

1.2.3 SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL DISTRIBUTION OF INTERVENTIONS

Distributing the silvicultural work in space and time makes it possible to see to the maintenance of deployment of attributes on different scales of perception of the territory. Subdivisions of the Forest Management Unit have been established to ensure complementarity of management of forest resources

on the disturbance and landscape scales. These are the territorial analysis unit (TAU) and the spatial organization compartment (SOC). These spatial entities are inspired by the dynamics of typical disturbances (nature, size, frequency) of each bioclimatic domain and serve to achieve the different forest management objectives.

Table 7: Spatial and Temporal Conditions

Issues	Compartment of spatial organization (SOC)	Territorial unit of analysis (TAU)	Lengthening of the revolution or the rotation
Age structure		Degree of alteration of old-growth forests and regenerating forest (restoration periods ¹).	Maintenance of recruitment of old-growth forests
Spatial organization	<u>Black Spruce</u> - Distribution of key forest areas - Harvesting priority		
Composition			Maintenance of increasingly rare species (White Spruces and Eastern White Cedar).
Internal structure	Irregular shelterwood cutting site Presence or rarity of “operational” biological legacies	Priority of maintenance or restoration of irregular stands	
Wetlands	Strip of woodland on the edge of a wetland of interest < 100 ha or an isolated wetland		
Timber quality	Potential for intensive silviculture		
Woodland caribou	Residual habitat zone (RHZ) and large suitable areas (LSA).		
Landscape	Virtual supervision around sensitive sites recognized by the TGIRT.		
Natural disturbance			Avoid stands vulnerable to SBW.

2. Integrated Forest Development Strategy

The forest management strategy translates all of the means adopted to satisfy the sustainable forest management objectives. Its writing is part of an iterative process conducted in collaboration with the Bureau du forestier en chef, allowing identification of the best choice for a given area, considering the environmental, social and economic impacts. The forest management targets and the means adopted are determined at the end of this process.

2.1 HARVEST DISTRIBUTION

The decision-making process regarding the distribution of harvesting interventions. The degree of alteration concerned for each TAU and the SOC's selected as forest areas will dictate the maximum

¹ The time to reach these targets is established according to the theoretical natural evolution of the forest and the ecological, economic and social consequences.

threshold of regenerating stands and the minimum thresholds of old-growth stands and stands 7 m or taller to be maintained in the respective entities. The TAUs in restoration (variance between their current state and their target condition) will be more restrictive regarding the type of quantity of harvesting that can be performed.

2.2 SILVICULTURAL STRATEGY

Regarding the silvicultural strategy, the stands and sites that present similar characteristics are first grouped and analyzed to produce a silvicultural diagnosis. This diagnosis helps determine the possible silvicultural scenarios for each of the grouped strata. These things are done not only according to the forest management objectives, but also with the assistance of the silvicultural guides that recommend silviculture adapted to the ecology of the sites. This helps make the most of what the forest can produce while respecting the production capacity of the forest ecosystems and their forest management constraints (windthrow risks, susceptibility to insects and diseases, trafficability, etc.).

The silvicultural scenario defines the guidelines (the silvicultural objectives) that the forest manager wishes to apply to a given group of strata and the sequence of treatments to achieve this. They are developed on the basis of the target composition, the regeneration process according to the desired structure, and the potentially applicable intensity gradient. Analyses pertaining to the economic profitability of the silvicultural scenarios, the risks that can limit the achievement of the forest management objectives and the impact of the silvicultural choices on maintenance of the allowable cuts in the long term are also produced in view of guiding forest engineers in their decisions, depending on the means at their disposal (budget, operational capacity, etc.).

For a good understanding of the concept of silvicultural scenario, the main inputs serving for the preparation of a scenario, but also its selection during operational planning are presented below.

Target composition

The target composition specifies the species expected in the main forest canopy at maturity. To guide the decisions according to the forest management objectives pursued and diversity of the basket of forest products, 3 categories of species have been defined. They will serve to direct the renewal and development of the stand according to the station's potential. The expression “desired species” includes the species to be promoted or acceptable species.

Categories	Description ¹	Species
To be promoted	Species for which an increase in proportion is sought in a stand during silvicultural interventions. The group includes the star species and species that have declined relative to the natural forest (increasingly rare species). The scenarios may be extensive, basic or intensive.	Black Spruce, White Spruce, Jack Pine, Eastern White Cedar.
Acceptable	Species that do not undergo any silvicultural intervention to change their proportion, because they do not hinder the optimum development of a species to be promoted.	Jack Pine, Balsam Fir, Trembling Aspen, Paper Birch, Eastern Larch (Tamarack).
To be controlled	Species for which a decrease in proportion is sought in a stand during silvicultural interventions.	Trembling Aspen, Paper Birch

¹ Source: MFFP, Glossaire forestier [Online], [<http://glossaire-forestier.mffp.gouv.qc.ca/>] (consulted in February 2022).

Regeneration Processes

Regeneration processes are harvest treatments designed to free growth space or create conditions favourable to the establishment and development of the regeneration cohort. It may involve a single treatment or a sequence of treatments leading the stands to regular, irregular or selection structure. This choice is mainly influenced by the shade tolerance of the desired species, the conditions necessary for the establishment and growth of seedlings, the current composition (species longevity, density) and the natural dynamics of disturbance. The description of the cutting families and the strata groups for which they are generally employed are presented in the following table.

Cutting family	Description	Stratum group
Clearcutting	Regeneration processes harvesting all or almost all of the trees of commercial value. The variants are distinguished by the size of the stems present on the cutover.	Spruce stands Jack Pine stands Mixed-softwood stands
Shelterwood cutting	Regeneration processes with the goal of establishing or developing natural regeneration cohorts under a partial forest canopy containing mature seedlings during a given period. A time interval between cuts > 1/5 of the revolution will cause the stand to evolve to an irregular structure (composed of 2 to 4 age classes). The harvest phases may involve a series of partial cuts (permanent cover) or end in a final cut to offer full sunlight conditions to the new stand (temporary cover).	Spruce stands Mixed-softwood stands

Silvicultural Intensity Gradient

The silvicultural intensity gradient allows the silvicultural treatments and scenarios to be ordered according to the efforts necessary to their performance. Extensive silviculture and basic silviculture are applied to most of the territory while intensive silviculture, which requires a greater quantity of work (data collection, monitoring) and invested capital, is applied in territories where profitability justifies it. The choice of gradients depends on the silvicultural objectives pursued and the needs to intervene on the establishment, composition, structure, growth, quality or health of the stands. In general, silvicultural intensity evolves according to the wealth of the site (potential vegetation) and the presence of constraints to forest management. The description of the degrees of intensity and the associated treatments are presented in the following table.

Intensity Gradient	Description ¹	Associated Treatments ²
Extensive	The stand is managed exclusively by means of natural regeneration with regeneration processes of the clearcutting family. The predetermined regeneration is protected by natural seeding on appropriate germination beds, created during the harvest and during land preparation.	Clearcutting Scarification
Basic	The interventions are oriented to management of the composition of the stand. To increase the yield of desired species, canopy management treatments may be used. Resorting to reforestation or artificial seeding only occurs when natural regeneration is insufficient or when the regeneration present is not part of the desired species. The subsequent silvicultural efforts have the goal of favouring the species to be promoted and managing the species to be controlled, without resorting to phytocides. There may also be sanitation to improve the health of a stand.	Shelterwood cutting Fill planting Basic planting Clearing Cleanup

¹ Source: MRN (2013), Le guide sylvicole du Québec, tome 2. Les concepts et l'application de la sylviculture, collective work under the supervision of C. Larouche, F. Guillemette, P. Raymond and J.-P. Saucier, Les Publications du Québec, 744 p.

² For more information on the treatments adopted in the silvicultural strategy, see the definitions in the appendix.

Intensity Gradient	Description ¹	Associated Treatments ²
Intensive	Interventions for the purpose of increasing growth and improvement of the characteristics (quality) of selected trees of desired species. Intensive silviculture is also distinguished from basic silviculture by management of intraspecific competition over time (e.g. regularize the spacing between the crop trees of the same species).	Intensive planting Precommercial thinning Commercial thinning

2.2.1 ECONOMIC PROFITABILITY ANALYSES

The economic profitability analysis is a decision help tool that allows consideration of the economic aspect in forest management. Its objective is to assess whether a specific investment is profitable for society. It is interested in the total revenues and costs for all economic agents of society, without a concern for knowing who pays and who receives. In the forest management context, the economic profitability analysis seeks to measure the wealth creation level generated by an investment in different silvicultural scenarios.

To learn more, consult:

[Guide d'analyse économique appliquée aux investissements sylvicoles](#)

2.2.1.1 Economic Profitability Analyses Results

This section summarizes the results of the analyses conducted and their consideration in the development of the silvicultural strategy.

Before continuing with the interpretation of the results, it is important to remember that:

- The economic revenues do not allow capture of all the revenues and costs associated with forest goods and services, particularly those related to landscape conservation, ecological services or any other value not associated with timber production. On the one hand, because the current knowledge does not allow quantification of the impact of different silvicultural choices on these factors and, on the other hand, because some of these factors are intangible, their value is subjective and variable. It is therefore possible for the economic profitability of a silvicultural scenario to be negative or lower than that of another scenario, but for it to be chosen in the silvicultural strategy to meet forest management objectives that are difficult to quantify economically.
- The yield assumptions used correspond to the average yield of the stands composing them. In the context of this economic exercise, the growth curve prepared by the Bureau du forestier en chef (BFEC) for the allowable cut calculations for the 2015-2018 period were used. Although they were designed to support an allowable cut calculation and not for economic analysis purposes, the absence of alternatives to the growth curves rendered their use unavoidable.
- The analyses were produced with the best financial information available at the time the analyses were performed. This information may be variable from one region to another, particularly in terms of effects of treatment and forest yield.
- The economic profitability analyses are produced on the hectare scale and not on the Forest Management Unit scale as in the silvicultural strategy. Thus, during the development of the silvicultural strategy, forest managers must also consider the impact of a silvicultural choice on the

social and community issues and on the timber flow and products generated. An economically profitable silvicultural scenario could be preferred, because it responds better to all the issues to be considered.

Thus, for the above-mentioned reasons, prudence is essential regarding the conclusions to be drawn from the results indicated in this section. They represent major trends in terms of economic profitability by type of silvicultural scenario on the regional scale.

The results of the economic analyses are presented according to the EI and the NPVp/Cp ratio. They have been grouped into a class for ease of understanding and to avoid misinterpretation. The table 8 presents an overall assessment of the results.

Table 8: Classification of Indicator Values

Indicator values	Class
-0.6 and under	---
-0.3 to -0.59	--
-0.1 to -0.29	-
-0.09 to 0.09	0
0.1 to 0.29	+
0.3 to 0.59	++
0.6 and over	+++

Both for the EI and for the NPVp/Cp ratio, the results obtained for Class “0” are considered to be in the area of uncertainty in the vicinity of the breakeven point.

The following table presents the results obtained by type of silvicultural scenario provided in the silvicultural strategy on the regional scale.

Table 9 : Summary Assessment of Economic Profitability Analyses by Type of Silvicultural Scenario

Type of silvicultural scenario analyzed	NPVp/Cp	EI
Slow regeneration irregular shelterwood cutting	+	-
SCA-ENS-CPRS	-	-
SCA-PL-CPRS	+++	++
SCA-PL-DEG-CPRS	++	+
SCA-PL-DEG-EC-CPRS	++	++
SCA-PL-EC-CPRS	+++	+++

As presented in Table 9, the results obtained by the types of silvicultural scenarios most representative on the regional scale show that, overall, the EI of the scenarios provided in the integrated forest development strategies analyzed is positive or equal to the breakeven point.

Moreover, the results obtained for the NPVp/Cp ratio show that the majority of the silvicultural scenarios provided in the strategies allow generation of revenues greater than or equal to the costs in all of the MUs.

This means that the silvicultural scenarios provided in the integrated forest development strategies are mostly non-deficit and economically profitable scenarios.

The silvicultural scenarios associated with the seeding and partial cutting scenarios present the lowest overall results relative to the other scenarios analyzed.

Nonetheless, the partial cuts remain within the profitability threshold. This trend is explained by the fact that these types of scenarios necessitate significant investments to obtain a low additional yield, particularly in comparison to the natural forest. Although several treatments contained in these scenarios have a timber production objective concerning additional volumes and quality (density management, full afforestation, etc.), these scenarios may also be used to respond to other issues, particularly the issues of composition and social acceptability. It is therefore relevant to maintain the performance of these treatments and scenarios to respond to the issues for which the services and revenues are not currently captured in the economic profitability analysis tools for the above-mentioned reasons.

However, the results must be interpreted prudently, because they are an average of all the scenarios analyzed and our knowledge of the real effects on the yields and quality of timber produced by certain treatments is still limited.

The main findings of the economic analyses pertained to:

- Scheduling of silvicultural scenarios in different stands. For example, this makes it possible to:
 - prioritize and choose the scenarios based on their profitability;
 - identify the stands most conducive to profitable intensification of silviculture;
 - prioritize budget allocation.
- The importance of the choice (considering risk management) of the planting density and the size of the seedlings.
- The importance of the “intensive” gradient and commercial thinning for value creation.
- The impact of species without buyers that favour the scenarios with non-commercial silvicultural treatments.
- The economic profitability radii in different MUs for certain silvicultural scenarios.

Depending on the potentials and constraints of the forestry sectors, to which the order of economic priority is added, the forester may select the best silvicultural scenario. The silvicultural scenarios that are less profitable must be prescribed when there is only one scenario to solve an issue or achieve an objective. They may therefore be retained in the Forest Development Strategy even if economic profitability is negative.

2.2.2 RISK ASSESSMENT

Several factors of natural or anthropogenic origin may affect the health of forests, timber production and forest yields. It is therefore important to do a good analysis of the risks that may hinder the achievement of the forest management objectives and to propose mitigation measures, as needed, to mitigate the potential effects of these risks.

Many knowledge acquisition projects regarding the risks are in progress, particularly in the context of implementation of the Climate Change Adaptation Strategy for Forest Management. They will be incorporated gradually into the risk assessment methodology and considered during the preparation of future integrated forest management plans.

2.2.2.1 Risk evaluation

To govern the risk assessment, the MRNF has developed a matrix based on the probability of occurrence of a risk and its impact on the anticipated forest yields. The analyses performed with this matrix allow assessment of the necessity to deploy mitigation measures and ensure risk monitoring over time. The following table presents the risk matrix adopted by the MRNF.

Table 10: Assessment of Probabilities of Occurrence of a Risk

Class	Description
Practically certain	It is practically certain that the event will occur (80% or more probabilities of occurrence).
Very high probability	The event will occur with a very high probability (between 50% and 80% probabilities of occurrence).
High probability	The event very probably will occur (between 25% and 50% probabilities of occurrence).
Probable	It is probable that the event will occur (between 10% and 25% probabilities of occurrence).
Rare	The event might occur in exceptional circumstances (less than 10% probabilities of occurrence).

Table 11: Assessment of the Impact of a Risk on Timber Production

Class	Description
Minor	10% decrease in anticipated volumes in the allowable cut
Moderate	10% to 25% decrease in anticipated volumes in the allowable cut
Significant	25% to 50% decrease in anticipated volumes in the allowable cut
Major	50% to 80% decrease in anticipated volumes in the allowable cut
Catastrophic	Decrease of 80% or more in anticipated volumes in the allowable cut

Table 12: Risk Categories

Category	Description
Extreme	Extreme risk: immediate mitigation measures are required.
High	High risk: mitigation measures should be taken.
Moderate	Moderate risk: actions should be taken to monitor the risk.
Low	Low risk: acceptable risk level.

Table 13: Risk Assessment Matrix

Probability of occurrence	Impact of a risk on timber production				
	Minor	Moderate	Significant	Major	Catastrophic
Practically certain	Moderate	High	High	Extreme	Extreme
Very high	Moderate	Moderate	High	Extreme	Extreme
High	Low	Moderate	High	High	Extreme
Probable	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	High
Rare	Low	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High

The following risks were assessed for the main silvicultural scenarios identified in the silvicultural timber production strategy and applicable to all MUs to various degrees. The following paragraphs and Table 14 present a regional summary of this analysis. When necessary, mitigation or monitoring measures have been identified.

Table 14: Summary of the Risks Associated with Timber Production and Mitigation or Monitoring Measures

Risk	Description of the risk	Silvicultural Intensity gradient	Risk assessment	Mitigation measure/Monitoring
Change of vocation of the territory (public forest)	Increase in constraints to intensive forest management (protected areas, ecosystem management).	Extensive, basic and intensive	High	Do impact assessments and propose mitigation solutions
Wind gust, windthrow	Partial or total in partial cuts	Basic and intensive	High	Cutting improvement methods
SBW epidemic (partial)	Planting or fill planting work	Basic and intensive	Moderate	Monitor the evolution of the SBW situation.
	Precommercial thinning work	Intensive	High	Avoid doing precommercial thinning in the most vulnerable SOC's
Fire	Forest fire in the FSPL extensive work	Extensive	Extreme	SOPFEU firefighting in FSPL extensive work plan and recovery.
	Forest fire in the hardwood and mixed canopies and partial cuts.	Extensive, basic and intensive (M-CP)	High	SOPFEU firefighting plan (F-M-CP).
	Forest fire in FSPL silvicultural work	Intensive	Extreme	Dispersion of work (FSPL).
Inability to perform all the work of the scenario on the targeted areas	Operational constraints. Accessibility. Labour or machinery Availability of budgets.	Basic and intensive	Moderate	Economic analysis prioritizing the silvicultural scenarios. Concentrates the forestry interventions limiting the costs of dispersion. Prioritize maintenance before establishing new treatments if there are budget constraints Use of the improvement program for multipurpose roads.
Social unacceptability of interventions	Evolution of social acceptability of interventions leading to blocking of interventions.	Basic and intensive	High	Favour harmonization solutions.
Anticipated forecast not achieved	Loss of habitat or productivity by misadaptation of species due to climate change.	Extensive, basic and intensive	High	Understand and classify the priority issues in relation to climate change (La Paix des braves, caribou, paludification, winter road, non-commercial silvicultural work, etc.) Identify and assess the risks related to climate change for each issue. Propose mitigation solutions

For each of the silvicultural scenarios provided in the regional Forest Development Strategy, the risks with the highest probability of occurrence were analyzed. Mitigation measures were determined in all cases.

2.2.2.2 Social unacceptability of interventions

This risk is considered in the “intensive” planting silvicultural gradient scenarios. The precommercial thinning scenario also appears there. The probability of occurrence of the risk of social unacceptability is classified as “rare”, but the impacts this risk may pose are qualified as major. The risk is therefore “high”. Mitigation requires the application of harmonization measures. These measures should not compromise the expected yields resulting from the application of the work.

Social acceptability is often considered on the individual scale (Brunson 1996). However, in her dissertation, Roxann Germain mentions that: “Sagoff (1988) states that planning decisions should be made on the basis of organized social norms and values rather than on the basis of individual preferences that do not necessarily reflect the collective viewpoint”¹.

2.2.2.3 Anticipated forecast not achieved

This risk concerns loss of habitat or productivity by misadaptation of species due to climate change. The probability of occurrence of the risk is classified as “rare”, but the impacts this risk may pose are qualified as major. The risk is therefore “high” in terms of mitigation measures, understanding and classifying the priority issues in relation to climate change (La Paix des braves, caribou, paludification, winter road, non-commercial silvicultural work, etc.), identifying and assessing the impacts related to climate change for each of these issues and proposing mitigation solutions.

2.2.2.4 Change of vocation of the territory (public forest)

Two types of change of vocation of the territory are distinguished. First, a change of vocation that reduces the area intended for forest production, for example, creation of a new protected area. Its impact is immediate on the allowable cut and on the timber production targets.

Secondly, a change of vocation related to the constraints applicable to the areas intended for forest production. The residual areas will be affected by stronger spatiotemporal constraints or, for example, where cover 7 metres or taller must be maintained at all times.

This risk concerns all of the scenarios. The probability of occurrence corresponds to the “rare” class, but the impacts fall within the “catastrophic” class. This results in a risk classified as “high”. As a mitigation measure, assess the impact that would be created by the change of vocation of a given territory and propose solutions that would allow offsetting of the impacts.

2.2.2.5 Wind gust, windthrow

This risk attributable to the forces of nature is considered in the partial cut scenarios. The “possible” probability of occurrence combined with the “moderate” impact generates the high risk. The mitigation measure involves improving the cutting methods and considering the vulnerability to windthrow (Topex maps).

¹ Germain, R., (2012). Acceptabilité sociale de l'aménagement forestier écosystémique : le point de vue des Algonquins de Pikogan, Mémoire de maîtrise, p. 17, 205 p

2.2.2.6 SBW epidemic

The Spruce Budworm, the insect most destructive of coniferous stands in North America, mainly consumes the annual foliage of Balsam Fir, White Spruce and, to a lesser degree, Red Spruce and Black Spruce. Balsam Fir is more vulnerable to Spruce Budworm than Spruces because its foliage is less abundant, and also because this insect's development is better synchronized with the development of new shoots. However, with a warmer climate, a better synchronism between the emergence of SBW larvae and the Black Spruce budburst would render this species more vulnerable.

The cycle of appearance of SBW is about 30 years, which constitutes a high occurrence. The vulnerability of Balsam Fir and Spruce to the Spruce Budworm temporarily increases after all the silvicultural treatments that modify the forest cover. This occurs in young stands, during precommercial thinning work, and in older stands, during partial cutting or when the risk is high. The elimination of part of the forest canopy results in changes in the environment of the trees (quantity of light, temperature, humidity, water table, etc.). These changes generate stress for the residual trees for a few years, for the time it takes them to adapt to the new environmental conditions. In the presence of SBW, there is loss of mature volume, redistribution of age classes, loss of silvicultural investment and loss of growth.

This risk concerns the “basic” and “intensive” silviculture gradient scenarios. The probability of occurrence of the risk is classified as “possible”, but the impacts that this risk may pose depending on the silvicultural treatment used are in the “moderate” or “high” class. The risk is therefore “moderate” for planting or fill planting work and “high” for precommercial thinning work.

As mitigation measures, in endemic periods, the application of preventive silviculture would make it possible to control susceptible or vulnerable species in order to favor more resistant species. During an epidemic period, the harvesting of affected wood is possible in order to minimize the loss of wood substance linked to mortality.

2.2.2.7 Fire

This risk is omnipresent in the Nord-du-Québec region, and the occurrence period of fires is 300 years for the Mont-Plamondon management unit (105).

When such fires occur, the impacts are fairly devastating and are felt on several levels. First, there is the loss of mature volume. These losses are not total, because a large part of the burned forests is salvaged under special salvage plans. Secondly, fires not only destroy mature forest, but also all the silvicultural investments (plantations and precommercial thinning, for example). Finally, with the effect of redistributing the age classes in a territory, fire has a negative effect in the context of the allowable cut calculations.

All the scenarios of the Forest Development Strategy are therefore the subject of a risk assessment. The risk is extreme. The probability of occurrence falls within the “possible” class and the impact falls within the “catastrophic” class. Apart from the special salvage plans that are elaborated, dispersing the silvicultural interventions turns out to be the mitigation measure to prefer in order to reduce the impacts.

2.2.2.8 Inability to perform all the work of the scenario on the targeted areas

This risk represents the share of uncertainty related to the annual budget allocations, the operational constraints, and the accessibility and availability of labour and machinery. The probability of occurrence is “improbable” and the impact is “moderate”. Therefore, the risk is “moderate”. Such constraints would lead to the application of a mitigation measure that would involve giving priority to maintenance of the existing work compared to the startup of new work, the use of the multipurpose road improvement program, and the rationalization of silvicultural scenarios to prioritize those with the highest economic profitability.

2.2.3 SILVICULTURAL SCENARIOS AND FOREST MANAGEMENT LEVELS

The approach described in the previous sections was designed to allow forest engineers to make informed choices and prescribe the right treatment, in the right place. It results in a filter offering a variety of silvicultural scenarios supporting strategic planning and orienting operational planning. Although it covers the majority of the stands typical of the region, this does not prevent treatments or scenarios not appearing in the filter below from being performed to account for particularities in the silvicultural prescription.

The scenarios presented in Table 15 are those that served to generate the allowable cuts in effect for the 2023-2028 period.

Table 15: Silvicultural Scenarios Chosen in the Forest Management Scenario (R15.0)¹

Forest cover types	Forest station group	Forest types	Species to be promoted	Extensive		Basic					Intensive		
				CPRS	CPIL-CT	NET-CPRS	SCA-PLb-CPRS	SCA-PLb-DEG-CPRS	SCA-REG-CPRS	SCA-REG-NET-CPRS	(EPC)-EC-CPRS	SCA-PLI-DEG-EC-CPRS	SCA-PLI-EC-CPRS
F	RFiF	BpFx	BpFx	X									
		PeFx	PeFx	X									
	RFiM	PeFx	PeFx	X									
MF	RFiF	BpRx	BpFx	X									
			SbFx			X							
			BpRx	X									
		PeRx	PeRx	X									
			SbFx			X							
		RFiM	BpRx	X									
			EpFx							X			
		PeRx	EpFx			X							
			PeRx	X									
MR	RESR0	EpFx	EpFx	X									
	RFiF		Ep					X*					

¹ The references to the silvicultural treatment acronyms appear in Appendix A - List of Silvicultural Treatments Chosen in the Silvicultural Strategy

Forest cover types	Forest station group	Forest types	Species to be promoted	Extensive	Basic						Intensive		
				CPRS	CPIL-CT	NET-CPRS	SCA-PLb-CPRS	SCA-PLb-DEG-CPRS	SCA-REG-CPRS	SCA-REG-NET-CPRS	(EPC)-EC-CPRS	SCA-PLi-DEG-EC-CPRS	SCA-PLi-EC-CPRS
			Epb									X*	
			EpFx		X								
			EpRx			X							
			SbFx	X									
		PgFx	Ep									X*	
		SbFx	Ep					X					
			Epb									X*	
			SbFx	X									
			SbRx			X							
	RFiM	EpFx	Ep					X*				X*	
			EpFx	X	X								
			EpRx			X							
		PgFx	Ep									X*	
			EpFx	X									
			EpRx			X*							
		SbFx	Ep									X*	
			SbFx	X									
			SbRx			X							
O	RESR	Ep	Ep	X	X		X						
			Ep	X			X						
			EpRx		X								
		Pg	Ep	X									
			Pg				X						
		PgRx	Ep	X									
			Pg				X						
	RESR0	Ep	Ep	X									
		EpRx	Ep	X									
		Ep	Ep	X									
	RESR1	PgRx	Ep				X						
		Pg	Pg										
	RESRH	Ep	Ep	X			X**						
		EpRx	Ep				X**						
			EpRx	X									
		SbRx	Ep				X**						
			EpRx	X									
	RESRL	PgRx	Ep	X									
	RFiF	Ep	Ep	X	X			X	X			X	
		EpRx	Ep	X				X		X		X	
			EpRx		X								

Forest cover types	Forest station group	Forest types	Species to be promoted	Extensive		Basic					Intensive		
				CPRS	CPIL-CT	NET-CPRS	SCA-PLb-CPRS	SCA-PLb-DEG-CPRS	SCA-REG-CPRS	SCA-REG-NET-CPRS	(EPC)-EC-CPRS	SCA-PLi-DEG-EC-CPRS	SCA-PLi-EC-CPRS
		Pg	Ep	X									
			Pg					X				X	
		PgRx	Ep	X									
			Pg					X				X	
		Sb	Ep					X					
			Epb									X	
			SbRx	X									
		SbRx	Ep					X					
			Epb									X	
			EpRx			X							
			SbRx	X									
	RFiM	Ep	Ep	X	X		X				X	X	
			EpRx				X					X	
		Pg	EpRx	X	X						X		
			Ep	X									
		PgRx	Pg				X				X	X	
			Ep	X									
		Sb	Pg				X					X	
			EpRx			X							
		SbRx	SbRx	X									
			EpRx			X							
			SbRx	X									

* In the territory of La Paix des braves, the silvicultural scenarios that only promote one softwood species, for which the forest station naturally allows the establishment of mixed stands, will be subject to a special Forest Development Strategy for mixed stands (ENRQC, Chap. 3, Schedule C-3, section C). Indeed, this strategy provides those minimum thresholds of mixed stands 60 years and older are applied on the scale of each trapline. Thus, on some traplines, certain scenarios that only promote one softwood species would be capped to the benefit for scenarios that promote a mixed composition.

** Given the very high cost of the work and the uncertain silvicultural results, reforestation on sites that are paludified or in the process of paludification will be limited, in particular, to the accessible sectors and those considered to have the best potential for success. Reflections are still in progress on the long-term viability of forest management on these sites and the best conditions of intervention, as the case may be.

Forest station group	Potential vegetation	Appellation
RES_R	RS2 RE2	Balsam Fir-Black Spruce stand, mesic or subhydryc Black Spruce stand, mesic or subhydryc
RES_R0	MS2 RE2 RE1 RS2	Balsam Fir-White Birch stand on very thin deposit Black Spruce stand on very thin deposit Black Spruce-lichen stand on very thin deposit Balsam Fir-Black Spruce stand on very thin deposit
RES_RH	RS3 RE3	Balsam Fir-Black Spruce and Sphagnum stand Black Spruce-Sphagnum stand
RES_RL	RE1	Black Spruce-lichen stand, mesic or subhydryc
RFi_F	ME1 MS2	Black Spruce-Trembling Aspen stand, mesic or subhydryc Balsam Fir-White Birch stand, mesic or subhydryc
RFi_M	RS2	Balsam Fir-Black Spruce stand, mesic or subhydryc

Forest types			
BpFx	White Birch-hardwood stands	Pg	Jack Pine stands
BpRx	White Birch-softwood stands	PgFx	Jack Pine-softwood stands
Ep	Spruce stands	PgRx	Jack Pine-softwood stands
EpFx	Spruce-hardwood stands	Sb	Balsam Fir stands
EpRx	Spruce-softwood stands	SbFx	Balsam Fir-softwood stands
PeFx	Poplar-hardwood stands	SbRx	Balsam Fir-softwood stands
PeRx	Poplar-softwood stands		
Silvicultural treatments			
CPIL	Slow regeneration irregular shelterwood cutting	EC	Commercial thinning
CPRS	Shelterwood cutting with regeneration and soil protection	Pli	Intensive planting
CT	Clearcutting	PLb	Basic planting
DEG	Clearing	REG	Fill planting
NET	Cleanup	SCA	Scarification
EPC	Precommercial thinning		

The areas to be developed annually with commercial and non-commercial work to achieve the forest planning objectives are the result of optimization and are established in accordance with the silvicultural scenarios. They are based on a treated average of the next 25 years schedule in the allowable cut calculation and account for the performance rates of the previous periods, the operational capacity, the available budget and the impact on the allowable cut.

These levels are adjusted from those incorporated into the allowable cut calculation in some MUs to account for the removal of harvested areas from stands with predominantly unwanted species. Also, you will find a complementary table with specific targets for commercial thinning and intensive planting from the wood production strategy. These increased targets are higher than those included in the allowable cut calculation.

**Table 16: Distribution of Silvicultural Work Areas of the Forest Development Strategy
Period – MU 2023-2028 R16.0**

	8551	
Commercial treatments	(ha)	(%) of CR*
Cutting with protection of regeneration and soils (without retention)	2652	N/A
Cutting with protection of regeneration and soils (with variable retention)**	1768	40%
Total for régénération cutting (CR) *	4420	N/A
Commercial thinning	630	N/A
Irregular shelterwood	190	N/A
Total for partial cutting (CP)	820	N/A
Total for commercial treatments (harvest)	5 240	N/A
Non commercial treatments		
Partial scarification	60	1%
Full scarification	1350	31%
Total for field preparation	1410	32%
Ligniculture (fast growing species)	0	0%
Intensive tree planting (2 000 trees/ha)	590	13%
Basic tree planting (1 600 trees/ha)	1020	23%
Tree planting infilling (regarni)	30	1%
Total for tree planting	1640	37%
Cleaning and brush cutting in natural regeneration stand	80	N/A
Precommercial thinning	0	N/A
Plantation clearing	640	N/A
Pruning (élagage)	0	N/A
Total for tending treatments (travaux d'éducation)	720	N/A
Total for non commercial treatments	3 770	N/A
130	Increased targets from the AAC calculation for the wood production strategy.	

* Achievement of the target of variable retention harvesting, planting and field preparation is evaluated according to a proportion of the area treated on the regeneration section (CR)-the forest stands with a majority of species without an industrial taker are removed from total.

** The VOIT related to dead wood has a target of 40% per trapline or by cutting agglomeration according to the current regime (Paix des Braves or ecosystem-based management). The information presented here by MU is a complement info for the dead wood VOIT.

You will also find the completion assessment for the 2018-2023 period in the following table:

Table 17: Assessment of Distribution of Quinquennial Silvicultural Work Areas of the Forest Development Strategy – 2018-2023 Period (R16.0)

	8551		
Commercial treatments	Target (ha)	Done (3 yrs*) (ha)	Done / Target (%)
Cutting with protection of regeneration and soils (without retention)	14713	3 727	25%
Cutting with protection of regeneration and soils (with variable retention)**	9808	2 278	23%
Cutting with protection of regeneration and soils (2012 à 2017)***	NA	6 916	0%
Total for régénération cutting (CR) *	24521	6005	24%
Commercial thinning	970	598	62%
Irregular shelterwood	1342	353	26%
Total for partial cutting (CP)	2 312	951	41%
Total for commercial treatments (harvest)	26 833	6 956	26%
Non commercial treatments			
Field preparation	7434	3417	46%
Tree planting	6926	3556	51%
Tending treatments (travaux d'éducation)	4100	2024	49%
Total for non commercial treatments	18 460	8 997	49%

* Update made with 2018, 2019 and 2020 annual reports. The area DOES NOT include the planned unharvested area but includes natural disturbance harvesting.

** The VOIT related to dead wood has a target of 40% per trapline or by cutting agglomeration according to the current regime (Paix des Braves or ecosystem-based management). The information presented here by MU is a complement info for the dead wood VOIT.

***This result corresponds to the actual harvest 2017, 2018, 2019. It serves to put into perspective the result of the return to production

The distribution of the costs incurred by the State for the execution of the Forest Development Strategy of 3,772,375 million dollars a year. It is taken from the allowable cut calculation determination booklets (<https://forestierenchef.gouv.qc.ca/possibilites-forestieres/periode-2023-2028/>)

MU 08551: Annual silvicultural budget for the 2023-2028 period: \$3,772,375




Figure 1: Distribution of the Annual Budget Used for the 2023-2028 Period – MU 08551

2.2.4 AREAS OF INCREASED TIMBER PRODUCTION

Section 36 of the Sustainable Forest Development Act specifies that the Minister sets criteria for identifying areas of high forestry potential where increased timber production may be seriously considered. The SFDA also provides in section 50 that the areas of increased timber production (AITP) are an integral part of the tactical plan for integrated forest development.

In accordance with the principles established by the MRNF, AITPs must be identified in a sustainable forest management perspective, considering the concerns of the various forest stakeholders. The purpose of the AITPs is to:

- protect and continue past silvicultural investments;
- concentrate intensive and elite silviculture on sites with high timber production potential and maximize the economic and financial profitability of silvicultural investments;
- facilitate monitoring of silvicultural treatments and, when necessary, the application of special protective measures against insects, diseases and forest fires;
- concentrate investments on sites where the risks limiting the achievement of timber production objectives are low;
- reduce the potential land use conflicts with the other stakeholders.

It is important to specify that the silviculture applied in the AITPs seeks intensive timber production while integrating harmoniously into the objectives of ecosystem management and integrated management of resources and land.

For the Forest Management Units of the Nord-du-Québec region, as permitted by the Québec Timber Production Strategy, a flexible approach regarding intensification if silviculture has been deployed to meet the expectations of the regional partners. In this Tactical Integrated Forest Management Plan (PAFIT), there are no AITPs identified, but rather potential silviculture intensification areas serving to assist in decision-making for forest managers. The forest users will be able to share their concerns regarding the intensification of forest management annually in the Operational Integrated Forest Management Plans (PAFIO). This increased flexibility should also be advantageous in dealing with certain uncertainties, such as natural disturbances or the effects of climate change.

For the distribution of potential areas of intensification of silviculture in the Nord-du-Québec region, consult the layer of potential areas of intensification of silviculture:


https://operationsregionales.mffp.gouv.qc.ca/APPLICATIONSWEB/R10/017_CI_juillet_2019_ccqf/

2.3 INFRASTRUCTURES AND MAIN ROADS TO DEVELOP AND MAINTAIN

The main infrastructure and roads to be developed and maintained are located in collaboration with the various stakeholders of the forest environment. This exercise makes it possible to identify the access constraints and provide for connection of the future roads planned with the goal of enhancing all the resources of the forest environment.

In addition to enabling the industry to harvest timber resources and transport them to the plants, the strategic road network allows the other stakeholders of the environment to have access to the forest to engage in their activities.

Map 1 : Digital Layers of Infrastructures and Main Roads to Develop and Maintain (R11).



Management of access roads is a key factor for reduction of the environmental impacts associated with forest management. Indeed, the forest road network influences, in particular, the quality of fish habitat and wildlife habitats, particularly that of Woodland Caribou. However, the preparation and implementation of an access road management plan represents a complex and colossal task. To obtain public adherence by a common understanding of the values and issues related to the forest road network, progress will be gradual and will necessitate the collaboration of all MRNF partners and their partners. Given the gradual implementation of the Strategy for Woodland and Mountain Caribou, announced in April 2019, the priority will be given to the territory used by this species. Over the years of collective work, the forests attributable for the Nord-du-Québec region will be covered completely by an access road management plan.

The preparation of the access road management plan will be organized around values of the public and the MRNF's partners. For the time being, the forest management values and objectives related to the forest road network, presented in the table below were identified from the discussions of the Local Integrated Resource Management Planning Panels (TLGIRT), consultation and harmonization meetings and the 2018-2023 PAFIT consultation.

The information presented in this table is subject to improvement. The PAFIT exercise is an opportunity to add values and/or refine the forest management objectives.

Table 18: Community Values Associated with the Access Road Network and Forest Management Objectives Related to These Values

Values	General forest management objectives
Public safety	A forest road network ensures an acceptable level of public safety.
Fish habitat	A forest road network with watercourse crossings that do not hinder the free passage of fish.
Protection of spawning grounds	Planning long enough in advance to allow consultation and verifications in the field.
Water quality	A forest road network that limits erosion and sedimentation events.
Maintain the Woodland Caribou habitat	A forest road network of limited extent in the Woodland Caribou habitat, which limits fragmentation of habitats and the disturbance rate.
Traditional way of life	A forest road network that favours the accomplishment of the traditional way of life.
Optimum access	A forest road network that ensures the accessibility of the region's various attractions without presenting redundancy.
Economic development	A forest road network that favours forest, mining, energy, hunting, fishing, trapping, NTFP harvesting and ATV activities.
Predictability of operations	Know the harvesting operations to come in the medium term (5 years) in order to invest when a return on investment will be possible.
Visual quality of landscapes	A forest road network that maintains the visual quality of the landscape during hiking, canoeing or other activities.

A detailed action plan was written to specify the approach the MRNF will follow for the preparation and implementation of its Access Road Management Plan. The pivotal actions of the approach are:

- identification of the basic network, access roads necessary for maintenance of land rights (vacation properties, land occupancy) and economic activity related to natural resources (forestry, energy, mining, NTFP);

- identification of zones in relation to the territory's values and association of specific road network development objectives.
- identification of road closing opportunities and opening scenarios, followed by closing to limit expansion of the network.

Each closing project then will follow the normal process, as provided in the guide to [multipurpose road closing requests](#).

At each stage, a presentation to the TLGIRTs is planned to favour regional cohesion. For the time being, the action plan does not address network maintenance. This factor may be explored in a 2nd phase, if necessary.

2.4 ALLOWABLE CUTS

Under section 46 of the Sustainable Forest Development Act, the function of the Chief Forester is determining allowable cuts for forest development units, local forests and certain residual forest territories, given the provincial, regional and local sustainable forest development objectives.

The allowable cuts correspond, for a given Forest Management Unit, to the maximum volume of annual timber harvests by species or species group that can be harvested, while ensuring the renewal and evolution of the forest based on the applicable sustainable forest development objectives, including those concerning:

- The sustainability of the forest environment;
- The impact of climate change on the forests;
- The natural dynamics of the forests, particularly their composition, age structure and spatial distribution;
- The maintenance and improvement of forest production capacity;
- The diversified use of the forest environment;

One of the Chief Forester's mandates is also preparing a manual to be used for determining allowable cuts, which specifies how the allowable cuts are established and shows how they take into account:

- The applicable sustainable forest development objectives, coming from section 48 of the Sustainable Forest Development Act;
- The directions and objectives of the Sustainable Forest Management Strategy (SFMS);
- The provisions of the RSDF;
- The regional and local forest management objectives.

To learn more, consult:

[Manuel de détermination 2023-2028](#)

Thus, according to the Integrated Forest Development Strategy and the forest management objectives established by the Direction de la gestion des forêts du Nord-du-Québec, the Chief Forester proceeded in December 2021 to determine the allowable cuts of the MU 08551. This plan, in section 2.4.3, specifies silvicultural work levels in concordance with the allowable cut calculation performed by the Chief Forester.

It should also be noted that a new allowable cut calculation was not produced for MU 085-62, 086-52 and 087-51. These allowable cuts are based on the previous period and received updates and adjustment recommendations.

For more details, the allowable cuts (R33.0) determined by the Chief Forester are available at the following Web address:

[Allowable cuts - Bureau du Forestier en chef \(gouv.qc.ca\)](https://forestierenchef.gouv.qc.ca)

[https://forestierenchef.gouv.qc.ca/possibilites-forestieres/periode-2023-2028/regions-forestieres-2023-2028/nord-du-quebec-2023-2028/.](https://forestierenchef.gouv.qc.ca/possibilites-forestieres/periode-2023-2028/regions-forestieres-2023-2028/nord-du-quebec-2023-2028/)

To maintain the economic value of the allowable cut over time, the BFEC also provides the Directions régionales des forêts with various reports and inputs allowing the allowable cut to be broken down by operational characteristics. These characteristics include the major forest types, the operational characteristics and the stem size. This breakdown is presented below in the results (R22) in the form of quinquennial targets that must be respected during preparation of the operational integrated forest development plans and the annual programs.

Also the annual silvicultural work areas with harvesting to be performed by type of operational constraint are presented in the table below.

Table 19: Distribution of Annual Silvicultural Work Areas with Harvesting to be Performed by Operational Constraint Type in 2023-2028 (R22.0)

		8551	
	Unit	Target	(%) harvested area*
MAJOR FOREST TYPES			
Softwoods	ha	4040	77%
Hardwoods	ha	340	6%
Mixed stands with a dominance of hardwood	ha	290	6%
Mixed stands with a dominance of softwood	ha	570	11%
OPERATIONAL CONSTRAINTS			
Visual framing	ha	89	2%
Orphan stand	ha	896	17%
Steep slopes	ha	0	0%
Riparian buffer***	ha	N/A	N/A
Wood dimension (SEPM) lower 85 dm³/stem	ha	1389	27%
HARVESTED AREA*			
Harvested area (CR+CP)	ha	5240	N/A

* Major forest types and operational constraints targets achievement are evaluated according to a proportion of the harvested area on the total harvested areas (partial and regeneration). The harvested areas include planned put unharvested areas.

*** Riparian buffers are now excluded from the annual allowable cut calculation

You will also find the completion assessment for the 2018-23 period in the following table:

Tableau 20: Assessment of Distribution of Annual Silvicultural Work Areas with Harvesting to be Performed by Operational Constraint Type in 2018-2023 (R22.0)

	Unit	8551		
		Target	Done (5 yrs)*	Done / Target (%)
MAJOR FOREST TYPES				
Softwoods	ha	21949	7150	33%
Hardwoods	ha	2200	521	24%
Mixed stands with a dominance of hardwood	ha	1664	603	36%
Mixed stands with a dominance of softwood	ha	1020	1028	101%
OPERATIONAL CONSTRAINTS		0	0	0%
Visual framing	ha	617	278	45%
Orphan stand	ha	4320	1413	33%
Riparian buffer	ha	10	1	10%
Steep slopes	ha	1376	42	3%
Wood dimension (SEPM) lower 85 dm ³ /stem	ha	8559	0	0%
HARVESTED AREA*		0	0	0
Harvested area (CR+CP)	ha	26832	9938	37%

* From 2018-19, 2019-20, 2020-21 annual reports and BMMB annual declaration. It excludes 2013-2018 unharvested volume (VNR) associated harvested areas.

**The harvested area (CR+CP) ratio over target allows to assess each individual results (operationnal constraints and Major forest types. For example, if 50% of CR+CP is realized, it is adaqueate that only 50% of the hardwoods target is reached.

2.5 MONITORING

Monitoring and observance of the targets relating to the forest management objectives and the integrated forest development strategy are achieved through technical and operational planning processes. However, it must be mentioned that several factors beyond the MRNF's control, such as market demand, the industrial structure and the availability of labour, may limit the achievement of the targets of the development strategy.

Moreover, as specified in the document *Plan d'aménagement forestier intégré tactique 2023-2028 – Contexte légal et administratif*, several types of monitoring are used by the MRNF to:

- Acquire new knowledge for a better understanding of the effect of silvicultural treatments on the ecosystems, wildlife, plant life, and timber production;
- ensure the compliance of the silvicultural work, particularly regarding the conditions provided in the silvicultural prescription and the standards established in the RSDF;
- assess whether the means deployed during silvicultural interventions allow achievement of the silvicultural objectives pursued;
- Improve forest practices continuously.

Although all types of forest monitoring are important, this section concentrates on effectiveness monitoring, which seeks to validate the achievement of the silvicultural objectives provided in the silvicultural prescription and thereby has an indirect impact on the implementation in operational planning of the silvicultural scenarios provided by the forest development strategy.

To govern the performance of forest monitoring, a monitoring schedule was developed based on the type of intervention, the silvicultural intensity gradient, the maximum monitoring period and the thresholds to consider that the silvicultural objectives are achieved. Below is the table 21 developed:

Table 21: Effectiveness Monitoring Schedule (R15.1)

		Assessment of regeneration following silvicultural treatment	
Gradient	Treatment	First Monitoring (Establishment of Regeneration)	Second Monitoring (State pf Regeneeration)
Extensive	Regeneration cut (CR)	1-10 years	N/A
	CPI (partial cut with objective of regeneration)	1-10 years	N/A
	Natural disturbance	4-10 years	N/A
Basic	Regeneration cut	1-5 years	5-15 years
	Artificial regeneration (REB, REG, ENS)	1-5 years	5-15 years
	CPI (partial cut with objective of regeneration)	3-5 years	N/A

		Assessment of regeneration following silvicultural treatment	
Gradient	Treatment	First Monitoring (Establishment of Regeneration)	Second Monitoring (State of Regeneration)
Intensive including areas of increased timber production (AITP)	Regeneration cut	3-5 years	5-10 years
	Artificial regeneration (REB, REG, ENS)	3-5 years	5-10 years
	CPI (partial cut with objective of regeneration)	3-5 years	N/A

In most cases, follow-up is carried out the year following harvest to determine the initial state of regeneration and to carry out silvicultural actions as required. This follow-up is mandatory for the intensive gradient.

Table 22 illustrates the minimum targets according to the type of monitoring and the silvicultural intensity gradient concerned.

The actions to be taken when the target is not reached may translate into prescriptions for scarification, fill planting, clearing, cleanup and precommercial thinning.

Table 22: Minimum Targets (Basic Criteria of Forest Monitoring Operation R44.0)

1 st effectiveness monitoring operation	Silvicultural intensity gradient		
	Extensive (Target density of 1200 well-distributed stems/ha)	Basic (Target density of 1600 well-distributed stems/ha)	Intensive (Target density of 2000 well-distributed stems/ha)
Methods	Classification of aerial images and/or photo-interpretation or field reconnaissance	Classification of aerial images and/or photo-interpretation and/or field reconnaissance	Production of an inventory
Minimum targets	50% distribution coefficient in commercial species	60% distribution coefficient in desired species	75% distribution coefficient in desired species
Actions to be taken if the target is not achieved	Actions required, if possible	Actions required, if possible	Actions required

2 nd effectiveness monitoring operation	Silvicultural intensity gradient		
	Extensive	Basic (target density of 1600 well distributed stems/ha)	Intensive (target density of 2000 well distributed stems/ha)
Methods	N.A.	Classification of aerial images and/or photo-interpretation and/or field reconnaissance <u>and if actions are required</u> : Intervention inventory	Production of an inventory
Minimum targets	N.A.	60% distribution coefficient in desired species free to grow	75% distribution coefficient in thinned desired species
Actions to be taken if the target is not achieved	N.A.	Actions required, if possible	Actions required

The assessments and feedback of the monitoring operations allowing validation and of the silvicultural scenarios and forest development strategies. In case the objectives are not achieved, the forest manager analyzes the treatment possibilities that would allow achievement of the targets and doors to action if the conditions permit. Adjustment of the sociocultural scenarios may also result.

3. Professional and Administrative Signatures

(Only the original French version is signed)

Ressources naturelles
et Forêts



Professional and Administrative Signature Form

Tactical Integrated Forest Management Plan

Forest Management Unit 08551

Professional responsibility

This Tactical Integrated Forest Management Plan (PAFIT) was produced under my professional responsibility based on all the relevant information available to date and in compliance with the legislation and regulations in force. I recommend its approved by the Minister's representative

Date _____

Paul-Maxime Otye-Moto, ing.f.no de permis 14-015
Coordonnateur des plans d'aménagement forestier intégré tactiques

I also certify that the following forest engineers contributed to its preparation for the work cited below.

Date _____

Sabrina Morissette, ing.f. no de permis 05-020
Coordonnatrice aux affaires autochtones

Date _____

Sébastien Leduc, ing.f. no de permis 03-031
Coordonnateur régional de la planification forestière

Date _____

Zlatko Blazeski, ing.f. no de permis 15-016
Aménagiste pour la planification commerciale

Date _____

Marie-Ève Larouche, ing.f. no de permis 11-033
Aménagiste pour la planification non-commerciale

I also certify that the following biologists contributed to its preparation for the work cited below.

Sonia Légaré, biologiste PH.D.

Date _____

Administrative responsibility

Approval of the Tactical Integrated Forest Management Plans (PAFIT) for the Ministère des Ressources naturelles et des Forêts (MRNF).

Christine Morin, directrice régionale par intérim
Direction de la gestion des forêts du Nord-du-Québec

Date _____

4. Appendix

Appendix A – List of silvicultural treatments used in the silvicultural strategy	41
Appendix B – Local Issues and Objectives Raised during the TLGIRTs (R12).....	43
Appendix C – Concerns Raised by the First Nations (Regional Objectives)	45
Appendix D – Derogation for Balsam Fir Stand TRUs 085-51, 086-52, 087-51	48
Appendix E – Issues-Solutions Sheet for the Nord-du-Québec Region.....	71

APPENDIX A – LIST OF SILVICULTURAL TREATMENTS USED IN THE SILVICULTURAL STRATEGY

Silvicultural treatments are actions taken in a forest stand to guide its composition and structure. The following text presents the main silvicultural treatments used for the Nord-du-Québec region.

Silvicultural treatment	Description ¹
Scarification (SCA)	Site treatment that involves disturbing the humus layer and low competing vegetation to expose and turn the mineral soil and mix it with organic matter.
Planting (PL)	Artificial regeneration treatment that involves placing seedlings, young plants or cuttings in the ground to create a stand.
Fill planting (REG)	Artificial regeneration treatment that involves planting trees to fill voids on an area where regeneration, natural or artificial, has not achieved adequate density or distribution coefficient.
Enrichment (PL_ENR)	Artificial regeneration treatment that involves planting trees in a stand to introduce, reintroduce or increase the abundance of a scarce or higher value species.
Clearing (DEG)	Educational treatment that involves cutting competing vegetation to free up regeneration for desired species.
Cleaning (NET)	Educational treatment that involves cutting competing vegetation, regardless of stage of stand development. This term is generally used to describe a clearing at the sapling stage, and to distinguish it from a clearing at the seedling stage.
Pre-commercial thinning (EPC)	Educational treatment that involves cutting trees of non-market size to decrease the intensity of competition on promising trees and to improve their growth.
Commercial thinning (EC)	Educational treatment that involves harvesting a portion of market-sized trees in a regular structure stand at the age of prematurity, to promote the development of promising trees.
Cutting with protection of regeneration and soils (CPRS)	Regeneration process that involves harvesting all market commercial trees while protecting sub-floor regeneration and forest soil. Regeneration, which was established naturally under the cover of mature trees, is cleared, thus allowing its development under conditions of full light.
Cutting with protection of high regeneration and soils (CPHRS)	Regeneration process that involves harvesting all market commercial trees while protecting high regeneration (saplings) installed in the sub-floor and forest soil. It should be preferred, particularly when the risk of invasion by competing vegetation is very high.
Cutting with seed tree stock (CRS)	Regeneration process that preserves seed trees (5 to 30 seed trees per hectare) on the cutting floor. They are distributed evenly to ensure the regeneration of the desired species.
Cutting with small market stems protection (CPPTM)	Regeneration process of harvesting trees in the diameter at chest height (dhp) greater than the boundary diameter, while protecting a sub-floot of softwood composed of saplings and small market stems.

¹ Source: MRN (2013), Le guide sylvicole du Québec, tome 2. Les concepts et l'application de la sylviculture, collective work under the supervision of C. Larouche, F. Guillemette, P. Raymond and J.-P. Saucier, Les Publications du Québec, 744 p.

Silvicultural treatment	Description ¹
Remediation cutting (CAS)	Remediation treatment that involves harvesting trees that are dead, vulnerable or damaged by insects or infectious diseases in order to prevent the spread of parasites or pathogens and thereby improve the health of the stand.
Improvement cutting (CA)	Remediation treatment that involves harvesting defective, noxious or undesirable trees to improve the composition and vigour of a stand at the pole or high forest stage.
Selection cutting (CJ)	Family of regeneration processes that involve periodic cutting into an uneven-aged stand, harvesting its production while helping it achieve or maintain a balanced structure.
Shelterwood (CPR)	Regeneration process that involves harvesting the stand in a series of partial cuts spread over less than 1/5 of the revolution, in order to establish a regeneration cohort under the protection of a forest canopy containing mature seed trees.
Irregular shelterwood (CPI)	Regeneration process that involves harvesting the stand in a series of partial cuts spread over less than 1/5 of the revolution, in order to establish one or more regeneration cohorts under the protection of a forest canopy containing mature seed trees.

APPENDIX B – LOCAL ISSUES AND OBJECTIVES RAISED DURING THE TLGIRTS (R12)

Villebois-Valcanton (VVB)

Table de Gestion Intégrée des Ressources et du Territoire (TGIRT) de Villebois et Valcanton

Tableau des enjeux



Problématique / enjeu	Besoin	Moyen	Indicateur	Cible / objectif	Responsable, suivi	Suivi	VOIC
Compétitivité de l'industrie forestière en région	Assurer la rentabilité des usines de transformation du bois	* Tenir compte de cet enjeu quand viendra le temps d'élaborer les autres enjeux de la table de GIRT				En continu	
Qualité esthétique du paysage	Conserver une qualité des paysages acceptable pour les activités récréotouristiques et maintenir une prédominance de couvert forestier dans le paysage de sites sensibles	* Établir une cartographie des sites récréotouristique			Comité technique, MFFP (reprenant le document "VOIC proposé pour les PAFIT 2018-2023.)	Réalisé	En vigueur
	S'assurer de conserver une ambiance forestière le long des parcours de canot camping et le long des chemins d'accès menant aux sites de villégiature	* Définir des modalités de protection pour ces sites et les appliquer					
		* Établir une cartographie des parcours de canot camping					
		* Définir des modalités de protection le long de ces parcours et les appliquer					
Conservation de l'habitat du caribou	Analyse de la possibilité de fermeture de certains chemins et reboisement					Commission indépendante sur les caribous forestiers et montagnards avec audiences publiques régionales	Recevable
Santé publique	Restreindre les contaminants dans les habitats aquatiques	* Réaliser un suivi annuel des contaminants dans la chaire des poissons provenant des lacs de villégiature	Suivi annuel des contaminants dans la chaire des poissons provenant des lacs de villégiature				Recevable

Problématique / enjeu	Besoin	Moyen	Indicateur	Cible / objectif	Responsable, suivi	Suivi	VOIC
-----------------------	--------	-------	------------	------------------	--------------------	-------	------

De plus en plus de travaux de récolte sont effectués à partir de chemins d'hiver et les distances aux accès principaux sont de plus en plus importantes (entre 8 à 15 KM). D'un point de vue opérationnel, cela augmente le niveau de difficulté pour le scarifiage, notamment lors du transport des employés et des équipements (VTT, Argo, carburants, remorque de services). Cela place également les intervenants en situation de non-conformité en regard des conditions de santé et de sécurité (travailleurs isolés, communications et accès aux services d'urgence réduits). Cela augmente également les risques de dommages aux infrastructures telles que les ponceaux. Ce qui apparaît d'autant plus déplorable est que ces chemins d'accès sont gravelés suite à la préparation de terrain, pour donner accès aux équipes de reboiseurs et pour la livraison de plants. Il n'y a donc que la préparation de sites qui est concernée par cette première problématique. Une deuxième problématique qui touche également les équipes de reboisement, porte sur les résidus de coupe laissés sur le terrain après récolte. La proportion de ces résidus de coupe est en constante hausse, en raison des dérogations accordées aux BGA lors des inventaires après récolte. Ces augmentations des volumes de résidus de coupe compromettent l'exécution efficace de la préparation de terrain. Également, cette situation augmente significativement la difficulté de réaliser le reboisement et diminue la sécurité des reboiseurs.

Conditions d'exécutions des travaux de remise en production	Assurer la sécurité des travailleurs en forêt	* Améliorer la communication et la coordination avec Rexforêt, via les différents comités dont les tables opérationnelles			MFFP		
	Établir les conditions permettant de réaliser efficacement les travaux de remise en production et d'en assurer le succès	* Être informés des demandes de dérogation et des ententes pouvant compromettre la réalisation efficace des travaux de préparation de terrain			MFFP		

APPENDIX C – CONCERNS RAISED BY THE FIRST NATIONS (REGIONAL OBJECTIVES)

Préoccupations non exhaustives de la Première Nation Abitibiwinni et état d'avancement des travaux au 7 décembre 2016

No.	Valeur/Sujet	Préoccupation	Enjeux	Objectif
1A	Intégrité de sites sensibles et d'intérêt de la communauté.	Les opérations forestières peuvent déranger et détériorer l'intégrité des sites sensibles et d'intérêts lorsque réalisées à proximité de ceux-ci.	Les sites sensibles et d'intérêt ne sont pas tenus en compte dans l'aménagement actuel.	Maintenir autour des sites sensibles et d'intérêt un environnement permettant d'assurer la poursuite des activités traditionnelles.
1B	Apparence naturelle des sites sensibles et d'intérêt.	La qualité du paysage à proximité des sites sensibles et d'intérêt peut être dégradée suite aux opérations de récolte réalisées à proximité de ceux-ci, ce qui empêche la communauté d'avoir un territoire d'apparence naturelle.	Les sites sensibles et d'intérêts ne sont pas tenus en compte dans l'aménagement actuel.	Maintenir la qualité visuelle du paysage et la quiétude autour des sites sensibles et d'intérêt identifiés par la communauté.
2	Maintien de la structure interne des peuplements.	Les traitements d'éducation des peuplements (ex. : dégagement) peuvent changer la composition initiale d'un peuplement et ainsi modifier le potentiel des habitats pour les espèces fauniques présentes à préalable ce qui ne permet pas de poursuivre les activités traditionnelles de chasse et de trappe selon l'effort de chasse et de trappe habituel.	Poursuivre la pratique traditionnelle de chasse et de trappe, selon l'effort habituel <u>sur chaque aire de trappe</u> .	Limiter la simplification de la structure interne dans les jeunes peuplements de seconde venue.
3	Maintien de la composition végétale initiale du peuplement.	Le reboisement peut changer la composition initiale d'un peuplement et ainsi modifier le potentiel des habitats pour les espèces fauniques présentes à préalable ce qui ne permet pas de poursuivre les activités traditionnelles de chasse et de trappe selon l'effort de chasse et de trappe habituel.	Poursuivre la pratique traditionnelle de chasse et de trappe, selon l'effort habituel <u>sur chaque aire de trappe</u> .	S'assurer de reboiser l'essence initiale du peuplement.
4A	Maintien d'un habitat adéquat pour les espèces sensibles à la fragmentation et au manque de connectivité (VO TLGIRT Rouyn-Noranda).	Les coupes totales trop importantes ne laissent pas assez de forêts résiduelles pour la martre entraînant une baisse de potentiel de récolte de l'échelle des terrains de trappe.	Bonne répartition spatiale d'habitat source afin de poursuivre la pratique traditionnelle de trappe, selon l'effort habituel <u>sur chaque aire de trappe</u> .	Conserver suffisamment d'habitats de qualité bien répartis à l'échelle des aires de trappe pour répondre aux besoins des espèces sensibles à la fragmentation et au manque de connectivité. *** VO développée à la TLGIRT de Rouyn-Noranda avec M. Jean Lapointe (MFFP) comme expert-conseil.

No.	Valeur/Sujet	Préoccupation	Enjeux	Objectif
				<u>Massif – habitat source</u> : Bloc d'un minimum de 30 km² composé d'au moins 70 % de peuplements de 7 m et d'au moins 50 % de peuplements qualifiés d'adéquats. <u>Adéquats</u> : Peuplements résineux ou mélangés d'une hauteur de 7 m et plus (classes 1, 2, 3 et 4) dont la densité est d'au moins 40 % (classes A, B et C). Il s'agit des peuplements qualifiés de bons ou excellents selon la clé d'évaluation du potentiel de l'habitat de la martre d'Amérique (FAPAQ 2000), à l'exclusion des peuplements à dominance de pins gris ou de mélèzes.
4B	Habitat adéquat pour les espèces sensibles à la fragmentation et au manque de connectivité (VO TLGIRT Rouyn-Noranda).	La fragmentation des habitats occasionnés par les opérations forestières peut empêcher le déplacement de certaines espèces animales d'un secteur à l'autre à l'échelle de l'aire de trappe.	Poursuivre la pratique traditionnelle de trappe, selon l'effort habituel <u>sur chaque aire de trappe</u> .	Maintenir une connectivité adéquate entre les habitats sources.
5	Maintien des frayères.	Les traverses de cours d'eau lors de la construction de chemins risquent d'entraîner un apport massif de sédiments et porter atteinte à la qualité des frayères qui ne sont pas toutes connues par le MFFP.	Destruction des sites d'habitat du poisson.	Maintenir la qualité de l'habitat des frayères pour les espèces traditionnellement pêchées.
6A	Impact des opérations forestières sur les écosystèmes forestiers.	Les opérations forestières, incluant les nouveaux chemins forestiers, peuvent avoir un impact sur les produits non ligneux de la forêt (champignons, petits fruits, plantes, etc.).	Perte d'attributs importants pour maintenir les savoirs traditionnels de la communauté.	Maintenir, par aire de trappe, des secteurs pour la production des PFNL.
6B	Impact des opérations forestières sur les écosystèmes forestiers.	L'exploitation des bouleaux à papier empêche les communautés autochtones de s'approvisionner en écorce de qualité pour confectionner des objets traditionnels (raréfaction de bétulaies blanches de qualité).	Perte d'attributs importants pour maintenir les savoirs traditionnels de la communauté.	Maintenir, par aire de trappe, des peuplements de bouleau à papier adéquat pour assurer la poursuite de l'artisanat.

No.	Valeur/Sujet	Préoccupation	Enjeux	Objectif
7	Accès au territoire.	Les nouvelles voies d'accès au territoire augmentent la fréquentation des nouveaux utilisateurs ce qui risque de détériorer les sites sensibles et diminuer la qualité de la chasse autochtone.	Participer au plan de gestion des voies d'accès.	
8	Vieilles forêts.	Les vieilles forêts font partie de notre culture et façonnent l'identité. Leur disparition aurait des impacts importants sur notre « garde-manger » et la transmission des savoirs traditionnels.	Disparition des vieilles forêts.	Maintenir, par aire de trappe, un % adéquat de vieilles forêts.
9A	Maintien de la qualité de l'eau souterraine (VO TLGIRT de Rouyn-Noranda).	Les activités forestières mécanisées, réalisées sur eskers et moraines sont susceptibles de modifier la recharge par l'augmentation du ruissellement en surface, une diminution de la capacité d'absorption du sol ou une diminution de la capacité de filtration causée par la compaction du sol, un enlèvement du sol organique/végétal et/ou du sol minéral et l'orniérage.		Limiter la superficie occupée par les chemins forestiers carrossables implantés sur les eskers identifiés.
9B	Maintien de la qualité de l'eau de surface (VO TLGIRT de Rouyn-Noranda).	La récolte forestière est susceptible d'augmenter les débits de pointe des cours d'eau, entraînant la dégradation de la qualité de l'eau et la perturbation de l'habitat faunique des milieux récepteurs par une augmentation, entre autres, de l'apport en matière en suspension et en nutriments dans l'eau.		Protéger l'habitat faunique des bassins versants identifiés comme fragiles. Protéger la qualité de l'eau des lacs servant de source d'eau potable.
10	Programme de participation autochtone (PPA).	Les délais importants et récurrents entourant l'émission du PPA par le MFFP ne permettent pas de soutenir adéquatement la communauté financièrement de façon continue et laissent cette dernière sans processus de consultation valide.		Améliorer les délais entourant l'émission du PPA par le MFFP. Celui-ci devrait être valide au 1 ^{er} avril de chaque année, soit au début de l'année financière.
11		L'investissement en sylviculture du gouvernement sur les terres publiques peut avoir une influence sur les revendications globales de la communauté ainsi que sur la mise en place d'aires protégées.		

APPENDIX D – DEROGATION FOR BALSAM FIR STAND TRUS 085-51, 086-52, 087-51

Dérogation au Règlement sur l'aménagement durable des forêts du domaine de l'État pour la période de 2023 à 2028

Unités d'aménagement 085-51, 086-52 et 087-51 - Région Nord-du-Québec

Date : 1^{er} août 2022

MINISTÈRE DES FORÊTS, DE LA FAUNE ET DES PARCS




Votre
gouvernement

Québec



Approbation

Direction de la gestion des forêts du Nord-du-Québec



Christine Morin
Directrice régionale par intérim
1^{er} août 2022

Photographie de la page de couverture :

Ministère des Forêts, de la Faune et des Parcs

© Gouvernement du Québec

Ministère des Forêts, de la Faune et des Parcs

Liste des sigles et acronymes

COS : compartiment d'organisation spatiale

LADTF : loi sur l'aménagement durable du territoire forestier

MFFP : ministère des Forêts, de la Faune et des Parcs

PAFIO : plan d'aménagement forestier intégré opérationnel

PAFIT : plan d'aménagement forestier intégré tactique

PRAN : planification de la récolte annuelle

RADF : règlement sur l'aménagement durable des forêts du domaine de l'État

RATF : rapport d'activité technique et financier

UA : unité d'aménagement

UTA : unité territoriale d'analyse

Table des matières

Liste des sigles et acronymes	III
Introduction.....	1
Dérogation aux dispositions particulières applicables aux domaines bioclimatiques de la sapinière pour certaines parties des UA 085-51, 086-52 et 087-51.....	2
1 Mesures de substitution proposées	2
2 Territoire d'application de l'approche de substitution.....	2
3 Normes réglementaires faisant l'objet de l'approche de substitution	5
4 Démonstration de la protection équivalente ou supérieure des ressources et du milieu forestiers	5
Dérogation pour le remplacement des cibles à l'échelle des unités territoriales de référence par des cibles à l'échelle des unités territoriales d'analyse et des compartiments d'organisation spatiale	8
1 Mesures de substitution proposées	8
2 Territoire d'application de l'approche de substitution.....	9
3 Normes réglementaires faisant l'objet de l'approche de substitution	11
4 Démonstration de la protection équivalente ou supérieure des ressources et du milieu forestiers	11
4.1 Protection équivalente à l'échelle de l'UTR.....	11
4.2 Protection supérieure ou équivalente à l'échelle de l'UA	12
Mécanismes de suivi prévus pour assurer l'application de l'approche de substitution	13
Amendes prévues en cas d'infraction.....	14
Bibliographie.....	18

Liste des figures

Figure 1. Carte des UA, des UTR et des domaines bioclimatiques	3
Figure 2. Carte des UA, des UTR et des unités homogènes de végétation	7
Figure 3. Carte des UA avec le contour des UTR et carte avec le contour des COS.....	10

Liste des tableaux

Tableau 1. Unités territoriales de référence dont la majorité de la superficie se trouve dans le domaine bioclimatique de la sapinière	4
Tableau 2. Répartition de la dominance en essences des peuplements forestiers par UTR (excluant les types sans couvert).....	6
Tableau 3. Échelles spatiales et superficies associées selon les domaines bioclimatiques	11
Tableau 4. Indicateurs et cibles d'aménagement à imposer à l'échelle du COS.....	12
Tableau 5. Portrait de l'UA en forêt de 7 m ou plus de hauteur avec l'application des cibles minimales par le découpage en UTR ou en COS.....	13

Liste des annexes

Annexe 1 Articles du RADF visés par la demande de dérogation	15
--	----

Introduction

En vertu de la Loi sur l'aménagement durable du territoire forestier (L.R.Q., c. A-18.1, article 40)¹, le ministre peut imposer aux personnes ou aux organismes soumis à un plan d'aménagement des normes d'aménagement forestier différentes de celles édictées par voie réglementaire. C'est entre autres le cas lorsqu'il apparaît que ces dernières ne permettent pas de protéger adéquatement l'ensemble des ressources d'un territoire. Le ministre peut également autoriser une dérogation aux normes réglementaires lorsqu'il lui est démontré que les modalités de substitution proposées par des personnes ou des organismes assureront une protection équivalente ou supérieure des ressources et du milieu forestiers.

Ainsi, en vertu de l'article 40² de la LADTF, les éléments suivants seront décrits dans le présent document :

- Les mesures de substitution proposées aux normes d'aménagement forestier édictées par voie réglementaire;
- Le territoire d'application de l'approche de substitution;
- Les normes réglementaires faisant l'objet de substitution;
- La démonstration de la protection équivalente ou supérieure des ressources et du milieu forestiers;
- Les mécanismes de suivi prévus pour assurer l'application de l'approche de substitution;
- Les amendes prévues en cas d'infraction.

Il est à noter que, pour toute disparité de lecture ou de compréhension entre le présent document et le texte légal, ce sont les documents officiels sur Légis Québec³ qui constituent les références.

¹ Consulter la Loi sur l'aménagement durable du territoire forestier à <http://legisquebec.gouv.qc.ca/fr/pdf/cs/A-18.1.pdf>.

² Consulter l'article 40 de la LADTF à <https://www.legisquebec.gouv.qc.ca/fr/version/c/a-18.1?code=se.40&historique=20220426#20220426>.

³ Consulter le Règlement sur l'aménagement durable des forêts du domaine de l'État à <https://www.legisquebec.gouv.qc.ca/fr/document/rc/A-18.1.%20r.%200.01>.

Dérogation aux dispositions particulières applicables aux domaines bioclimatiques de la sapinière pour certaines parties des UA 085-51, 086-52 et 087-51

La région du Nord-du-Québec se trouve en grande majorité dans le domaine bioclimatique de la pessière à mousse. Trois UA dans la région sont donc aménagées en suivant les orientations de l'organisation spatiale en pessière à mousses. Une petite partie des UA 085-51, 086-52 et 087-51 se trouve à la limite du domaine bioclimatique de la sapinière à bouleau blanc. Étant donné que les caractéristiques forestières du territoire sont typiques du domaine de la pessière à mousses, il est souhaitable d'y appliquer la même approche.

Afin de continuer l'aménagement de ces forêts de la façon la plus appropriée, il est nécessaire de déroger aux articles du Règlement sur l'aménagement durable des forêts du domaine de l'État (RADF) applicables aux domaines bioclimatiques de la sapinière. Les unités territoriales de référence (UTR) sont utilisées pour définir les dispositions particulières de répartition des interventions forestières et de la forêt résiduelle applicables suivant le domaine bioclimatique sur les forêts du domaine de l'État. Ces subdivisions seront ainsi utilisées pour justifier les mesures de substitution à la dérogation.

1 Mesures de substitution proposées

Les mesures de substitution proposées consistent à appliquer les dispositions particulières du RADF concernant l'organisation spatiale des coupes applicables au domaine bioclimatique de la pessière à mousses (articles 144, 145 et 146) dans les portions des UA localisées dans le domaine bioclimatique de la sapinière à bouleau blanc.

2 Territoire d'application de l'approche de substitution

L'approche de substitution va s'appliquer à certaines UTR des unités d'aménagement 085-51, 086-52 et 087-51 qui sont situées dans la région du Nord-du-Québec (figure 1). Les superficies touchées par la dérogation sont inscrites au tableau 1.

Figure 1. Carte des UA, des UTR et des domaines bioclimatiques




Tableau 1. Unités territoriales de référence dont la majorité de la superficie se trouve dans le domaine bioclimatique de la sapinière

UA	NO UTR	Superficie forestière productive dans le domaine bioclimatique de la sapinière (ha)	Superficie forestière productive totale (ha)
085-51	08551_COS070	2046,70	3405,40
085-51	08551_COS071	5159,20	5641,30
085-51	08551_COS084	3743,10	4073,60
085-51	08551_COS085	4702,80	4740,70
085-51	08551_COS086	10428,80	10428,80
085-51	08551_COS092	8399,80	8507,60
085-51	08551_COS093	5704,20	5704,20
085-51	08551_COS094	5199,80	5199,80
085-51	08551_COS097	5157,90	10455,60
085-51	08551_COS098	6290,70	6290,70
085-51	08551_COS100	4244,20	4244,20
085-51	08551_COS101	5914,40	5914,40
085-51	08551_COS103	29,90	29,90
085-51	08551_COS104	7787,00	7787,00
085-51	08551_COS106	422,10	422,10
085-51	08551_COS106a	920,70	920,70
085-51	08551_COS107	3487,30	3487,30
085-51	08551_COS88A	5889,80	6838,00
085-51	08551_COS88Aa	366,20	366,20
086-52	08652_COS039	3677,40	3677,40
086-52	08652_COS040	2963,50	2963,50
087-51	08751_COS046	7219,60	7254,50
087-51	08751_COS047	12629,30	12648,00
087-51	08751_COS048	11300,70	11381,00
087-51	08751_COS049	11818,30	11877,50
087-51	08751_COS050	7888,90	7900,50
087-51	08751_COS051	6713,20	6734,40
087-51	08751_COS052	8129,80	8129,80
087-51	08751_COS053	11658,70	11671,20
087-51	08751_COS054	6673,80	6714,00
087-51	08751_COS055	5948,60	6042,20
087-51	08751_COS056	9203,30	9203,30
087-51	08751_COS057	11909,70	11909,70
Total		203629,4	212564,5

3 Normes réglementaires faisant l'objet de l'approche de substitution

Les normes réglementaires faisant l'objet de l'approche de substitution se trouvent à la section II du RADF, « Dispositions particulières applicables aux domaines bioclimatiques de l'érablière et de la sapinière », du chapitre VI, soit les articles 133 à 143. Le règlement est disponible en ligne⁴ et les articles concernés par la dérogation sont transcrits à l'annexe 1 de ce document.

4 Démonstration de la protection équivalente ou supérieure des ressources et du milieu forestiers

Une analyse de la dominance en essences des peuplements forestiers des UTR visées par la dérogation permet d'estimer si la composition de ces zones est typique du domaine de la sapinière ou de la pessière à mousses (tableau 2). Les peuplements forestiers dominés par le sapin baumier, l'essence représentative du domaine bioclimatique de la sapinière, ne couvrent en moyenne que 4 % des UTR faisant l'objet de la dérogation. Les peuplements dominés par l'épinette noire ou le pin gris, les essences représentatives du domaine bioclimatique de la pessière à mousses, quant à eux, couvrent en moyenne 49 % de l'ensemble de ces UTR. D'ailleurs, on peut voir à la figure 2 que celles-ci se trouvent dans une unité homogène propre à la pessière (ROEm).


Ainsi, on peut conclure que, pour les territoires où l'approche de substitution est proposée, l'approche d'organisation spatiale des forêts la plus adaptée serait celle propre à la pessière à mousses.

⁴ Consulter le RADF à <https://mffp.gouv.qc.ca/RADF/guide/>.

Tableau 2. Répartition de la dominance en essences des peuplements forestiers par UTR (excluant les types sans couvert)

NO UTR	Épinette noire (%)	Pin gris (%)	Sapin baumier (%)	Autres résineux (%)	Feuillus intolérants (%)
08551_COS070	15%	20%	0%	7%	59%
08551_COS071	25%	26%	0%	9%	41%
08551_COS084	11%	51%	0%	6%	32%
08551_COS085	31%	12%	2%	13%	42%
08551_COS086	21%	25%	1%	8%	44%
08551_COS092	37%	19%	1%	21%	23%
08551_COS093	51%	10%	0%	10%	29%
08551_COS094	51%	30%	1%	3%	15%
08551_COS097	45%	23%	1%	16%	14%
08551_COS098	49%	14%	0%	20%	17%
08551_COS100	50%	4%	3%	29%	13%
08551_COS101	55%	12%	3%	6%	23%
08551_COS103	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
08551_COS104	67%	1%	3%	5%	24%
08551_COS106	71%	11%	3%	1%	14%
08551_COS106a	57%	19%	5%	3%	16%
08551_COS107	62%	13%	4%	13%	7%
08551_COS88A	61%	14%	1%	3%	22%
08551_COS88Aa	38%	21%	28%	5%	9%
08652_COS039	67%	25%	0%	2%	6%
08652_COS040	64%	8%	0%	8%	20%
08751_COS046	62%	18%	1%	1%	18%
08751_COS047	36%	9%	7%	7%	41%
08751_COS048	64%	1%	15%	7%	12%
08751_COS049	35%	9%	7%	10%	39%
08751_COS050	41%	1%	3%	33%	22%
08751_COS051	56%	5%	12%	12%	16%
08751_COS052	60%	7%	4%	6%	23%
08751_COS053	52%	6%	2%	9%	30%
08751_COS054	40%	8%	3%	6%	43%
08751_COS055	49%	20%	2%	19%	10%
08751_COS056	51%	17%	2%	11%	18%
08751_COS057	43%	13%	1%	19%	24%
Moyenne globale	49%	14%	4%	10%	23%

Figure 2. Carte des UA, des UTR et des unités homogènes de végétation



Dérogation pour le remplacement des cibles à l'échelle des unités territoriales de référence par des cibles à l'échelle des unités territoriales d'analyse et des compartiments d'organisation spatiale

Les unités territoriales de référence (UTR) ont été intégrées au Règlement sur les normes d'intervention dans les forêts du domaine de l'État (RNI) en 1996 et maintenues au Règlement sur l'aménagement durable des forêts du domaine de l'État (RADF). Elles étaient utilisées comme subdivisions du territoire forestier pour s'assurer du maintien du couvert forestier servant d'abri pour la faune et de la répartition des aires de coupe dans l'espace et le temps à l'échelle des unités d'aménagement.

Or, avec la mise en œuvre de l'aménagement écosystémique, de nouvelles cibles écologiques ont été établies à différentes échelles spatiales, c'est-à-dire à l'échelle des unités territoriales d'analyse (UTA) et des compartiments d'organisation spatiale (COS). La taille des UTA est équivalente ou supérieure à celle des UTR, alors que la taille des COS est inférieure. Les cibles d'aménagement écosystémique à ces différentes échelles spatiales sont équivalentes ou plus ambitieuses que la cible à l'échelle des UTR.

Ainsi, actuellement, en vertu du RADF et des orientations d'aménagement pour l'intégration des enjeux écologiques à la planification forestière, l'atteinte des cibles doit être démontrée à toutes ces échelles d'analyse. Il y a donc une superposition des entités (UTR, UTA, COS) qui amène une complexité à la planification sans offrir une protection supplémentaire.

1 Mesures de substitution proposées

Il est proposé de modifier l'article 16 en remplaçant la référence aux UTR par les UTA et d'abroger les articles 131 et 132.

Articles du RADF modifiés par la dérogation

16. *Un minimum de 30 % de la superficie forestière productive constituée de peuplements de 7 m ou plus de hauteur doit, en tout temps, être conservé dans une pourvoirie avec droits exclusifs, une zone d'exploitation contrôlée ou une réserve faunique.*

De plus, un minimum de 30 % de la superficie forestière productive constituée de peuplements de 7 m ou plus de hauteur doit être conservé dans les territoires ou parties de territoire suivants :

- 1. dans chaque unité territoriale ~~de référence~~ d'analyse ou portion d'unité d'au moins 30 km² comprise dans une pourvoirie avec droits exclusifs, une zone d'exploitation contrôlée ou une réserve faunique et située dans les domaines bioclimatiques de l'érablière ou de la sapinière;*
- 2. dans chaque agglomération de coupes ou portion d'agglomération d'au moins 30 km² comprise dans une pourvoirie avec droits exclusifs, une zone d'exploitation contrôlée ou une réserve faunique et située dans le domaine bioclimatique de la pessière à mousses.*

~~131. Un minimum de 30 % de la superficie forestière productive en forêt résiduelle de 7 m ou plus de hauteur doit être maintenu en tout temps dans une unité territoriale de référence où la récolte d'arbres est réalisée.~~


~~Lorsque les limites d'une unité territoriale de référence sont modifiées, notamment à la suite d'une modification des limites d'une unité d'aménagement, les dispositions du premier alinéa s'appliquent à la nouvelle unité territoriale de référence.~~

~~132. Les dispositions de l'article 131 n'empêchent pas le déboisement effectué dans le but de construire, d'améliorer ou de refaire un chemin donnant accès à une autre unité territoriale de référence.~~

2 Territoire d'application de l'approche de substitution

Le remplacement des cibles à l'échelle des UTR s'applique aux unités d'aménagement ou subdivisions de ce territoire situées dans les domaines bioclimatiques de la sapinière et de la pessière à mousses et où une approche visant le maintien de 30 % de forêt de 7 m ou plus par COS est appliquée. Plus précisément, il s'agit du territoire des UA 085-51, 086-52 et 087-51 (figure 3)

Figure 3. Carte des UA avec le contour des UTR et carte avec le contour des COS



3 Normes réglementaires faisant l'objet de l'approche de substitution

Il s'agit des articles mentionnant les UTR, soit les articles 16, 131 et 132 du RADF (annexe 1).

4 Démonstration de la protection équivalente ou supérieure des ressources et du milieu forestiers

L'aménagement écosystémique vise à assurer le maintien de la biodiversité et la viabilité des écosystèmes en diminuant les écarts entre la forêt aménagée et la forêt naturelle ([L.R.Q. c. A-18.1](#), article 4). Les entités spatiales de l'UTA et du COS s'inspirent de la dynamique de perturbations (nature, taille, fréquence) typiques de chaque domaine bioclimatique pour assurer une gestion complémentaire des ressources forestières à l'échelle de la perturbation et du paysage. L'échelle de l'UTR, qui se situe entre celle des UTA et celle des COS (tableau 3) et qui repose davantage sur un découpage administratif, devient par conséquent désuète.

Les orientations de l'aménagement écosystémique relatives à l'organisation spatiale sont encadrées par les cahiers conçus pour les domaines bioclimatiques de la pessière (cahier 3.1.1) et de la sapinière (cahier 3.2.1). Leur mise en œuvre est présentée dans le document « Analyse des enjeux » du PAFIT et s'accompagne d'une [dérégulation à la coupe en mosaïque et à la coupe totale autre que la coupe en mosaïque pour les domaines de la sapinière](#).

Tableau 3. Échelles spatiales et superficies associées selon les domaines bioclimatiques

Domaine bioclimatique	COS	UTR	UTA
Sapinière à bouleau blanc	En moyenne 20 km ²	300 km ² max.	1 000 km ² max.
Pessière	De 30 à 150 ^a km ²	500 km ² max.	2 500 km ² max.

^a À l'intérieur des zones où des modalités d'aménagement particulières sont appliquées pour la protection du caribou forestier, certains COS peuvent avoir des tailles supérieures à 150 km².

Les sections suivantes fournissent la démonstration que les modalités imposées offrent une protection équivalente ou supérieure aux normes réglementaires faisant l'objet de la substitution.

4.1 Protection équivalente à l'échelle de l'UTR

Dans toutes les UA où les orientations d'organisation spatiale sont appliquées, il faut maintenir en tout temps 30 % de forêt de 7 m ou plus dans chaque COS. Puisqu'une UTR contient plusieurs COS et que chacun d'eux doit posséder au moins 30 % de forêt de 7 m ou plus, la protection proposée est donc au moins équivalente à celle de l'article 131 pour assurer un maintien de forêts à couvert fermé qui seront mieux réparties dans l'espace. Les COS comportant moins de 30 % de leur superficie productive en forêt de 7 m ou plus sont fermés à la récolte.

Tableau 4. Indicateurs et cibles d'aménagement à imposer à l'échelle du COS

Échelle spatiale	Entité spatiale	Indicateur	Cible
Perturbation par la coupe	COS	Proportion de la superficie forestière productive du COS en peuplements de 7 m ou plus de hauteur	≥ 30 %

4.2 Protection supérieure ou équivalente à l'échelle de l'UA

Dans le domaine bioclimatique de la pessière, l'article 144 du RADF fixe l'approche d'aménagement par l'implantation de massifs et d'agglomérations de coupes dans l'unité d'aménagement. Pour ce faire, l'unité d'aménagement est découpée en COS qui servent à définir les agglomérations de coupes et les massifs dans le temps et l'espace et dans lesquels la proportion minimale de forêts de 7 m ou plus à maintenir est évaluée. Le maintien de COS en massif forestier comprenant 70 % de forêts à couvert fermé sur 20 % de l'UA vient offrir une protection supplémentaire.

Extrait du RADF

2. « agglomération de coupes » : un territoire situé dans une unité d'aménagement dans lequel sont concentrées des aires de coupe totale accompagnées ou non de zones de perturbations naturelles récentes. Les agglomérations de coupes doivent être de forme variable et avoir une superficie inférieure ou égale à 150 km². Elles peuvent cependant atteindre une superficie plus grande dans le cas des plans visant la protection du caribou des bois, écotype forestier;

« massif forestier » : une aire forestière d'une superficie d'au moins 30 km² d'un seul tenant dont un minimum de 70 % de la superficie forestière productive est constitué de peuplements forestiers de 7m ou plus de hauteur.

145. Un minimum de 30 % de la superficie forestière productive en peuplements forestiers résiduels de 7 m ou plus de hauteur doit être maintenu en tout temps dans une agglomération de coupes où la récolte d'arbres est réalisée.

146. Les massifs forestiers doivent occuper au moins 20 % de la superficie d'une unité d'aménagement et être bien répartis dans l'unité.

Le portrait de la superficie de l'UA en forêt de 7 m ou plus de hauteur si les cibles minimales pour les COS (agglomération de coupes et massif forestier) sont appliquées démontre que la protection de l'UA est équivalente ou supérieure dans le domaine bioclimatique de la pessière (tableau 5).

Tableau 5. Portrait de l'UA en forêt de 7 m ou plus de hauteur avec l'application des cibles minimales par le découpage en UTR ou en COS

Unité d'aménagement	Entité spatiale	Cible à l'échelle de l'UA	Superficie incluse* (ha)	Superficie incluse de 7 m ou plus minimale (ha)	7 m ou plus minimum sur la superficie incluse (%)
085-51	COS	35 % de la superficie productive**	942 702	320 707	34%
	UTR	30 % de la superficie incluse	941 420	282 426	30%
086-52	COS	35 % de la superficie productive**	354 435	128 554	36%
	UTR	30 % de la superficie incluse	352 590	105 777	30%
087-51	COS	35 % de la superficie productive**	441 420	133 662	30%
	UTR	30 % de la superficie incluse	440 028	132 008	30%

* La superficie est calculée sur une base commune en retranchant les superficies exclues de l'aménagement (ex. : refuge biologique). Les superficies exclues de l'aménagement sont utilisées pour le calcul des cibles d'aménagement écosystémique. Pour le portrait, ces superficies sont fixées comme étant obligatoirement en forêt de 7 m ou plus. Par exemple, un COS couvert à 5 % par un refuge biologique aura une cible minimale de 25 % de forêt de 7 m ou plus au lieu de 30 % et sa superficie sera comptabilisée ainsi dans la superficie de l'UA.

** 80 % de la superficie productive de l'UA avec un minimum de 30 % en 7 m ou plus (agglomération de coupe) et 20 % de la superficie productive de l'UA avec un minimum de 70 % de 7 m ou plus (massifs forestiers).

Mécanismes de suivi prévus pour assurer l'application de l'approche de substitution

Des suivis des cibles d'aménagement visées par l'approche de substitution seront effectués au moment de l'élaboration du plan d'aménagement forestier intégré tactique (PAFIT) et des plans d'aménagement forestier intégrés opérationnels (PAFIO). Pour effectuer ces suivis, les aménagistes devront dresser les listes des exigences minimales prévues à cette fin. Ces listes des exigences minimales permettront d'assurer le respect des cibles d'aménagement tactiques et opérationnelles.

Finalement, pour chacun des COS où la récolte est prévue durant la période de dérogation, des suivis seront également effectués au moment de l'élaboration de la programmation annuelle des activités de récolte (PRAN) et de l'analyse du rapport d'activité technique et financier (RATF) afin de s'assurer de nouveau du respect des cibles d'aménagement tactiques et opérationnelles.

Amendes prévues en cas d'infraction

Quiconque contrevient à l'une des modalités de substitution prévues dans la présente dérogation aux articles du RADF commet une infraction et est passible de l'amende prévue au paragraphe 3 de l'article 246 de la LADTF¹ (chapitre A-18.1) qui est de 2 000 \$ à 10 000 \$ par hectare ou partie d'hectare qui fait l'objet de l'infraction.

¹ Consulter l'article 246 de la LADTF à <https://www.legisquebec.gouv.qc.ca/fr/version/lc/a-18.1?code=se:246&historique=20220426#20220426>.

Annexe 1 Articles du RADF visés par la demande de dérogation

16. Un minimum de 30 % de la superficie forestière productive constituée de peuplements de 7 m ou plus de hauteur doit, en tout temps, être conservé dans une pourvoirie avec droits exclusifs, une zone d'exploitation contrôlée ou une réserve faunique.

De plus, un minimum de 30 % de la superficie forestière productive constituée de peuplements de 7 m ou plus de hauteur doit être conservé dans les territoires ou parties de territoire suivants :

1. dans chaque unité territoriale de référence ou portion d'unité d'au moins 30 km² comprise dans une pourvoirie avec droits exclusifs, une zone d'exploitation contrôlée ou une réserve faunique et située dans les domaines bioclimatiques de l'érablière ou de la sapinière;
2. dans chaque agglomération de coupes ou portion d'agglomération d'au moins 30 km² comprise dans une pourvoirie avec droits exclusifs, une zone d'exploitation contrôlée ou une réserve faunique et située dans le domaine bioclimatique de la pessière à mousses.

131. Un minimum de 30 % de la superficie forestière productive en forêt résiduelle de 7 m ou plus de hauteur doit être maintenu en tout temps dans une unité territoriale de référence où la récolte d'arbres est réalisée.

Lorsque les limites d'une unité territoriale de référence sont modifiées, notamment à la suite d'une modification des limites d'une unité d'aménagement, les dispositions du premier alinéa s'appliquent à la nouvelle unité territoriale de référence.

132. Les dispositions de l'article 131 n'empêchent pas le déboisement effectué dans le but de construire, d'améliorer ou de refaire un chemin donnant accès à une autre unité territoriale de référence.

133. Dans les unités d'aménagement ou dans les unités territoriales de référence situées dans les domaines bioclimatiques de l'érablière visés à l'annexe 1, les aires de coupe totale doivent :

3. avoir une dimension inférieure ou égale à 25 ha sur au moins 70 % de la superficie récoltée selon ce type de coupe;
4. avoir une dimension inférieure ou égale à 50 ha sur au moins 90 % de la superficie récoltée selon ce type de coupe;
5. avoir une dimension inférieure ou égale à 100 ha sur 100 % de la superficie récoltée selon ce type de coupe.

134. Dans les unités d'aménagement ou dans les unités territoriales de référence situées dans les domaines bioclimatiques de la sapinière visés à l'annexe 1, les aires de coupe totale doivent :

1. avoir une dimension inférieure ou égale à 50 ha sur au moins 70 % de la superficie récoltée selon ce type de coupe;
2. avoir une dimension inférieure ou égale à 100 ha sur au moins 90 % de la superficie récoltée selon ce type de coupe;
3. avoir une dimension inférieure ou égale à 150 ha sur 100 % de la superficie récoltée selon ce type de coupe.

135. Les aires de coupe totale auxquelles s'appliquent les articles 133 et 134 sont celles indiquées dans le plan d'aménagement forestier intégré et dont la récolte prévue s'effectue au cours d'une année de récolte.

136. Une lisière boisée d'un seul tenant doit être conservée entre les aires de coupe totale autre que la coupe en mosaïque, jusqu'à ce que la régénération des aires de coupe ait atteint une hauteur moyenne de 3 m. La lisière boisée entre 2 aires de coupe doit être d'une largeur d'au moins 60 m lorsque chaque aire de coupe couvre une superficie inférieure à 100 ha ou d'une largeur minimale de 100 m lorsque l'une de ces deux aires de coupe couvre une superficie de 100 à 150 ha.

Cette lisière boisée doit être constituée d'arbres, d'arbustes ou de broussailles de plus de 3 m de hauteur et doit servir notamment d'écran visuel et de corridor pour le déplacement de la faune.

Il est interdit de circuler avec un engin forestier dans cette lisière boisée, sauf lors de la construction ou de l'amélioration d'un chemin.

137. Toute coupe totale est interdite dans la lisière boisée visée à l'article 136 jusqu'à ce que la régénération soit établie dans les aires de coupe conformément au premier alinéa de cet article.

La coupe partielle est permise sur 25 % de la longueur totale des lisières boisées visées à l'article 136 comprises dans une unité d'aménagement ou dans un autre territoire forestier du domaine de l'État. Cependant, la lisière boisée faisant l'objet d'une coupe partielle entre 2 aires de coupe totale doit être d'une largeur d'au moins 75 m lorsque chaque aire de coupe couvre une superficie inférieure à 100 ha ou d'une largeur minimale de 125 m lorsque l'une de ces 2 aires de coupe couvre une superficie de 100 à 150 ha.

Après la coupe partielle, la lisière boisée, qui doit servir d'écran visuel et de corridor pour le déplacement de la faune, doit être composée, par hectare, d'au moins 1 500 tiges vivantes d'essences commerciales debout d'un diamètre de 2 cm et plus mesuré à une hauteur de 1,3 m à partir du plus haut niveau du sol.

Pour réaliser la coupe partielle visée au deuxième alinéa, le déboisement des sentiers d'abattage ou de débardage doit être effectué sur une largeur inférieure à 1,5 fois celle de l'engin forestier utilisé.

Toutefois, la construction ou l'amélioration d'un chemin qui traverse la lisière boisée est permise dans la mesure où le déboisement effectué à cette fin n'excède pas la largeur de l'emprise prévue à l'annexe 4 pour la classe de chemin à laquelle il appartient.

138. Les aires de coupe d'une coupe en mosaïque doivent être de superficie et de forme variables.

139. La forêt résiduelle d'une coupe en mosaïque doit posséder les caractéristiques suivantes :

1. avoir, à l'intérieur de la limite du chantier de récolte en mosaïque, une superficie au moins équivalente à celle des aires de coupe d'une coupe en mosaïque;
2. avoir une largeur d'au moins 200 m;
3. être constituée de peuplements forestiers de 7 m ou plus de hauteur sur au moins 80 % de sa superficie et de peuplements forestiers d'au moins 4 m sur sa superficie restante;
4. être constituée de peuplements ayant une densité du couvert forestier supérieure à 40 % sur au moins 80 % de sa superficie et de 25 à 40 % sur sa superficie restante. Elle peut aussi être constituée de peuplements ayant une densité du couvert forestier de 25 à 40 % sur plus de 20 % de sa superficie, pourvu que cette proportion soit égale ou inférieure à celle des peuplements présentant une telle densité et qui sont situés dans les forêts de 7 m ou plus de hauteur du chantier de récolte en mosaïque avant intervention;
5. être constituée de peuplements forestiers qui sont en mesure de produire en essences commerciales un volume de bois marchand brut à maturité d'au moins 50 m³/ha ou, lorsqu'ils ne sont pas en mesure de produire un tel volume, être constituée de peuplements forestiers équivalents en composition et en superficie à ceux récoltés;
6. être constituée de peuplements forestiers appartenant dans une proportion d'au moins 20 % au même type de couvert forestier que ceux récoltés;
7. ne pas avoir fait l'objet, au cours des 10 dernières années de récolte, d'une récolte commerciale autre qu'un traitement sylvicole visé au deuxième alinéa de l'article 142.

140. Chaque chantier de récolte en mosaïque doit être indiqué au plan d'aménagement forestier intégré. Il en est de même de la forêt résiduelle d'une coupe en mosaïque. Une fois indiquée au plan, la forêt résiduelle d'une coupe en mosaïque ne peut servir de nouveau de forêt résiduelle tant que la récolte ne peut s'y effectuer conformément aux dispositions du premier alinéa de l'article 142.

141. Une superficie forestière composée d'arbres, d'arbustes ou de broussailles d'une hauteur moyenne de 3 m ou plus doit être conservée en périphérie d'une aire de coupe d'une coupe en mosaïque. Sa largeur doit être d'au moins 200 m ou d'au moins 100 m si l'aire de coupe a moins de 25 ha.

Le premier alinéa ne s'applique pas pour la partie du périmètre d'une aire de coupe adjacente à une lisière boisée conservée en bordure d'un lac ou d'un cours d'eau dont la largeur, mesurée au niveau de la limite supérieure des berges, excède 35 m.

Une superficie forestière composée d'arbres, d'arbustes ou de broussailles d'une hauteur moyenne de 3 m ou plus d'une largeur d'au moins 200 m doit également être conservée entre une forêt résiduelle et les aires de coupe d'une

coupe en mosaïque de même qu'entre une forêt résiduelle et les autres aires de coupe totale, afin de servir de corridor pour le déplacement de la faune.

Les superficies forestières visées au présent article doivent être conservées jusqu'à ce que la régénération dans les aires de coupe en mosaïque atteigne une hauteur moyenne de 3 m ou plus.

142. La forêt résiduelle d'une coupe en mosaïque doit être conservée à l'intérieur de la limite du chantier de récolte jusqu'à ce qu'elle puisse être récoltée. Elle ne peut l'être qu'à l'expiration d'un délai de 10 ans à compter de la date où s'est effectuée la coupe en mosaïque ou, si la régénération n'a pas encore atteint après ce délai une hauteur moyenne de 3 m, tant que cette régénération n'a pas atteint une telle hauteur.

Les dispositions du premier alinéa ne s'appliquent pas aux traitements sylvicoles suivants réalisés dans une forêt résiduelle :

1. une éclaircie commerciale ou une coupe de jardinage effectuée selon les prescriptions sylvicoles applicables;
2. une coupe partielle, dans un peuplement d'arbres ayant atteint son âge de maturité ou qui l'atteindra dans moins de 15 ans, où l'on récolte au plus 35 % de la surface terrière marchande du peuplement à la condition cependant de maintenir, après récolte, une surface terrière marchande d'au moins 15 m²/ha d'arbres bien espacés, et ce, en essences et en proportion semblables à celles du peuplement initial.

Une forêt résiduelle d'une coupe en mosaïque peut être traversée par un chemin dont la largeur de déboisement n'excède pas la largeur de l'emprise prévue à l'annexe 4 pour la classe de chemin à laquelle il appartient ou encore par un cours d'eau dont la largeur aux limites de l'écotone riverain n'excède pas en moyenne 35 m. Toutefois, au moment d'indiquer une forêt résiduelle au plan d'aménagement forestier intégré, ni la superficie ni la largeur du chemin ou du cours d'eau ne peuvent être considérées dans le calcul de la superficie et de la largeur de la forêt résiduelle pour les fins de l'application des paragraphes 1 et 2 de l'article 139.

143. Au cours d'une année de récolte, au moins 60 % de la superficie totale des aires de coupe totale d'une unité d'aménagement ou d'un autre territoire forestier du domaine de l'État doit être planifiée et réalisée selon les dispositions du présent règlement applicables à la coupe en mosaïque.


Bibliographie

QUÉBEC. *Loi sur l'aménagement durable du territoire forestier* (RLRQ, chapitre A-18.1), Éditeur officiel du Québec (à jour au 10 décembre 2020) [En ligne] [<http://legisquebec.gouv.qc.ca/fr/ShowDoc/cs/A-18.1>].

QUÉBEC. *Règlement sur l'aménagement durable des forêts du domaine de l'État* (RLRQ, chapitre A-18.1, r. 0.01), Éditeur officiel du Québec (à jour au 10 décembre 2020) [En ligne] [<http://legisquebec.gouv.qc.ca/fr/ShowDoc/cr/A-18.1,%20r.%200.01/>].

MINISTÈRE DES FORÊTS, DE LA FAUNE ET DES PARCS (2021). *Intégration des enjeux écologiques dans les plans d'aménagement forestier intégré de 2023-2028, Cahier 3.2.1 – Organisation spatiale des forêts dans les domaines bioclimatiques de la sapinière – Orientations pour la planification tactique et opérationnelle*, Québec, gouvernement du Québec, Direction de l'aménagement et de l'environnement forestiers (en préparation).

MINISTÈRE DES FORÊTS, DE LA FAUNE ET DES PARCS (2021). *Intégration des enjeux écologiques dans les plans d'aménagement forestier intégré de 2023-2028, Cahier 3.2.2 – Organisation spatiale des forêts dans les domaines bioclimatiques de la sapinière – Fondements écologiques de l'approche*, Québec, gouvernement du Québec, Direction de l'aménagement et de l'environnement forestiers (en préparation).



**Forêts, Faune
et Parcs**

Québec 

Appendix E – Issues-Solutions Sheet for the Nord-du-Québec Region



Objective number : 1.01.1

Name of objective : Minimizing gap between managed and natural forests - To limit hardwood expansion

Management unit(s) : 085-51

Criteria : Biological diversity

Issue (value) : Changes in forest composition

Issue clarification : The term “forest composition” refers to the variety and proportion of tree species in a forest. Composition plays a major role in ecosystem functions, at both landscape and stand level. The type of vegetation affects the availability of resources, food and habitats for wildlife, as well as internal stand temperatures, the nutrient cycle and natural disturbances. Consequently, silvicultural practices that alter forest composition can affect certain species and certain ecological processes in the forest, and are therefore likely to have repercussions for biodiversity maintenance and ecosystem viability.

Objective : Halt hardwood encroachment to ensure that the composition of managed forests is similar to that of natural forests.
Hardwood encroachment in a softwood or mixedwood stand occurs after a severe disturbance (fire, logging), especially when hardwood species are established before the disturbance. Some ecological types are more susceptible to hardwood encroachment than others. Targeted silvicultural actions can help maintain a species composition similar to that found in the natural forest. Control of hardwood encroachment can help maintain mixedwood stands that might otherwise be converted into hardwood stands.

Connection with other objectives : Hardwood encroachment can be halted while maintaining the habitats associated with young mixedwood and hardwood stands. The objective for the internal structure of young stands (1.04.1) is to divide forestry work over time and space, to ensure that sufficient habitats are maintained at all times.

Chosen strategy and expected effects

1. Scarification with double pass harrowing

Encourage double pass harrowing followed by reforestation. This type of scarification lengthens the time before hardwoods grow back.

2. Reforestation (planting or fill planting)

Reforestation and fill planting help restore production to sites containing few desired species or sites with limited productivity.

Reforestation and fill planting promote full afforestation and help maintain productivity in the management unit's forests.

Combined with tending of young stands, they also help to maintain a composition of desired species (e.g. by countering hardwood encroachment).

3. Clearing and cleaning

Clearing or cleaning of stands of softwood or mixed origin that are susceptible to hardwood encroachment.

Clearing and cleaning should help to preserve the softwood or mixed composition of the stand and hence counter encroachment.

Significant activities for the implementation of the strategy:

Step	Significant activities	Responsible	Timeline
1	Site research for stand tending work directed towards stands of softwood or mixed origin with ME1, MS2, RS2 potential vegetation.	Forest manager	All year long
2	Silvicultural prescriptions likely to limit hardwood encroachment in stands of softwood or mixed origin with ME1, MS2 and RS2 potential vegetation.	Forest manager	All year long

Indicator number:	1.01.1.B	Indicator type:	Performance indicator
Indicator:	Ratio of the area covered by silvicultural treatments limiting hardwood expansion on harvested area susceptible to hardwood expansion.		
Scale:	Management unit		

Indicator clarifications:	Silvicultural actions limiting hardwood expansion are: - Clearing, pre-commercial thinning and cleaning - Irregular shelterwood cutting - Preventive scarification The sites to be considered in the indicator are softwood or mixed stands (at the time of logging) that may be invaded by hardwood species as a result of breaching the canopy. The sites that are most susceptible to hardwood encroachment are potential vegetations ME1, MS2 and, to a lesser extent, RS2. Site visits will confirm whether or not the risk of hardwood encroachment is real.		
Useful definitions:	Pre-commercial thinning: cutting of trees that hinder the growth of crop trees in a young stand, by standardizing spacing. Mechanical clearing: control of competing species to facilitate growth of natural or artificial regeneration of desired species, using mechanical means. Cleaning: control of competing species to facilitate growth of natural or artificial regeneration of desired species, using mechanical means. Preventive scarification: double pass harrowing helps prevent hardwood growth.		
Frequency:	Five-yearly		
Breakdown by:	Year		

Target	60%
Deviation:	None.

Target and results for each MU

MU 085-51	Target 60%	Deviation	0 %
Reference period	Value	Evaluation	
Review 2013-2018	99,3 %	Green	
	83,0 %	Green	
	118,0 %	Green	
	70,0 %	Green	

Information on target:	It is important to identify the sites at risk of hardwood encroachment in order to apply the treatments in the right places. When a risk is confirmed, the action target to limit hardwood encroachment is 100%. Maintenance of mixedwood stand characteristics also helps to halt hardwood encroachment because it preserves the softwood component of the stand.
Timeframe:	None.

Documentation:	Le guide sylvicole du Québec (only available in French) that address hardwood encroachment and the applicable silvicultural strategies: Ministère des Ressources naturelles (2013). Le guide sylvicole du Québec, Volume 1, Les fondements biologiques de la sylviculture, collective work under the supervision of B. Boulet et M. Huot, Les Publications du Québec, 1044 p. Ministère des Ressources naturelles (2013). Le guide sylvicole du Québec, Volume 2, Les concepts et l'application de la sylviculture, collective work under the supervision of B. Boulet et M. Huot, Les Publications du Québec, 752 p.
----------------	--

Indicator monitoring program:

Every year, the areas covered by silvicultural treatments designed to limit hardwood encroachments in stands that were originally softwood stands or composed of a combination of ME1, MS2 and RS2 potential vegetation will be counted. Similarly, logged areas in softwood stands or in stands with a combination of ME1, MS2 and RS2 potential vegetation stands will also be counted.

The indicator can then be calculated and forest managers will see whether or not the target has

been achieved. If not, adaptations can be made to ensure that the target is achieved by the end of the five-year period.

Issues and Solutions Sheet prepared by : Marie-Eve Larouche

Approved by : Mario Poirier

Date : 2022-05-10



Objective number : 1.02.1

Name of objective : Maintaining sufficient old-growth stands with irregular structure

Management unit(s) : 085-51

Criteria : Biological diversity

Issue (value) : Irregular old-growth forest

Issue clarification : Natural stand dynamics in the boreal forest mean that internal stand structure changes over time. The longer the interval between severe disturbances, the greater the chance that the stand will develop a complex structure. Following clear-cutting, a single tree cohort is reconstituted and will form a regular-structured stand. In addition, given that forest rotations are shorter than natural disturbance cycles, stands do not have time to re-develop complex structures. The logging rate is therefore likely to result in a scarcity of stands with complex structures at landscape level. Old-growth stands with irregular structures account for a significant percentage (25% or more) of the natural forest in the Northern Québec region, and some of these stands have been affected by logging.

Objective : Ensure that the percentage of old-growth stands with complex internal structures in managed forests is similar to that in the natural forest. One of the main solutions to this issue is to carry out a larger percentage of partial cuts. An action target will therefore be established because the region does not have much expertise in partial cutting. This will be done based on the management unit's forestry potential for partial cutting, the regional teams' operation potential for this type of treatment and the minimum amount required to justify the acquisition of this type of expertise by the region.

Connection with other objectives : Stands treated with irregular shelterwood cuts rather than clear-cuts help achieve the age structure target.

Chosen strategy and expected effects

1. Irregular shelterwood cut

Irregular shelterwood cutting will be planned over an area equal to at least 5% of the planned regeneration cutting area throughout the integration zone. The ultimate target per management unit is established in hectares.

Irregular shelterwood cuts that remove 40% or less are those that are best suited to achieving the objective of maintaining or developing old-growth forest and habitat attributes. Partial cuts with harvest rates that are not too high will preserve the principal characteristics of the habitats, which will therefore continue to be used by the American marten, for example. Irregular shelterwood cuts with permanent tree cover are an example of this type of cut.

Regardless of whether the aim is to maintain the cover type or transition to a softwood-dominated stand, irregular shelterwood cutting is a relevant approach, especially in potential vegetation where tending of regenerating stands would require costly treatments. To maintain white spruce, stands with white spruce components could be given priority in scenarios involving partial cuts such as irregular shelterwood cuts.

The use of irregular shelterwood cutting should help to:

- Reduce hardwood encroachment
- Maintain old-growth stands with irregular structures
- Foster the development of the white spruce component in stands where white spruce is present
- Better maintain old-growth forest structures and reduce the quantity of regenerating stands
- Promote the maintenance of habitats associated with mature forests

2 . Elongation of rotation in targeted stands

In operational planning, the choice of stands of conservation interest when selecting residual forest blocks can, for example, help elongate the rotation period. These stands of interest may be old-growth forests, mature mixed stands, irregular stands or other habitats of interest. Elongation of rotations in certain stands should help to:

- Maintain and create irregular structured old-growth stands while applying the principle of precaution
- Help maintain the structures of old-growth forests and reduce the over-abundance of regenerating stands, while reducing the impact on allocations
- Allow white spruce trees to acquire the maturity required for regeneration to become established before final cutting

3 . Targeted degree of alteration by UTA

Every management unit is divided into territorial analysis units (UTAs). For each UTA, targets have been established for old-growth forests and the maximum quantity of regenerating forests. This is the targeted degree of alteration (low, moderate or high). These restrictions on forest age force rotations to become longer in UTAs with too many regenerating forests or not enough old-growth forests, compared to their targets.

This requirement helps to ensure that old-growth forests are distributed throughout the management unit. The application of a requirement at TAU level helps to foster the presence of old-growth forests throughout the management unit.

The management strategy, by preserving a percentage of old-growth forests and limiting the percentage of regenerating forests, reduces the risk of biodiversity loss at UTA level.

4 . Protected area

Protected areas, biological refuges and exceptional forest ecosystems within an area help to protect old-growth forests and also limit logging.

Maintenance of areas unaffected by logging should help to:

- Improve the maintenance of old-growth forest structures
- Reduce the over-abundance of regenerating stands
- Protect high conservation value elements such as essential habitats

Significant activities for the implementation of the strategy:

Step	Significant activities	Responsible	Timeline
1	Site research for stands suitable for irregular shelterwood cutting.	Forest manager	All year long
2	Apply the strategy (plan for 5 % of irregular shelterwood cutting per MU or group of MU.	Forest manager	All year long

Indicator number:
1.02.1.B
Indicator type:
Performance indicator

Indicator:
Ratio of the area covered by irregular shelterwood compared to the whole harvested area in the management unit (MU) or a group of MU.

Scale:
Management unit

Indicator clarifications:

The indicator is the ratio of area harvested by means of irregular shelterwood cutting to the area harvested by means of regeneration cutting and irregular shelterwood cutting by management unit (MU) or group of MU. Of all the shelterwood cuts, the irregular shelterwood cut with permanent cover is the form that best allows for sufficient cover and characteristics to be left behind to maintain habitats and mature and old-growth forest characteristics.

Useful definitions:

Irregular shelterwood cutting with permanent cover is a regeneration process under which the stand is harvested, regenerated, tended and improved through a series of partial cuts spread over more than one-fifth of the rotation. It is done with the aim of maintaining, converting or restoring an irregular structure (usually two to four age classes) without a final cut.
Irregular shelterwood cutting with slow regeneration is a regeneration process under which the stand is harvested, regenerated, tended and improved through a series of partial cuts spread over more than one-fifth of the rotation. It is done with the aim of restoring an irregular structure (two-storey) or converting a regular structure into an irregular structure.

Frequency:

Five-yearly

Breakdown by:

Year
Integration zone (groups of MU)

Target
5%

Deviation:

Target and results for each MU

MU 085-51	Target 5%	Deviation	0 %
Reference period	Value	Evaluation	
Review 2013-2018	5,0 %	Green	
	3,5 %	Yellow	
	6,9 %	Green	
	5,6 %	Green	

Information on target:

Timeframe:
None.

Documentation:

Decision support tool for silvicultural treatments in Québec:
https://mffp.gouv.qc.ca/publications/forets/entreprises/fiches-aide-decision-traitement_sylvicole.pdf

Indicator monitoring program:

After each year, all irregular shelterwood areas in the MU will be counted. The indicator can then be calculated and forest managers will see whether or not the target has been achieved for each year. If not, adaptations can be made to ensure that the target is achieved by the end of the five-year period.

Issues and Solutions Sheet prepared by :

Zlatko Blazeski

Approved by :

Mario Poirier

Date :

2022-05-10



Objective number : 1.03.1

Name of objective : Minimizing gap between managed and natural forests - deadwood rarefaction

Management unit(s) : 085-51

Criteria : Biological diversity

Issue (value) : Biological legacies in clearcuts

Issue clarification : Deadwood accumulates in variable quantities in the natural forest, depending on stand age. In the Northern Québec region, significant quantities of deadwood accumulate after major disturbances such as fires. Mortality can occur up to ten years after a fire, thereby ensuring recruitment and a significant volume of deadwood shortly after the fire. As the stand ages, the deadwood decomposes and quantities decrease. The amount of debris and snags will start to increase during the canopy transition phase, i.e. with the onset of mortality of the first cohort established after the fire. If undisturbed, mature stands will become old-growth stands, with deadwood recruitment occurring as a result of the gaps in the canopy resulting from tree senescence.

Fallen deadwood and snags are key attributes for biodiversity maintenance. Many species depend on these attributes at one time or another in their life cycle. Researchers in Québec have identified 60 species of vertebrates (amphibians, reptiles, mammals and birds) that use deadwood. In addition to being used by wildlife, dead trees are also important for forest productivity: a large percentage of the organic matter restored to circulation in the ecosystem comes from these structures. They also serve as germination environments for numerous plant species, which take root in the wood and bark in order to extract water and nutrients.

In the managed forest, final cutting leaves very few merchantable trees in the logging area. This is a major difference from the natural forest. Scarcity of biological legacies has been identified as one of the main issues to be taken into account in the application of ecosystem-based management.

Objective : Ensure that biological legacies are sufficiently present in a significant part of the cutblocks. The aim is to reduce the difference between the quantity of biological legacies (large dead or living trees) in the managed and natural forests, and to maintain an even distribution of good quality biological legacies in sufficient quantities.

The quality of a biological legacy is assessed from the size of the remaining trees (the larger they are, the better it is), their stage of deterioration (living, recent dead, older dead) and species (trembling aspen is especially favourable, for example).

Connection with other objectives : Variable retention cuts help maintain white spruce (objective 1.01.3) when seed trees are present in the stand. Retention of biological legacies is also very important in maintaining species that are sensitive to forest management.

Chosen strategy and expected effects

1. 40 % of variable retention cutting

In order to preserve legacies and foster the maintenance of biological diversity, variable retention cutting that retains at least 5% of the stand's merchantable volume should be applied to at least 40% of the area harvested. Variable retention cuts should be spread throughout the management unit, and not grouped into a single sector. This means aiming for at least 20% of variable retention cuts in each planned spatial organization compartment (COS).

There should be a certain amount of diversity in the forms of retention practised in each COS. Within the 40% target, a balance should be achieved between the various forms of retention (small clusters, large blocks (1 to 5 ha), individual trees). Each form has

advantages and disadvantages for conservation of biodiversity and landscape aesthetics. Stand type and internal structure, type of environment and harmonization requirements will all influence the choice of treatment.

Variable retention cutting will help to:

- Maintain a certain volume of standing timber that will age
- Foster the creation of germination beds associated with deadwood
- Maintain biodiversity at disturbance (harvest) level. For example, large trees left standing in logging areas will provide perches for birds that hunt in open areas

2. CPRS with retention by clumps

CPRS with retention by clumps is a form of variable retention cutting in which residual merchantable trees are organized into small clumps evenly spread over the cutting area. Where necessary, it is followed by scarification, reforestation and/or clearing, but not in the clumps.

To encourage the maintenance of white spruce, CPRS with retention by clumps may be a good choice of variable retention cut in MS2 and RS2 rich potential vegetation or in sectors where most of the stands have a white spruce component. CPRS with retention by clumps is a good choice because it helps to maintain seed trees and high calibre stems that will provide germination sites in the medium term.

CPRS with retention by clumps would help to:

- Preserve merchantable trees and dead trees throughout the cutting area
- Provide an environment conducive to natural regeneration of white spruce when seed trees are present in the clump
- Maintain large trees in young, forming stands, thereby creating habitats for cavicolous species among others

3. CPRS with retention of large individual stems

CPRS with retention of large individual stems involves preserving individual trees with a breast height diameter of more than 20 cm throughout the cutting area. Cavicolous species prefer trembling aspen, and this species should also be favoured when selecting the trees to be retained in the field. Planned retention for stands is 30 stems per hectare. This type of cutting can be followed by clearing if necessary.

Maintaining large trees in the cutting area helps to:

- Preserve habitats for cavicolous species
- Ensure recruitment of large deadwood for the future stand

4. CPRS with patch retention

CPRS with patch retention involves protecting large clusters of trees covering areas of 1 to 5 hectares able to contain interior forest. These clusters or patches cover 5% of the harvestable area and are compact (fairly wide) in terms of shape. A patch can be left around an inaccessible area or small wetland. However, only the productive forest area in the patch counts towards the 5% retention target.

This type of retention is particularly favourable to the maintenance of moss and hepatica plants. These small species need humidity and shade, and can subsist within the cutting area thanks to the presence of the patches.

CPRS with patch retention helps to:

- Maintain forest clusters containing interior forest, thereby contributing to the survival, in cutting areas, of species associated with interior forests
- Preserve merchantable trees and dead trees at cutting sites

Significant activities for the implementation of the strategy:

Step	Significant activities	Responsible	Timeline
1	In every annual program, plan for variable retention cutting in 40% of the logged area of a spatial organization compartment or trapline.	Forest manager	When producing the annual plan (PRAN)
2	Verification of compliance with operational directives.	Monitoring and regulation responsible	When producing the annual plan (PRAN)

Indicator number:	1.03.1.A	Indicator type:	Performance indicator
Indicator:	Ratio of the area covered by variable retention compared to regeneration cutting area.		
Scale:	Landscape		
Indicator clarifications:	This indicator measures the area of the management unit covered by variable retention cutting. The following indicator measures the fair distribution of these variable retention areas within the management unit (among the COS or traplines).		
Useful definitions:	Variable retention cutting: cutting that provides for methods of retaining at least 5% of the stand's merchantable volume in the form of individual trees, clumps or patches. Cutting with protection of regeneration and soils with retention by clumps, cutting with protection of regeneration and soils with retention by patches and cutting with protection of regeneration and soils with retention by stems are all counted in the area covered by variable retention cutting.		
Frequency:	Five-yearly		
Breakdown by:	Year Management unit		
Target	40%		
Deviation:	None.		
Target and results for each MU			
MU 085-51	Target 40%	Deviation	0 %
Reference period		Value	Evaluation
Review 2013-2018		25,9 %	Yellow
		38,0 %	Yellow
		33,4 %	Yellow
		40,1 %	Green
Information on target:	The 40% target applies to the management unit as a whole. It is preferable to distribute variable retention cuts among all the spatial organization compartments. Indicator 1.03.1.B is used to measure the distribution of variable retention cuts in each spatial organization compartment.		
Timeframe:	None.		
Documentation:	Internal stand structure notebook: https://mffp.gouv.qc.ca/wp-content/uploads/cahier_5_1_structure_interne.pdf Regional information sheet on maintenance of biological legacies: available on request.		
Indicator monitoring program:			
After each year, all areas to which variable retention cuts have been applied will be counted. The indicator can then be calculated and forest managers will see whether or not the target has been achieved for each year. If not, adaptations can be made to ensure that the target is achieved by the end of the five-year period.			

Indicator number:	1.03.1.B	Indicator type:	Performance indicator
Indicator:	Proportion of COS or traplines whose ratio of the area covered by variable retention compared to regeneration cutting area is equal or over 20%.		
Scale:	Landscape		
Indicator clarifications:	The overall retention cutting target per management unit is 40%. This indicator is complementary to 1.03.1.A and is used to ensure that variable retention cuts are evenly distributed throughout the management unit.		
Useful definitions:			
Frequency:	Five-yearly		
Breakdown by:	Year Spatial organization compartment or trapline		
Target	100% of the compartments		
Deviation:	None.		
Target and results for each MU			
MU 085-51	Target	100% of the compartments	Deviation 0 %
Reference period		Value	Evaluation
Review 2013-2018		95,0 %	Yellow
		71,0 %	Yellow
		62,0 %	Yellow
Information on target:	It is preferable for the target of 40% variable retention cuts to be achieved in each spatial organization compartment, as far as possible. This indicator is used to measure the percentage of spatial organization compartments that are close to achieving this target.		
Timeframe:	None.		
Documentation:	Internal stand structure notebook: https://mffp.gouv.qc.ca/wp-content/uploads/cahier_5_1_structure_interne.pdf Regional information sheet on maintenance of biological legacies: available on request.		
Indicator monitoring program:			
After each year, all areas to which variable retention cuts have been applied will be counted for each spatial organization compartment. The indicator can then be calculated and forest managers will see whether or not the target has been achieved for each year. If not, adaptations can be made to ensure that the target is achieved by the end of the five-year period.			
Issues and Solutions Sheet prepared by : Zlatko Blazeski			
Approved by : Mario Poirier		Date : 2022-05-10	



Objective number : 1.04.1

Name of objective : Limiting internal structure simplification in young second-growth stands

Management unit(s) : 085-51

Criteria :	Biological diversity
Issue (value) :	Young forest structure and composition
Issue clarification :	In the Northern Québec region, young stands between 10 and 25 years of age provide habitats with a sapling-rich habitat that is beneficial to small wildlife species such as the ruffed grouse and snowshoe hare. The lateral cover is ideal to provide food and protection from predators. Other species such as the moose and black bear also appreciate these young stands, as sources of food. Stand tending treatments such as pre-commercial thinning, stand cleaning and stand release, are appropriate to maintain the desired composition and control competing vegetation. Silvicultural actions such as these alter the structure and composition of the young stands that are treated. Alterations may include homogenization of tree density and spatial distribution of trees, simplification of the vertical structure, reduction of lateral cover, depletion of fruit trees and depletion of dense sapling stands. Stand tending treatments in this type of habitat change its characteristics and spoil it for up to five years. After that time, shelter cover and food are present in sufficient quantities to meet the needs of most of the species that use these environments. This issue requires particular attention in order to provide an adequate supply of young, dense, diversified stands.
Objective :	Limit simplification of internal stand structure in young second-growth stands. The aim is to provide an adequate supply of young, dense, diversified stands. Forest managers therefore wish to avoid simplifying or standardizing the layers resulting from clearcutting over a given period, and to use mitigation measures in the areas most at risk.
Connection with other objectives :	This objective helps to preserve young habitats for the snowshoe hare, moose and American marten (objective 1.07.1).It could be in opposition to the issue on the hardwood encroachment (1.01.1). To ensure the achievement of both objectives, mitigation measures must be promoted in educational work.

Chosen strategy and expected effects

1. Limit the percentage of stand tending treatments

Aim to have at least 50% of young stands between 10 and 25 years of age that have not undergone stand tending treatments for at least five years, in 6,000 hexagon units. Stand tending treatments such as pre-commercial thinning, clearing and cleaning reduce species density and diversity in young stands and hence diminish wildlife habitat quality for a period of up to five years.

By spreading stand tending treatments over time in young, dense habitats suitable for small wildlife, it is possible to ensure that sufficient quantities of these habitats are available at all times.

2 . Adapted stand tending treatments

Adapted stand training treatments will be applied to some young stands, whether they are natural or produced by planting. These treatments will encourage the survival of fruit bushes and/or a range of commercial species.

In the Nord-du-Québec region, fruit bushes must be protected during all stand tending treatments. The requirement applies to the following plants: sorb, elderberry, juneberry, hazel, arrowwood and cherry. These plants must, as far as possible, be preserved throughout the treated area. In addition, a fruit bush that grows within the radius required by a measured tree will not penalize the tree.

The use of adapted treatments will help to maintain quality habitats for species associated with young, dense stands. Preservation of fruit bushes will also help to maintain interesting habitats for wildlife species associated with young stands.

3 . Harmonize the distribution of stand tending treatments according to need

Distribute stand tending treatments so as to foster continued use (especially small game hunting and trapping), depending on the stakeholders' needs.

By spreading this type of work out, an ongoing supply of quality habitats can be maintained for the harvested species.

Significant activities for the implementation of the strategy:

Step	Significant activities	Responsible	Timeline
1	When planning stand tending work in a given sector (hexagon), aim to achieve at least 50% of untreated young stands (by including the last five years).	Forest manager	All year long

Indicator number:	1.04.1.A	Indicator type:	Performance indicator
Indicator:	Proportion of hexagons whose ratio of the area covered by tending treatmentsfor the last 5 years (precommercial thinning and clearing) compared to the area of young stands (10 to 25 years of age) is less than or equal to 50%.		
Scale:	Landscape		
Indicator clarifications:	Since tending treatments have a negative impact on wildlife habitats in the sapling layer for five years at most, only treatments in the five years preceding the reference year are included. The base units (6,000 ha hexagons) are used to assess this aspect in areas that are smaller than the management unit, the trapline or the COS. This allows for identification of gaps located in specific places.		
Useful definitions:	Second-growth forest: Forest or stand established (naturally or artificially) after a regeneration cut or severe natural disturbance. Sapling stage: young stand composed mainly of saplings. Saplings are young trees at least one metre tall with a DBH of between 1 cm and 9 cm. For analysis, stands aged between 10 and 25 years are considered to be sapling stands. Pre-commercial thinning: Cutting of trees that hinder the growth of crop trees in a young stand, by standardizing spacing between trees. Mechanical clearing: control of competing species to facilitate the growth of natural or artificial regeneration of desired species, using mechanical means. Cleaning: control of competing species to facilitate growth of natural or artificial regeneration of desired species, using mechanical means.		
Frequency:	Yearly		
Breakdown by:	6,000 ha hexagons		
Target	100%		
Deviation:	If units exceed 50%, mitigation measures should be considered.		
Target and results for each MU			
MU 085-51	Target 100%	Deviation	0 %
Reference period		Value	Evaluation
Year of reference: 2013		98,2 %	Yellow
Year of reference: 2017		98,8 %	Yellow
		100,0 %	Yellow
		98,0 %	Yellow
Information on target:	Throughout the territory, forest managers must plan to avoid treatment rates of more than 50% among sapling stage habitats in each hexagon. The treatment rate indicator should be measured every five years, or more frequently if necessary. Mitigation measures must be applied in hexagons where the rate is close to or above 50%. These measures are described in the actions required to achieve the target.		
Timeframe:	None.		
Documentation:	Internal stand structure notebook: https://mffp.gouv.qc.ca/wp-content/uploads/cahier_5_1_structure_interne.pdf Chief Forester's report on stand tending of sapling layers: http://forestierenchef.gouv.qc.ca/wp-content/uploads/2013/01/08385_MDPF_Education.pdf Decision support sheets for silvicultural treatments in Québec: https://mffp.gouv.qc.ca/publications/forets/entreprises/fiches-aide-decisiontraitement_sylvicole.pdf		

Indicator monitoring program:

Monitor the indicator during planning of silvicultural work. To do this, calculate the overall rate for stands 10 to 25 years of age that have undergone tending treatments in the five years preceding

the reference year and compare the result to that for stands 10 to 25 years of age in a given reference year.

Issues and Solutions Sheet prepared by : Marie-Eve Larouche

Approved by : Mario Poirier Date : 2022-05-10



Objective number :

1.05.1

Name of objective :

Maintaining attributes specific to forests naturally disturbed at the landscape scale and in areas affected by special management plans

Management unit(s) :

085-51

Criteria :

Biological diversity

Issue (value) :

Naturally-disturbed forest attributes

Issue clarification :

Forests that have been disturbed naturally by fire, insects or wind are very important to wildlife. Among other things, they are a major source of deadwood and provide a diverse structure and favourable range of vegetation by encouraging regeneration. Most of the naturally-disturbed forests in the region are the result of fires.

Some burned forests have attributes that increase their value. They include mature forests (larger diameter trees), forests that were less severely burned and burned forests situated near forests that were not burned.

Windfall environments are conducive to regeneration and provide an internal structure of interest to wildlife. They are also conducive to the creation of shelters and dens for species including the American marten, black bear and winter wren.

Objective :

To ensure the maintenance of attributes specific to naturally-disturbed forests at broader landscape level and in areas covered by special management plans.

Connection with other objectives :

Maintenance of species sensitive to forest management (1.07.1) is connected to this objective. The black-backed woodpecker and three-toed woodpecker, two species that are sensitive to management, need naturally-disturbed forests in order to maintain their presence in the area.

Chosen strategy and expected effects

1. Application of ecosystem-based salvage rules for natural disturbances

A provincial ecosystem-based strategy for salvaging wood damaged by natural disturbances has been prepared. The main lines of the strategy are;

- Maintenance of an average of 30% of the disturbed area
- Conservation of representative disturbed stands
- Conservation of forests not affected by the disturbance
- Evenly-distributed residual forest blocks of different shapes
- Protection of soils and water

This will ensure that sufficient quantities of disturbed and undisturbed residual forests are preserved in order to maintain the species associated with the habitats they provide.

Significant activities for the implementation of the strategy:

Step	Significant activities	Responsible	Timeline
1	When planning to salvage wood following a natural disturbance, include ways of maintaining disturbed and undisturbed residual forest.	Operational forest manager	After a natural disturbance

Issues and Solutions Sheet prepared by : Zlatko Blazeski

Approved by : Mario Poirier

Date : 2022-05-10



Objective number : 1.06.1

Name of objective : Implementing a spatial repartition pattern inspired by natural forest

Management unit(s) : 085-51

Criteria : Biological diversity

Issue (value) : Spatial organization of forests

Issue clarification : The term “spatial organization” refers to the arrangement of stands at different perception levels. The way stands are organized within the landscape affects both biodiversity maintenance and ecological process functions. As part of ecosystem-based management, the aim is to maintain a spatial organization close to that found in unmanaged forests.

Objective : To plan cutblock distribution by emulating natural disturbance pattern. The main aim is to maintain forest blocks and preserve an adequate percentage of residual forests in aggregated cutblocks.

Connection with other objectives : Spatial organization of a forest is important in ensuring the maintenance of species that are sensitive to forest management (objective 1.07.1).

Chosen strategy and expected effects

1. Aggregated cutblocks with residual forest

The spatial organization compartment (COS) is a basic element of forest planning and is used to address the question of spatial distribution. Each management unit is subdivided into spatial organization components, themselves divided fairly evenly into three different size categories (30 to 70 square kilometres, 70 to 110 square kilometres and 110 to 150 square kilometres).

The third level of logging planning involves maintaining at least 30% of the productive area as residual forest in each aggregated cutblock. The residual forest is vital in maintaining biodiversity at disturbance level. Quality, configuration and representativeness criteria are applied to ensure that the residual forest is able to play its role properly.

The use of spatial organization compartments allows disturbances to be distributed more naturally, and the fact of maintaining a good quality, evenly distributed residual forest helps to maintain biodiversity in aggregated cutblocks.

2. Maintenance of large forest tracts

The second level of spatial organization involves maintaining large forest tracts and distributing them throughout the management unit. Forest tracts are forests that cover at least 30 square kilometres, at least 70% of which are composed of trees measuring 7 metres or more in height.

If these core forest areas are spread evenly through the management unit, they will help to maintain biodiversity in the area in general. They also serve as source habitats that species such as the American marten will use as nurseries.

3. 40 % of variable retention cutting

In order to preserve legacies and foster the maintenance of biological diversity, variable retention cutting that retains at least 5% of the stand's merchantable volume should be applied to at least 40% of the area harvested. Variable retention cuts should be spread throughout the management unit, and not grouped into a single sector. This means aiming for at least 20% of variable retention cuts in each planned spatial organization compartment (COS).

There should be a certain amount of diversity in the forms of retention practised in each COS. Within the 40% target, a balance should be achieved between the various forms of retention (small clusters, large blocks (1 to 5 ha), individual trees). Each form has advantages and disadvantages for conservation of biodiversity and landscape aesthetics. Stand type and internal structure, type of environment and harmonization requirements will all influence the choice of treatment.

Variable retention cutting will help to:

- Maintain a certain volume of standing timber that will age
- Foster the creation of germination beds associated with deadwood
- Maintain biodiversity at disturbance (harvest) level. For example, large trees left standing in logging areas will provide perches for birds that hunt in open areas

Significant activities for the implementation of the strategy:

Step	Significant activities	Responsible	Timeline
1	Complete the ecosystem-based management and PAFIO control list.	Forest manager	Each PAFIO modification

Issues and Solutions Sheet prepared by : Zlatko Blazeski

Approved by : Mario Poirier

Date : 2022-05-10



Objective number : 1.07.1

Name of objective : Considering sensitive species habitat needs in forest management

Management unit(s) : 085-51

Criteria :	Biological diversity
Issue (value) :	Sensitive species
Issue clarification :	The forest serves as the habitat for many wildlife and plant species. Consequently, forest management activities, by altering forest attributes, can have a significant impact on these species' abundance, distribution and survival. The efforts made by ecosystem-based management of forests is the first step in ensuring maintenance of habitats and biodiversity. However, some species have particular needs that cannot necessarily be met by ecosystem-based management. This is why it is so important to incorporate the needs of species at risk and sensitive species in forest management. The aim of this issue is to ensure that the habitat needs of species at risk and species sensitive to forest management are considered during forest planning.
Objective :	To consider the habitat needs of sensitive species in the forest management process, in order to ensure that their needs are considered and that management targets and solutions are adapted accordingly.
Connection with other objectives :	This objective is connected to all the other objectives. For example, species sensitive to forest management are encouraged by setting targets for variable retention cutting (1.03.1) and partial cutting (1.02.1). They are also encouraged by age structure targets, which control the maintenance of old-growth forests and limit the number of very small stands. Distribution, in time and space, of stand tending treatments in young stands (1.04.1) helps to maintain the habitat of the snowshoe hare, a key species. Maintenance of naturally-disturbed forests (1.05.1) is advantageous to woodpeckers and black bear. Maintenance of wetlands and riparian environments (1.08.2) is also advantageous to sensitive species.

Chosen strategy and expected effects

1 . Maintenance of large forest tracts

The second level of spatial organization involves maintaining large forest tracts and distributing them throughout the management unit. Forest tracts are forests that cover at least 30 square kilometres, at least 70% of which are composed of trees measuring 7 metres or more in height.

If these core forest areas are spread evenly through the management unit, they will help to maintain biodiversity in the area in general. They also serve as source habitats that species such as the American marten will use as nurseries.

2 . Targeted degree of alteration by UTA

Every management unit is divided into territorial analysis units (UTAs). For each UTA, targets have been established for old-growth forests and the maximum quantity of regenerating forests. This is the targeted degree of alteration (low, moderate or high). These restrictions on forest age force rotations to become longer in UTAs with too many regenerating forests or not enough old-growth forests, compared to their targets.

This requirement helps to ensure that old-growth forests are distributed throughout the management unit. The application of a requirement at TAU level helps to foster the presence of old-growth forests throughout the management unit.

The management strategy, by preserving a percentage of old-growth forests and limiting the percentage of regenerating forests, reduces the risk of biodiversity loss at UTA level.

3 . Limit the percentage of stand tending treatments

Aim to have at least 50% of young stands between 10 and 25 years of age that have not undergone stand tending treatments for at least five years, in 6,000 hexagon units. Stand tending treatments such as pre-commercial thinning, clearing and cleaning reduce species density and diversity in young stands and hence diminish wildlife habitat quality for a period of up to five years.

By spreading stand tending treatments over time in young, dense habitats suitable for small wildlife, it is possible to ensure that sufficient quantities of these habitats are available at all times.

4 . Protected area

Protected areas, biological refuges and exceptional forest ecosystems within an area help to protect old-growth forests and also limit logging.

Maintenance of areas unaffected by logging should help to:

- Improve the maintenance of old-growth forest structures
- Reduce the over-abundance of regenerating stands
- Protect high conservation value elements such as essential habitats

5 . 40 % of variable retention cutting

In order to preserve legacies and foster the maintenance of biological diversity, variable retention cutting that retains at least 5% of the stand’s merchantable volume should be applied to at least 40% of the area harvested. Variable retention cuts should be spread throughout the management unit, and not grouped into a single sector. This means aiming for at least 20% of variable retention cuts in each planned spatial organization compartment (COS).

There should be a certain amount of diversity in the forms of retention practised in each COS. Within the 40% target, a balance should be achieved between the various forms of retention (small clusters, large blocks (1 to 5 ha), individual trees). Each form has advantages and disadvantages for conservation of biodiversity and landscape aesthetics. Stand type and internal structure, type of environment and harmonization requirements will all influence the choice of treatment.

Variable retention cutting will help to:

- Maintain a certain volume of standing timber that will age
- Foster the creation of germination beds associated with deadwood
- Maintain biodiversity at disturbance (harvest) level. For example, large trees left standing in logging areas will provide perches for birds that hunt in open areas

6 . Analysis of management plans by the Direction de la gestion de la Faune

All operational forest management plans are analyzed by biologists and wildlife technicians at the Direction de la gestion de la Faune. This ensures that potential impacts on wildlife habitats are detected, and that the plans are adapted where necessary. It provides an additional safety net to help preserve biodiversity in areas under management.

Significant activities for the implementation of the strategy:

Step	Significant activities	Responsible	Timeline
1	Identification of regional wildlife needs.	Sophie Dallaire	Done
2	Identification of critical habitat thresholds and ways of analyzing habitat quality.	Sophie Dallaire	Done
3	Analyze the quality of the area’s habitats and the management strategy’s effectiveness in maintaining good quality habitats.	Sonia Légaré	Year 2023
4	Identification of solutions to the main issues associated with wildlife species.	Sonia Légaré	Year 2024

Issues and Solutions Sheet prepared by : Sonia Légaré

Approved by : Mario Poirier

Date : 2022-05-10



Objective number : 1.07.2

Name of objective : Contributing to woodland caribou recovery by applying forest management modalities that maintain suitable habitat

Management unit(s) : 085-51

Criteria :	Biological diversity
Issue (value) :	Sensitive species
Issue clarification :	The forest serves as the habitat for many wildlife and plant species. Consequently, forest management activities, by altering forest attributes, can have a significant impact on these species' abundance, distribution and survival. The efforts made by ecosystem-based management of forests is the first step in ensuring maintenance of habitats and biodiversity. However, some species have particular needs that cannot necessarily be met by ecosystem-based management. This is why it is so important to incorporate the needs of species at risk and sensitive species in forest management. The aim of this issue is to ensure that the habitat needs of species at risk and species sensitive to forest management are considered during forest planning.
Objective :	To help restore woodland caribou populations by applying management methods that foster the maintenance of proper habitats. Woodland caribou live in small, low density groups. The species has little potential for recruitment, and this is one of the factors that limits population growth. However, other reasons have also been given to explain its decline in recent decades, including the significant increase in habitat disturbances. Logging leads to stand rejuvenation and hardwood regeneration. These alterations encourage the presence of predators such as the grey wolf (via moose) and black bear (via small fruit production). Habitat alterations therefore play a role in the decline of woodland caribou populations. In April 2019, the Québec Government announced the proposed areas to be covered by the woodland caribou strategy currently under preparation. Until the provincial strategy is finalized, interim measures have been added to those that are already in place, to allow for large blocks of forest to be maintained.
Connection with other objectives :	This objective is linked mainly to the forest age structure objective, the spatial organization objective, the wetland objective and the hardwood encroachment objective.

Chosen strategy and expected effects

1. Management Plan for Caribou Habitat North of La Sarre and additional rules

Application of the Management Plan for Caribou Habitat North of La Sarre, updated in 2015:
The purpose of the plan is to:

- Maintain or increase quality habitat for woodland caribou north of La Sarre, in the Nord-du-Québec region, to ensure the presence of woodland caribou in the species' current range
- To provide a solution in line with the requirement of the FSC® national forest stewardship standard of Canada for woodland caribou

The development principles set out in the plan include:

- Application of the precautionary principle, which means avoiding measures that may lead to irreversible changes in ecosystem functions
- Ecosystem-based management aimed at maintaining the forest within the bounds of natural variability at both landscape and stand level (in management unit 085-51)
- Adaptive management, which consists in organizing management in such a way as to verify explicit hypotheses while management activities are being carried out. A monitoring program has been set up
- A collaborative approach must be taken by carrying out ongoing consultations with different stakeholders in the area, to facilitate the application of the plan.

If the Management Plan for Caribou Habitat North of La Sarre, and the additional procedures

set out in the addendum, are applied, the caribou population is likely to become self-sufficient, and numbers will remain steady or increase in the medium term.

2. Transitory measures pending implementation of the woodland caribou strategy

These measures, implemented in 2019, consist of protecting areas critical to the conservation or restoration of woodland caribou habitat. Harvesting and road construction or improvement activities are deferred until the woodland and mountain caribou strategy is adopted.

3. Maintenance of minimally disturbed forest tracts with a significant percentage of old-growth forests

Large, minimally disturbed forest tracts with a high percentage of old-growth forests should contain few roads, logging areas and permanent infrastructures. Maintenance of these forest tracts helps to maintain suitable habitats for woodland caribou.

4. Protected area

Protected areas, biological refuges and exceptional forest ecosystems within an area help to protect old-growth forests and also limit logging. Maintenance of areas unaffected by logging should help to:

- Improve the maintenance of old-growth forest structures
- Reduce the over-abundance of regenerating stands
- Protect high conservation value elements such as essential habitats

5. Targeted degree of alteration by UTA

Every management unit is divided into territorial analysis units (UTAs). For each UTA, targets have been established for old-growth forests and the maximum quantity of regenerating forests. This is the targeted degree of alteration (low, moderate or high). These restrictions on forest age force rotations to become longer in UTAs with too many regenerating forests or not enough old-growth forests, compared to their targets. This requirement helps to ensure that old-growth forests are distributed throughout the management unit. The application of a requirement at TAU level helps to foster the presence of old-growth forests throughout the management unit. The management strategy, by preserving a percentage of old-growth forests and limiting the percentage of regenerating forests, reduces the risk of biodiversity loss at UTA level.

6. Clearing and cleaning

Clearing or cleaning of stands of softwood or mixed origin that are susceptible to hardwood encroachment. Clearing and cleaning should help to preserve the softwood or mixed composition of the stand and hence counter encroachment.

Significant activities for the implementation of the strategy:

Step	Significant activities	Responsible	Timeline
1	Apply the chosen caribou habitat protection strategy.	Tactical forest manager	All year long
2	Adapt the Woodland and Mountain Caribou Strategie to the local and regional situation.	Sonia Légaré	Year 2023
3	Calculate the disturbance rate.	Sonia Légaré	After completion of woodland caribou plan

Indicator number:1.07.2.AIndicator type:Performance indicator

Indicator:Rate of compliance with the modalities planned for woodland caribou habitat.

Scale:Management unit

Indicator clarifications:

This indicator is measured to ensure that the rules governing the protection of caribou habitat are upheld. Its purpose is to measure the compliance of plans and reports with the rules in force.

Useful definitions:

Habitat of woodland caribou, forest ecotype: The habitat of the woodland caribou, forest ecotype, is defined as a forest area used by the caribou for calving, mating or winter feeding. To be legally protected under the Règlement sur les espèces fauniques menacées ou vulnérables et leurs habitats (Regulation respecting threatened or vulnerable wildlife species and their habitats), the woodland caribou habitat must be identified in a plan drawn up by the MRNF.

Frequency:Yearly

Breakdown by:Management unit

Target100%

Deviation:None

Target and results for each MU

MU 085-51	Target 100%	Deviation	0 %
Reference period		Value	Evaluation
Review 2013-2018		100,0 %	Green
		100,0 %	Green
		100,0 %	Green
		100,0 %	Green

Information on target:

The target is 100% of the procedures introduced to protect woodland caribou habitat.

In this management unit, the procedures are those set out in the caribou habitat development plan north of La Sarre, for the Detour herd.

Timeframe:None

Documentation:

MRNF website for woodland caribou: <https://mffp.gouv.qc.ca/la-faune/especes/habitats-et-biodiversite/amenagement-habitat-caribou-forestier/>

Indicator monitoring program:

Calculate the indicator for the application of the procedures on a yearly basis.

Issues and Solutions Sheet prepared by : Sonia Légaré

Approved by : Mario Poirier

Date : 2022-05-10

Objective 1.07.2

Issues and Solutions Sheets :

page 23 sur 30



Objective number : 2.01.2

Name of objective : Maintaining the productivity of forest ecosystems susceptible to paludification

Management unit(s) : 085-51

Criteria : Ecosystem condition and productivity

Issue (value) : Forest productivity and sustainability

Issue clarification :

Objective : Ensure the productivity of forest stands susceptible to paludification. The allowable cut calculations performed by the office of the Chief Forester take into account the impacts of paludification and adjustments to the productivity of these forest layers are made depending on the silvicultural strategies that are applied.

Connection with other objectives : This objective is connected with the objective of maintaining woodland caribou habitats (1.07.2). Caribou use these areas of wetter forests.

Chosen strategy and expected effects

1. Scarification and reforestation (paludification)

Scarification followed by reforestation on paludified sites or sites that are susceptible to paludification. Scarification must be severe enough to destroy the sphagnum and mix the organic matter into the soil.

Species used for reforestation may include black spruce only, or black spruce mixed with tamarack. When trembling aspen is present, it should, if possible, be maintained outside the areas under management, especially for caribou habitat.

Scarification should help reduce the thickness of the organic layer and hence counter the phenomenon of paludification by supporting the site's productivity.

Reforestation with a combination of black spruce and tamarack may help aerate the soil and therefore influence site productivity processes.

Maintenance of the hardwood component increases decomposition rates and nutrient availability, therefore promoting better stand productivity.

Significant activities for the implementation of the strategy:

Step	Significant activities	Responsible	Timeline
1	Site research for adapted forest treatments directed towards ecological types prone to paludification (RE26, RE37, RE38 et RE39).	Forest manager	All year long
2	Prescribe site preparation in link with the regional paludification issue management strategy.	Forest manager	All year long
3	When planning silvicultural work, provide for a land preparation experimental trial followed by reforestation with a combination of black spruce and tamarack.	Forest manager	All year long
4	Annual review of harvested stands paludified or prone to paludification.	Forest manager	Following annual report deposit

5	Annual review of harvested stands paludified or prone to paludification that have undergone an adapted forest treatment.	Forest manager	Following annual report deposit
---	--	----------------	---------------------------------

Indicator number:	2.01.2.A	Indicator type:	Performance indicator
Indicator:	Ratio of harvested paludified stands (or stands susceptible to paludification) that have undergone forestry operations adapted to paludification in accordance with the regional strategy.		
Scale:	Management unit		

Indicator clarifications:	
Useful definitions:	Paludification: natural process involving the accumulation of organic matter leading to the formation of peat bogs.
Frequency:	Five-yearly
Breakdown by:	Year

Target	65%		
Deviation:	None		
Target and results for each MU			
MU 085-51	Target 65%	Deviation	0 %
Reference period		Value	Evaluation
		44,0 %	Yellow
		39,0 %	Yellow
		96,0 %	Green

Information on target:	
Timeframe:	
Documentation:	"Stratégie régionale d'aménagement pour la problématique de paludification"available on request as an appendix to the tactical plan. Chief Forester’s information sheet on paludification: http://forestierenchef.gouv.qc.ca/wpcontent/uploads/2013/01/193-199_MDPF_Paludification.pdf

Indicator monitoring program:	
Issues and Solutions Sheet prepared by : Marie-Eve Larouche	
Approved by : Mario Poirier	Date : 2022-05-10



Objective number : 3.02.2

Name of objective : Protecting fish habitat, especially spawning grounds

Management unit(s) : 085-51

Criteria : Soil and water

Issue (value) : Water quality and aquatic ecosystem integrity

Issue clarification : It is generally agreed that the road network and its associated disturbances are the main anthropic cause of soil erosion in managed forests. When erosion occurs on or alongside a road, or along or in a watercourse bed, it can cause sediment inflows into the water system. The sediments are likely to cause degradation of aquatic habitats in general and have a particular impact on spawning grounds, invertebrate populations and the free flow of fish. Erosion can also have a detrimental effect on access routes.

Objective : Protect fish habitats in general and spawning grounds in particular.

Connection with other objectives : This objective is connected with the objective of maintaining species sensitive to forest management (1.07.1).

Chosen strategy and expected effects

1. Inclusion of newly identified spawning grounds in forest plans

Inclusion of newly identified spawning grounds in forest plans requires good communication and monitoring. New identifications may be made via reporting or consultations. When new identifications are received, they are sent to the Direction de la gestion de la faune, for verification. Habitat protection rules are applied in the meantime, until the results of the verification are known.

Inclusion of newly identified spawning grounds in forest plans helps to protect these sensitive habitats. The interim protection process ensures that the habitat is preserved until it appears on the digital information layers used for forest planning.

2. Apply watercourse standards

Apply the current regulations (RSFM/RSFD) shown in the contractual harvesting requirements and monitor compliance of operations.

Compliance with current regulations should help reduce the impacts of forestry operations on aquatic ecosystems. Annual monitoring will also enable immediate remedial action to be taken when a probable case of erosion is found, and will also help ensure that there are no recurrent sediment inflows into watercourses.

3. Analysis of management plans by the Direction de la gestion de la Faune

All operational forest management plans are analyzed by biologists and wildlife technicians at the Direction de la gestion de la Faune. This ensures that potential impacts on wildlife habitats are detected, and that the plans are adapted where necessary. It provides an additional safety net to help preserve biodiversity in areas under management.

Significant activities for the implementation of the strategy:

Step	Significant activities	Responsible	Timeline
1	Forward reports of habitats (especially spawning areas) received during consultations to the Direction de la gestion de la faune.	Consultation responsible	All year long

2	Apply the conditions set out in the RSFD for habitats until the report has been verified by the Direction de la gestion de la faune.	All employees	All year long
3	Include valid habitats (especially spawning grounds) on the layers used by forest planners.	Geomatic department	After validation

Indicator number:	3.02.2.A	Indicator type:	Performance indicator
Indicator:	Ratio of the reported spawning sites that were submitted to the validation process and temporary protected.		
Scale:	Site		

Indicator clarifications:	The public consultations and harmonization sessions are used to convey important information on wildlife habitats. There are many habitats whose existence is not known. It is important for any information received on spawning grounds to be sent to the Direction de la gestion de la faune, so that it can be verified. While the information is being verified, the rules contained in the RSFD must be applied to the site in question. When the verification findings are known, confirmed sites are entered in the list of forest uses, and unconfirmed sites are removed from the list. No special rules are applied to unconfirmed sites.		
---------------------------	---	--	--

Useful definitions:			
Frequency:	Yearly		
Breakdown by:			

Target	100% of the spawning sites reported		
Deviation:	None		

Target and results for each MU			
MU 085-51	Target	100% of the spawning sites reported	Deviation 0 %
Reference period		Value	Evaluation
		100,0 %	Green
		100,0 %	Green

Information on target:			
Timeframe:			

Documentation:			
----------------	--	--	--

Indicator monitoring program:			
-------------------------------	--	--	--

Issues and Solutions Sheet prepared by :	Zlatko Blazeski		
Approved by :	Mario Poirier		Date : 2022-05-10



Objective number : 5.02.1

Name of objective : Maintaining the visual quality of landscapes in priority locations

Management unit(s) : 085-51

Criteria :	Economic and social benefits
Issue (value) :	Visual quality of landscapes
Issue clarification :	Overly intense logging prevents people from enjoying vacation sites and damages the landscape in a way that diminishes the quality of the recreational and tourism experience. It may also have a negative economic impact by driving users and visitors away from sites of interest.
Objective :	1) Maintain an acceptable level of landscape quality for recreational and tourism activities and maintain a predominance of forest cover in the landscapes of sites of interest. 2) Ensure that a forest ambiance is maintained along canoe-camping trails and access roads leading to vacation sites.
Connection with other objectives :	This objective is connected to the objectives concerning the spatial organization (1.06.1), of forests, variable retention cutting (1.03.1) and irregular shelterwood cuts (1.02.1). Distribution of logging areas and the use of variable retention cuts or irregular shelterwood cuts can help maintain visual landscape quality.

Chosen strategy and expected effects

1 . Spatial distribution within the visible landscape

Apply spatial distribution rules for harvest blocks in landscapes visible from sites and areas of interest identified by the local integrated land and resource management panel (see Table 3 of the attached document).

These rules are designed to control the percentage of cuts in the visible landscape, the maximum size of cutting sites, the type of cut carried out and the location of residual forests. See Tables 1 and 2 in the attached document.

Significant activities for the implementation of the strategy:

Step	Significant activities	Responsible	Timeline
1	Plan forest harvesting while respecting spatial organization rules in visible landscape or zone of interest.	Forest manager	Each PAFIO modification

Indicator number:	5.02.1.A	Indicator type:	Performance indicator
Indicator:	Rate of compliance with the modalities planned for sites of interest.		
Scale:	Landscape		

Indicator clarifications:	1) Maximum percentage of harvesting in the visible portion of visual frameworks, depending on the sensitivity class 2) Reduction of cutting site area, depending on the sensitivity class
---------------------------	--

Useful definitions: Areas of interest: Areas of interest have been identified by the economic development corporation of Villebois, Val Paradis, Beaucanton, as part of a process undertaken to identify areas with high socio-economic conservation value (Cat. 5-6, FSC®). See the document entitled Les forêts à haute valeur pour la conservation (FHVC) au sein de la Vallée de la Turgeon, 2015. These areas of interest include vacation lakes, recreational and tourism sites and canoe-camping circuits for which the aesthetic value must be maintained. These areas have been assigned a sensitivity classification (landscape) based on the number of existing infrastructures, their sensitivity and the number of users.

Site of interest: Infrastructure or site (e.g. campsite, beach).

Landscape: Dominated by physiography, it is the result of environmental characteristics, living creatures and human activity. [...] It generally includes the portion of land or area visible at a single glance, along with all its natural characteristics. (Dictionnaire de la foresterie, 2003).

Visible landscape: The visible portion of the landscape is identified using geomatics tools (e.g. Spatial Analyst) and is obtained by taking into account relief without vegetation.

The visible landscape of a site of interest is assessed by analyzing the visible landscape from observation points or infrastructures within the site of interest, from a height of 1.5 metres (e.g. vacation cottage, jetties, beaches, campsites (may be several points, depending on the site), dense hiking network, look-out point, reception station, etc.). The assessment is based on topography and the forest canopy is taken into account during the analysis.

Residual forest: The portion of forest that remains in place following a natural or human disturbance.

Frequency:	Five-yearly
Breakdown by:	By site or trail of interest

Target	100%
Deviation:	None

Target and results for each MU

MU 085-51	Target	100%	Deviation	0 %
Reference period	Value		Evaluation	
	100,0 %		Green	
	100,0 %		Green	
	100,0 %		Green	

Information on target:	
Timeframe:	

Documentation:	Outil d'aide à la décision pour classer les secteurs d'intérêt majeurs et définir les stratégies d'aménagement pour l'intégration visuelle des coupes dans les paysages" https://www.mffp.gouv.qc.ca/publications/forets/consultation/outil-decision.pdf VOIC document produced by the Villebois-Val-Paradis-Beaucanton integrated land and natural resources panel.
----------------	---

Indicator monitoring program:

Follow up with local integrated land and resource management panel members to verify whether or not the target has been achieved after application of the measures from this Issue-Solution sheet

during harvesting.

Issues and Solutions Sheet prepared by : Zlatko Blazeski

Approved by : Mario Poirier

Date : 2022-05-10

5. References

Ministère des Ressources naturelles (2013). *Le guide sylvicole du Québec, tome 2. Les concepts et l'application de la sylviculture*, collective work under the supervision of C. Larouche, F. Guillemette, P. Raymond and J.-P. Saucier, Les Publications du Québec, 744 p.

Ministère des Forêts, de la Faune et des Parcs. *Glossaire forestier*, [Online], [<http://glossaire-forestier.mffp.gouv.qc.ca/>] (consulted on March 8, 2022).

Germain, R., (2012). *Acceptabilité sociale de l'aménagement forestier écosystémique : le point de vue des Algonquins de Pikogan*, Master's thesis, p. 17, 205 p.

